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Abstract

Objective: The aim of this study was to conduct a preliminary evaluation of Children’s Appetite Awareness Training (CAAT), a treatment for childhood obesity which encourages overweight children to eat in response to internal appetite cues. Method: Overweight children (ages 6 – 12 years old) were randomized to either the CAAT treatment group (N=23), to receive one-hour treatment sessions over six weeks, or a wait-list group (N=24). Weight and height of children and parents in both groups were assessed at pre- and post-treatment (or equivalent time for wait-list control) and at a six-month follow-up for those in the CAAT group. Results: The intervention had a significant, short-term effect on the BMI of children who participated. Although at six-month follow-up, children’s BMI has not increased significantly, the difference between pre-treatment and follow-up BMI was no longer significant. Discussion: These results are encouraging for the use of CAAT with overweight children. Long-term effectiveness could be enhanced through increasing the duration of the program, adding booster sessions and increased involvement of parents.
A pilot evaluation of appetite-awareness training in the treatment of childhood overweight and obesity: A preliminary investigation

Childhood obesity is the most prevalent nutritional disease in developed countries (1) and has been designated a global epidemic (2, 3). In Australia, the prevalence of children who are either obese or overweight has increased over the last 25 years. Recent Australian studies have found that 5.9% of girls and 5.3% of boys are obese and a further 16-18% of girls and 14-16% of boys are overweight (4, 5). Childhood obesity usually continues into adulthood and has been associated with an increased risk of multi-systemic disease, including orthopaedic, gastrointestinal, immunological, metabolic, respiratory and sleep-related problems (1, 6-10). Thus, there is a critical need to develop treatment and prevention strategies in childhood.

Epstein (11) has commented that methods for improving the self-regulation of energy intake amongst children have been almost entirely neglected, despite evidence that overweight children are poorer at regulating their own food intake compared to normal-weight children (12, 13). Such emphasis is particularly imperative given broad, detrimental changes to the environment that promote food overconsumption (e.g. portion sizes, food advertising, pervasive environmental cues to eat, easy accessibility of foodstuffs low in nutrient density). Given that broad policy changes are unlikely to occur quickly enough to impact contemporary children, there is an imperative need to enhance their capacity to resist environmental cues to eat when not biologically hungry. Critically, Johnson (14) found that both children who over-ate and those who under-ate could be
taught to better regulate their eating from as young as eight years. However, it remains an unknown as to whether treatments based on self-regulation of appetite may be efficacious in the treatment of childhood overweight and obesity.

One approach designed to enhance self-regulatory capacities in adults is ‘Appetite-Focused Cognitive Behavioural Therapy’ (CBT-AF) (15). CBT-AF is based on the premise that individuals who are overweight and obese often eat in response to situational or external cues, rather than eating when they are hungry and stopping when they are full (16, 17) CBT-AF teaches participants skills to encourage eating in response to moderate internal hunger and satiety cues thus improving self-regulation of energy intake (15). CBT-AF has been found to reduce binge-eating and reduce weight gain in patients with Binge-Eating Disorder (8, 15, 18). A recent randomized controlled trial using an adapted form of the CBT-AF demonstrated some efficacy (19), but this was evaluated among an adult population. Boutelle et al. (20) compared children’s appetite awareness training (CAAT), an adapted version of CBT-AF for children, to cue exposure treatment among overweight or obese children (8 to 12 years). Both treatments resulted in significant reductions in binge-eating, indicating that these treatments may have potential in the management of childhood overweight and obesity. However, no changes were found for caloric intake. The authors acknowledged that these data were preliminary and recommended further research into these novel treatments.

The aim of this study was to investigate further the efficacy of the CAAT program, in the treatment of childhood overweight and obesity using a
randomised controlled trial. CAAT is designed to resensitise children to recognize and respond to their internal appetite signals cues (i.e. hunger and satiety) and thereby improve their self-regulation of energy intake. The impact of the intervention on parents' weight was also of interest; a recent review of the literature considering the role of parents in their children’s food choices concluded that despite some innate food preference, the majority of children’s food behaviours are learned (21). It was therefore hypothesized that children receiving CAAT would have improvements in BMI that were not observed in the children in the control. It was also expected that parental BMI would change more for those in the CAAT group than the control group.

Methods

Participants

Participants were recruited through advertisements in newspapers, magazines and radio interviews. Overweight or obesity in children is defined by an age-related BMI above the percentile passing through BMI values of 25 kg/m² or 30 kg/m² at 18 years, respectively (22). Overweight or obese children, between 6 and 12 years were eligible for the study. Children enrolled in another weight control treatment or those with mental health problems (screened for by Psychologists) that warranted treatment were excluded.

Ninety-one parents telephoned the clinic to inquire about the program and 45 attended an assessment. Children were stratified by age (6-9, 10-12) and then randomized to the CAAT treatment group or wait-list group. The
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randomization sequence was generated by one of the authors (LS) using the Bernoulli function (0.05) while another author (TB) enrolled participants. At the assessment, each consecutive child was given a participant number linked to a random number that was concealed until after the assessment. Twenty-four children were allocated to the wait-list group and twenty-three to CAAT (See Figure 1). Data for all children in both groups were available for pre- and post-treatment (or equivalent time for wait-list). Of the children assigned to CAAT, some (n=5) did not attend all sessions or did not attend the six-month follow-up (n = 4). Those in the wait-list group were offered treatment during follow-up.

FIGURE 1 NEAR HERE

Procedure

At baseline, all families participated in an assessment session in which children and parents signed consent forms. The weight and height of children and parents in both groups were measured to determine their BMI, using an electronic scale, at the first assessment and at the final treatment session (or equivalent time for wait-list). Those in the CAAT group were also measured six months following treatment. The child’s BMI was the primary outcome measure, with parental BMI the secondary outcome.

Treatment

The treatment program was adapted for children from Craighead and Allen’s (15) CBT-AF program. For the CAAT group, children and at least one
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parent received six, one-hour group sessions of Children’s Appetite Awareness Training (CAAT) delivered over a 6-week period. The intervention was conducted at the Psychology Clinic at the University of Sydney. Group sessions (3-7 children per group) were conducted by two Intern Clinical Psychologists. Another intern clinical psychologist ran a parent group concurrently in which the content of the program was explained to them and they were encouraged to adopt a whole family approach to appetite awareness. Participants did not pay for their treatment. Two experienced clinical psychologists supervised the treatment and observed all sessions. The session-by-session guide is described below.

**Session 1** – The aim of this session was to establish awareness of internal appetite cues. Children were introduced to a hunger meter and encouraged to monitor their appetite daily. Children were encouraged to start and stop eating at a moderate (not extreme) level of hunger and fullness.

**Session 2** – The aim of this session was to establish eating in response to hunger. In an attempt to show how difficult it is to stop eating when you are “starving”, children were asked to miss breakfast and attended the session with their lunch. Children were encouraged to eat regularly before their body became too hungry.

**Session 3** – The aim of this session was to identify reasons that people eat other than hunger (e.g., boredom, presence of food nearby). Children were taught about “true” and “tricky” hunger and different traps that lead people to eat when they are not hungry. Problem-solving was introduced as a method to think of alternative activities other than eating.
Session 4 - The aim of this session was to introduce cognitive and behavioural strategies to minimise episodes of emotional, impulsive and/or “sneak” eating. The group brainstormed ways to avoid the traps through problem-solving.

Session 5 – The target of this session was self-acceptance. Children identified personal strengths, constructed helpful attitudes about themselves, and learned to reward themselves for change.

Session 6 – In this session there was a discussion of teasing by peers. Cognitive challenging was used when appropriate and strategies to help deal with bullies were practiced. Children were encouraged to plan for future triggers that may stop them using their hunger meters.

Statistical Analysis

Independent sample t-tests were used to determine baseline differences on demographic and BMI variables between intervention and wait-list control groups. Any differences between groups were statistically controlled in the main analyses. Repeated measures 2 (group: treatment vs. control) × 2 (time: pre- vs. post-) multivariate analysis of covariance were conducted to assess the short-term outcome of the intervention. Longer-term changes were assessed by a repeated measures 1 (group) × 3 (time: pre- vs. post- vs. follow-up) ANOVA. Statistical significance was taken at p < .05.

A sample size of 26 participants in each group is sufficient to detect large effect sizes, and hence groups of 20-25 are appropriate for a pilot investigation.
since only treatments with large effects are worthy of more comprehensive investigation.

**Results**

*Demographic Information*

The demographic characteristics of children and parents are reported in Table 1. There were no significant differences between the treatment and control group before treatment.

**TABLE 1 NEAR HERE**

**Pre- to Post-Treatment Changes**

Means of pre- to post-treatment BMI for children and parents are presented in Table 2. Repeated measures ANOVAs for BMI revealed an interaction between the groups ($F_{1,45}=22.05, p<0.001$). Children in the CAAT group showed a significant improvement in BMI ($t_{1,22}=4.81, p<0.001$) that was not evident in the control group ($t_{1,23}=-1.47, p=0.16$). There were no significant differences in parents' BMI over treatment.

**TABLE 2 NEAR HERE**

**Follow-up**
There was a significant linear effect for BMI over time, indicating improvement ($F_{2,15}=7.63, p=0.01$). However, post-hoc pair-wise comparisons revealed that the only significant change in BMI was between pre- and post-treatment ($t_{1,15}=4.02, p=0.001$). The increase in BMI from post-treatment to follow-up was not significant ($t_{1,15}=-0.95, p=0.36$), but neither was the decrease over the pre-treatment to follow-up period ($t_{1,15}=0.86, p=0.40$).

No child moved from overweight to obese or obese to overweight during treatment in either group. Of the 17 children in the CAAT group at 6 month follow-up, 11/12 remained obese, 3/5 remained overweight, 2/5 changed from overweight to obese and 1/12 changed from obese to overweight, which represented no significant change ($t_{1,16}=-0.566, p=0.58$). Repeated measures analysis revealed no significant difference between mothers’ BMI ($F_{2,20}=0.007, p=0.99$) or fathers’ BMI ($F_{2,10}=0.70, p=0.52$) between the three test periods (pre-, post-, follow-up).

Discussion

The aim of this research was to examine the efficacy of a 6-session CAAT program in the treatment of obese and overweight children. Short-term results indicated a significant reduction in BMI for children in the CAAT group compared to children in the control group. At follow-up, children in the CAAT group showed no evidence of further reduction in BMI. The CAAT group’s BMI remained lower than at pre-treatment, despite a common trajectory in overweight children for...
their BMI to increase over time (23-25). There were no significant changes in parents’ BMI over the study period in either group.

The results of this study, while modest, are encouraging. The CAAT program used in this study was very brief and had no booster sessions. Furthermore, CAAT focused on helping children identify signals of hunger and satiety whilst little attempt was made to change the parent’s behaviour. Consequently, there may have been little parental modelling of CAAT principles or generalization of the program content to parents. Indeed, parents (many of whom were overweight and obese) did not show a reduction in BMI over the testing period, which is consistent with the findings in Boutelle et al. (20). Thus, in this context, it is striking that children as young as seven were able to learn to distinguish signals of hunger and satiety.

Although children in the CAAT group did not continue to show reductions in BMI following the program, treatment-related changes in BMI were maintained. This is a positive outcome in terms of stabilizing weight so that overweight children might ‘grow into’ their weight over time and contrasts with the significant increase in BMI found in the Boutelle et al (20) study. Reasons for the discrepancy between studies are unclear; however, there were some differences between the approaches. Firstly, the current program was conducted in smaller groups, stratified for age and the majority of children (18/23) completed all sessions, whereas only 4/18 children in the Boutelle (20) study attended all sessions. The change in BMI observed in this study is consistent with the acknowledged difficulty in this population of achieving long-term change (26-29).
However, it should be noted that clinically significant changes in health parameters, such as reductions in cardiovascular risk and insulin sensitivity, have been observed in previous studies even when changes in weight status, per se, were not observed (30-32).

Despite careful attention to study design, there were several limitations which need to be considered when interpreting the results. Firstly, the intervention was very brief with no booster sessions during follow-up. Secondly, the intervention was developed for use with the children themselves, with parents in a supportive role. Some research suggests that treating parents alone may be more effective than treating the children (33). Thirdly, the mechanisms which have contributed to changes in BMI are unknown, as data were only available for weight-related outcomes. Finally, the outcomes reported here are of statistical significance but there was little evidence of clinical significance. That is, not one child who was initially overweight or obese fell within the normal range following treatment. Hence, the outcomes are modest rather than ideal.

Despite these limitations, results suggest that a six-week cognitive-behavioural intervention focusing on appetite awareness shows promise for the treatment of overweight and obese children. Adequate examination of children’s appetite awareness training will require more comprehensive and extended treatments than were offered in this pilot investigation. However, having demonstrated short-term gains, there is a good rationale for developing a more comprehensive treatment for children who are overweight and obese and examining its efficacy.
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Table 1. Summary of participant characteristics for pilot evaluation.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Measure</th>
<th>Child M(SD)</th>
<th>Mother M(SD)</th>
<th>Father M(SD)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>$n=45$</td>
<td>$n=41$</td>
<td>$n=30$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age (years)</td>
<td>10 (1.9)</td>
<td>42.6(6.2)</td>
<td>46.9(6.8)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Weight (kilograms)</td>
<td>56.8 (13.4)</td>
<td>82.1(27.1)</td>
<td>96.7(12.8)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BMI</td>
<td>26.8(4.3)</td>
<td>31.5(9.1)</td>
<td>32(4.6)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>% Normal/Overweight/Obese</td>
<td>0/29/71¹</td>
<td>29/29/41²</td>
<td>11/26/63²</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gender (%Male)</td>
<td>54%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

¹Overweight in children is defined by an age related BMI above the BMI centile passing through BMI values of 25 kg/m² at age 18 years, whilst obese is defined by an age related BMI above the BMI centile passing through BMI values of 30 kg/m² at age 18 years (Cole et al., 2000).

² Overweight in adults is defined by a BMI greater than 25 kg/m², whilst obese is defined as a BMI greater than 30 kg/m² (WHO, 1997).
Table 2. Weight and BMI for children and parents in control and treatment groups at pre-post and follow-up.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Measure</th>
<th>Pre-treatment</th>
<th>Post-treatment</th>
<th>Follow-up</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>M(SD)</td>
<td>M(SD)</td>
<td>M(SD)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Children (Treatment group)</strong></td>
<td>n=23</td>
<td>n=23</td>
<td>n=17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BMI (kg/m²)</td>
<td>27.44(3.9)</td>
<td>26.81(3.91)</td>
<td>26.18(3.31)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Children (control group)</strong></td>
<td>n=24</td>
<td>n=24</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BMI (kg/m²)</td>
<td>26.44(3.76)</td>
<td>26.59(3.57)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Mothers (Treatment group)</strong></td>
<td>n=22</td>
<td>n=21</td>
<td>n=11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BMI (kg/m²)</td>
<td>30.72(8.39)</td>
<td>30.75(8.53)</td>
<td>30.08(6.64)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Mothers (Control group)</strong></td>
<td>n=23</td>
<td>n=23</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BMI (kg/m²)</td>
<td>30.47(8.4)</td>
<td>30.65(8.3)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Fathers (Treatment group)</strong></td>
<td>n=16</td>
<td>n=16</td>
<td>n=6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BMI (kg/m²)</td>
<td>31.43(4.28)</td>
<td>31.05(3.93)</td>
<td>31.01(4.7)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Fathers (Control group)</strong></td>
<td>n=18</td>
<td>n=15</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BMI (kg/m²)</td>
<td>32.25(4.48)</td>
<td>31.82(4.63)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Figure 1. Flow of participants through the study
Conflict of interest statement:

The authors have no financial or other conflict of interest to declare.