

**A KINETIC APPROACH TO SOIL PHOSPHORUS MOBILISATION  
BY INOCULANT BIOFERTILISER**

**Mohammad Faruque Ahmed**

**Faculty of Agriculture, Food and Natural Resources  
The University of Sydney  
New South Wales  
Australia**

**A THESIS SUBMITTED IN THE FULFILMENT OF THE REQUIREMENTS FOR THE  
DOCTOR OF PHILOSOPHY IN AGRICULTURE**



**THE UNIVERSITY OF SYDNEY**

*2007*

---

---

## TABLE OF CONTENTS

<b>CHAPTER 1 INTERATURE REVIEW -PHOSPHORUS FIXATION IN SOIL AND MOBILISATION BY BACTERIA .....</b>	<b>1</b>
1.1 Introduction .....	1
1.2 Background and importance of phosphorus .....	2
1.2.1 Phosphorus chemistry .....	3
1.2.1.1 Historical perspective of phosphorus .....	3
1.2.1.2 Atomic properties and bonding .....	5
1.2.2 Soil phosphorus chemistry .....	6
1.2.2.1 Soil organic phosphorus .....	6
1.2.2.2 Inositol phosphates .....	8
1.2.2.3 Nucleic acids .....	9
1.2.2.4 Phospholipids and other esters .....	9
1.2.3 Inorganic phosphorus compounds .....	9
1.2.4 Phosphorus in the soil solution .....	10
1.2.5 The phosphorus stratus in soil .....	11
1.2.6 Phosphorus fixation in soil .....	12
1.2.6.1 Phosphorus fixation in acid soil .....	14
1.2.6.2 Phosphorus fixation by clay minerals .....	17
1.2.6.3 Phosphorus fixation in alkaline soils .....	18
1.2.7 Factors affecting phosphorus fixation in soils .....	19
1.2.7.1 Nature and amount of soil components .....	19
1.2.7.2 Effects of pH on predominantly insoluble phosphorus changes .....	21
1.2.7.3 Ionic effects .....	22
1.2.7.4 Saturation of the sorption complex .....	23
1.3 Adsorption-desorption behaviour of soils phosphorus .....	24
1.3.1 Adsorption behaviour of phosphorus in different types of soils and equilibrium solutions .....	24
1.3.2 Phosphorus desorption by bacteria and phosphorus content in bacterial cells .....	29
1.3.3 Materials used for microbial lysis and P extraction .....	32
1.3.4 Effect of equilibrium time on phosphorus recovery .....	32
1.3.5 Bacterial influence on phosphorus desorption and method of phosphorus determination .....	33
1.4 Soil-root rhizosphere system .....	33
1.4.1 What is the rhizosphere? .....	34
1.4.2 What is the rhizoplane? .....	35
1.4.3 Organic acid release under phosphorus deficiency .....	35
1.4.4 Do organic acids cause acidification on rhizosphere? .....	37
1.4.5 Al toxicity and its remedy at the rhizosphere .....	39
1.5 Microbial effects on phosphorus mobilisation .....	40
1.5.1 Phosphate mobilising ability of bacteria .....	42
1.5.1.1 Phosphorus solubilising ability of bacteria under laboratory condition .....	42
1.5.1.2 Phosphorus mobilisation by bacteria in plant experiments .....	47
1.5.2 Factors affecting phosphate mobilisation by bacteria .....	49
1.5.2.1 Effects of carbon and nitrogen sources on phosphate solubilisation .....	50
1.5.2.2 Effects of high salt, high pH and high temperature on phosphate solubilisation .....	50
1.5.2.3 Effect of calcium supplements on phosphate solubilisation .....	51
1.5.2.4 Effects of different types of microorganisms on phosphorus mobilisation .....	51
1.5.3 Possible bacterial mechanisms for solubilising phosphorus for plant growth .....	51
1.5.3.1 Phosphorus solubilisation by changing rhizosphere pH using bacteria .....	52
1.5.3.2 Phosphorus solubilisation by chelation .....	54
1.5.3.3 Organic acids .....	57
1.5.3.4 Mobilisation of phosphorus by bacterial ammonium assimilation .....	58
1.6 Effects of plant growth promoting rhizobacteria (PGPR) .....	59
1.6.1 What are endophytes? .....	59
1.6.2 Examples of some PGPR effects .....	59
1.6.3 Mechanism of PGPR effects .....	60
1.7 The aims of this research project .....	61

---

**CHAPTER 2 ISOLATION AND IDENTIFICATION OF PHOSPHORUS MOBILISING BACTERIA..... 63**

2.1 Introduction .....	63
2.2 Materials and methods .....	64
2.2.1 Soils .....	64
2.2.2 Soil dispersing medium and agar medium for bacterial isolation.....	65
2.2.2.1 Soil dispersing medium .....	65
2.2.2.2 Agar medium .....	65
2.2.3 Isolation of phosphorus mobilising bacteria from soils.....	65
2.2.3.1 Preparation of bacterial suspensions from soil samples .....	65
2.2.3.2 Culture of bacterial suspensions on agar plates.....	66
2.2.3.3 Preparation of pure cultures and preservation of P-mobilising bacteria .....	66
2.2.3.4 Phosphorus mobilising activity of freeze-dried samples .....	67
2.2.4 Characterisation of P-mobilising bacteria by conventional classification.....	67
2.2.5 Characterisation of P-mobilising bacteria by molecular methods.....	68
2.2.5.1 Amplification of DNA .....	68
2.2.5.2 Purification of DNA .....	69
2.3 Results .....	70
2.3.1 Soil physico-chemical properties .....	70
2.3.2 Isolation of potential P-mobilising bacteria from three soils .....	71
2.3.3 Culturing the ten strains and preparing freeze-dried culture .....	72
2.3.4 Determination of phosphorus mobilising ability by measurement of 'halo' zone for seven bacterial strains .....	72
2.3.5 Characterisation of potentially P-mobilising bacteria.....	74
2.3.5.1 Characterisation by conventional classification .....	74
2.3.5.2 Characterisation of bacteria using API strips.....	76
2.3.6 Molecular classification of isolated bacteria using 16S rDNA technique.....	78
2.4 Discussion.....	81
2.5 Conclusion.....	85

**CHAPTER 3 THE MOBILISATION OF INSOLUBLE PHOSPHATE IN LIQUID CULTURE BY SEVERAL BACTERIAL STRAINS ..... 87**

3.1 Introduction .....	87
3.2 Materials and methods .....	88
3.2.1 Materials .....	88
3.2.1.1 Bacteria.....	88
3.2.1.2 Media, agar plate and insoluble P samples.....	89
3.2.2 Methods .....	89
3.2.2.1 Preparation of liquid media .....	89
3.2.2.2 Preparation of bacterial cultures from freeze-dried ampoules and estimation of numbers of bacteria.....	90
3.2.2.3 Setting up of culture/inoculation and incubation.....	90
3.2.2.4 Sampling and assay for pH, bacterial count and P-analysis .....	91
3.2.2.5 Preparation of bacterial cultures for experiments to measure organic acid production.....	91
3.2.2.5.1 Bacterial culturing .....	91
3.2.2.5.2 HPLC assay .....	92
3.2.2.6 Statistical analysis.....	92
3.3 Results .....	93
3.3.1 Solubilisation of phosphorus from $\text{Ca}_3(\text{PO}_4)_2$ in a medium containing $(\text{NH}_4)_2\text{SO}_4$ as the source of N.....	93
3.3.1.1 Phosphorus solubilisation.....	93
3.3.1.2 pH changes (- pH).....	94
3.3.1.3 Bacterial counts.....	96
3.3.1.4 Changes in soluble P, pH and bacteria number ( $\text{CFU mL}^{-1}$ ) of six cultures containing bacterial strains over a five day incubation period.....	97
3.3.1.5 Production of organic acids in bacterial cultures containing $\text{Ca}_3(\text{PO}_4)_2$ and $(\text{NH}_4)_2\text{SO}_4$ .....	99

3.3.2 Solubilisation of phosphorus from $\text{Ca}_3(\text{PO}_4)_2$ in a medium containing $\text{NH}_4\text{NO}_3$ as the source of N.....	100
3.3.2.1 Phosphorus solubilisation.....	100
3.3.2.2 pH changes (- pH).....	101
3.3.2.3 Bacterial counts.....	104
3.3.2.4 Changes in soluble P, pH and bacteria number ( $\text{CFU mL}^{-1}$ ) of six cultures containing bacterial strains over a five day incubation period.....	104
3.3.3 Solubilisation of phosphorus from rock phosphate in a medium containing $\text{NH}_4\text{NO}_3$ as the source of N.....	106
3.3.3.1 Phosphorus solubilisation.....	106
3.3.3.2 pH changes (- pH).....	107
3.3.3.3 Bacterial counts.....	109
3.3.3.4 Changes in soluble P, pH and bacteria number ( $\text{CFU mL}^{-1}$ ) of six cultures containing bacterial strains over a five day incubation period.....	109
3.3.4 Solubilisation of phosphorus from $\text{AlPO}_4$ in a medium containing $\text{NH}_4\text{NO}_3$ as the source of N... 111	111
3.3.4.1 Phosphorus solubilisation.....	111
3.3.4.2 pH changes (- pH).....	112
3.3.4.3 Bacterial counts.....	114
3.3.5 Solubilisation of phosphorus from $\text{FePO}_4$ in a medium containing $\text{NH}_4\text{NO}_3$ as the source of N... 115	115
3.3.5.1 Phosphorus solubilisation.....	115
3.3.5.2 pH changes (- pH).....	116
3.3.5.3 Bacterial counts.....	118
3.4 Summary of results for P mobilisation, pH change and bacterial growth.....	119
3.4.1 Summary of results for P-mobilisation.....	119
3.4.2 Summary of results for pH change.....	120
3.4.3 Summary of results for bacterial growth.....	120
3.5 Discussion.....	122
3.6 Conclusion.....	129

**CHAPTER 4 INCREASING YIELD OF WHEAT USING PHOSPHORUS MOBILISING BACTERIAL STRAINS IN GLASSHOUSE EXPERIMENTS ..... 131**

4.1 Introduction.....	131
4.2 Materials and methods.....	132
4.2.1 Materials.....	132
4.2.1.1 Soil.....	132
4.2.1.2 Potting mix.....	132
4.2.1.3 Plant variety.....	132
4.2.1.4 Sources of phosphorus.....	133
4.2.1.5 Nutrient solutions.....	133
4.2.1.6 Glass house temperature.....	134
4.2.1.7 Bacterial strains.....	134
4.2.1.7.1 P-mobilising bacteria from Australian soils.....	134
4.2.1.7.2 Other bacteria.....	134
4.2.1.8 Fungus.....	135
4.2.2 Methods.....	135
4.2.2.1 Experiments to determine the effect of P-mobilising bacteria on wheat yields.....	135
4.2.2.1.1 Setting up pots with soil.....	135
4.2.2.1.2 Addition of P to the soil.....	135
4.2.2.1.3 Seed sterilisation, sowing and thinning.....	136
4.2.2.1.4 Inoculation with bacterial strains.....	136
4.2.2.1.5 Watering and nutrient supplying.....	137
4.2.2.1.6 Pest control.....	137
4.2.2.1.7 Plant parameters at harvest.....	137
4.2.2.1.8 Plant phosphorus uptake/content.....	138
4.2.2.2 Experiment to determine plant growth promoting rhizobacterial effects.....	138
4.2.2.2.1 Establishment of Experiment 3 and growth of wheat.....	139
4.2.2.2.2 Harvesting of wheat plants.....	140
4.2.3 Data analysis.....	140

---

4.3 Results .....	140
4.3.1 Schedule summaries for Experiments 1, 2 and 3.....	140
4.3.1.1 Schedule summaries for Experiment 1, and 2.....	140
4.3.1.2 Schedule summary for Experiment 3 .....	141
4.3.2 Soil properties .....	141
4.3.3 Variation of temperature in the glasshouse.....	142
4.3.4 Experiment 1: Phosphorus mobilising activity by bacteria (Oct 2003 – Jan 2004).....	143
4.3.4.1 Grain yield.....	143
4.3.4.2 Straw yield .....	144
4.3.4.3 Plant height (cm).....	145
4.3.4.4 Number of grain per spike .....	146
4.3.4.5 Phosphorus uptake.....	147
4.3.5 Experiment 2: Phosphorus mobilising activity by bacteria (June -October 2004) .....	152
4.3.5.1 Grain yield.....	152
4.3.5.2 Straw yield .....	153
4.3.5.3 Plant height (cm).....	154
4.3.5.4 Number of grain per spike.....	155
4.3.5.5 Phosphorus uptake.....	156
4.3.6 Experiment 3: Plant growth promoting rhizobacterial activities (PGPR) (October 2003- January 2004) .....	160
4.4 Discussion .....	162
4.5 Conclusion.....	165

**CHAPTER 5 PHOSPHORUS ADSORPTION IN SOME AUSTRALIAN SOILS AND THE INFLUENCE OF BACTERIA ON DESORPTION.....166**

5.1 Introduction .....	166
5.2 Experiment on phosphorus adsorption in some Australian soils .....	168
5.2.1 Materials and methods.....	168
5.2.1.1 Soil samples .....	168
5.2.1.2 Analysis of soil samples .....	169
5.2.2 Determination of phosphorus adsorption capacity of seven soil samples.....	169
5.2.2.1 Experimental procedure.....	169
5.2.2.2 Analysis of adsorption data.....	170
5.2.3 Results .....	171
5.2.3.1 Physico-chemical properties of soils .....	171
5.2.3.2 Phosphorus remaining in solution after treatment of soil samples with potassium dihydrogen phosphate.....	172
5.2.3.3 Phosphorus adsorbed to soils after treatment with potassium dihydrogen phosphate.....	174
5.2.3.4 Relationships of adsorbed P and some physico-chemical properties of seven soils .....	175
5.2.3.5 Adsorption Isotherms for the interaction of $\text{KH}_2\text{PO}_4$ with seven soil samples .....	176
5.3 Experiment on P mobilisation from two soils by two bacterial isolates.....	181
5.3.1 Materials .....	181
5.3.1.1 Soil samples .....	181
5.3.1.2 Preparation of soil samples with adsorbed P.....	182
5.3.1.3 Bacterial samples .....	182
5.3.1.4 Preparation of bacterial suspensions for inoculation of soils .....	183
5.3.2 Methods.....	183
5.3.2.1 Establishment of soil and bacterial treatments.....	183
5.3.2.2 Determination of mobilised P .....	184
5.3.2.3 Statistical data analysis .....	185
5.3.3 Results .....	185
5.3.3.1 Phosphorus extraction by 0.01 M $\text{CaCl}_2$ .....	185
5.3.3.2 Phosphorus extraction by 0.5 M $\text{NaHCO}_3$ .....	186
5.4 Discussion.....	187
5.5 Conclusion.....	193

---

<b>CHAPTER 6 GENERAL DISCUSSION .....</b>	<b>194</b>
6.1 General discussion.....	194
6.2 Future work .....	199
References .....	201
Appendices .....	225
Relevant publications.....	239

### LIST OF TABLES

#### Chapter 1

Table 1.1 Nutrient composition of the Pikovskaya medium and the National Botanical Research Institute's phosphate growth medium (NBRIP) .....	45
---	----

#### Chapter 2

Table 2.1 Physico-chemical properties of three soil samples used for isolating P-mobilising bacteria.....	70
Table 2.2 Evaluating different strains based on the size of 'halo' zone created on agar plates.....	74
Table 2.3 Results of conventional tests performed on seven bacterial strains.....	75
Table 2.4 Identification of isolated bacteria with per cent similarity by 16S rDNA sequencing (forward and reverse).....	80

#### Chapter 3

Table 3.1 P-mobilisation ( $\text{mg L}^{-1}$ ) from $\text{Ca}_3(\text{PO}_4)_2$ with time, using $(\text{NH}_4)_2\text{SO}_4$ as source of N, for six cultures containing bacterial strains and a culture without bacteria.....	93
Table 3.2 pH changes (- pH) with time in six cultures containing bacterial strains and a control culture containing $\text{Ca}_3(\text{PO}_4)_2$ , and using $(\text{NH}_4)_2\text{SO}_4$ as a source of N over a five day period .....	95
Table 3.3 Number of bacteria ( $\log_{10}$ CFU $\text{mL}^{-1}$ ) in cultures containing $\text{Ca}_3(\text{PO}_4)_2$ , and $(\text{NH}_4)_2\text{SO}_4$ as source of N over a five day period .....	97
Table 3.4 Organic acids identified in 7 cultures containing bacterial strains after incubation for 2 d in a medium containing $\text{Ca}_3(\text{PO}_4)_2$ , and $(\text{NH}_4)_2\text{SO}_4$ as the source of N .....	100
Table 3.5 P- mobilisation ( $\text{mg L}^{-1}$ ) from $\text{Ca}_3(\text{PO}_4)_2$ with time, using $\text{NH}_4\text{NO}_3$ as source of N for six cultures containing bacterial strains and a culture without bacteria .....	101
Table 3.6 pH changes (- pH) with time in six cultures containing bacterial strains and a control culture containing $\text{Ca}_3(\text{PO}_4)_2$ , and using $\text{NH}_4\text{NO}_3$ as a source of N over a five day period .....	102
Table 3.7 Number of bacteria ( $\log_{10}$ CFU $\text{mL}^{-1}$ ) in cultures containing $\text{Ca}_3(\text{PO}_4)_2$ , and $\text{NH}_4\text{NO}_3$ as source of N over a five day period.....	104
Table 3.8 P-mobilisation ( $\text{mg L}^{-1}$ ) from rock phosphate with time, using $\text{NH}_4\text{NO}_3$ as source of N, for six cultures containing bacterial strains and a control culture without bacteria.....	106
Table 3.9 pH changes (- pH) with time in six cultures containing bacterial strains and a control culture containing rock phosphate using $\text{NH}_4\text{NO}_3$ as a source of N over a five day period.....	107
Table 3.10 Number of bacteria ( $\log_{10}$ CFU $\text{mL}^{-1}$ ) in cultures containing rock phosphate and $\text{NH}_4\text{NO}_3$ as source of N over a five day period .....	109
Table 3.11 P-mobilisation ( $\text{mg L}^{-1}$ ) from $\text{AlPO}_4$ with time, using $\text{NH}_4\text{NO}_3$ as source of N, for six cultures containing bacterial strains and a culture without bacteria.....	111
Table 3.12 pH changes (- pH) with time in six cultures containing bacterial strains and a control culture containing $\text{AlPO}_4$ , using $\text{NH}_4\text{NO}_3$ as a source of N over a five day period .....	113
Table 3.13 Number of bacteria ( $\log_{10}$ CFU $\text{mL}^{-1}$ ) in cultures containing $\text{AlPO}_4$ and $\text{NH}_4\text{NO}_3$ as source of N over a five day period.....	115
Table 3.14 P-mobilisation ( $\text{mg L}^{-1}$ ) from $\text{FePO}_4$ with time, using $\text{NH}_4\text{NO}_3$ as source of N, for six cultures containing bacterial strains and a culture without bacteria.....	116
Table 3.15 pH changes (- pH) with time in six cultures containing bacterial strains and a control culture containing $\text{FePO}_4$ using $\text{NH}_4\text{NO}_3$ as a source of N over a five day period .....	117
Table 3.16 Number of bacteria ( $\log_{10}$ CFU $\text{mL}^{-1}$ ) in cultures containing $\text{FePO}_4$ , and $\text{NH}_4\text{NO}_3$ as source of N over a five day period .....	119
Table 3.17 Net P mobilisation ( $\text{mg L}^{-1}$ ) in cultures containing the bacterial strains FA001 and FA010.....	119
Table 3.18 The average pH changes (- pH) in cultures containing the bacterial strains, FA001 and FA010, and the other four strains, FA002, FA003, FA004 and FA009.....	120

Table 3.19 Time for maximum bacterial growth in different media .....	121
Table 3.20 Maximum bacterial number after inoculation compared to number of bacteria used for the initial inoculation .....	121

#### Chapter 4

Table 4.1 Composition of normal Hoagland's (#2) solution.....	133
Table 4.2 Composition of modified Hoagland's (#2) solution.....	134
Table 4.3 Number of live bacterial cells (CFU mL <sup>-1</sup> ) in bacterial suspensions used in Experiment 1 and Experiment 2 to examine the effects of P-mobilisers on wheat yields .....	137
Table 4.4 Microorganisms used to determine PGPR effects on wheat in a glasshouse experiment.....	139
Table 4.5 Time of seed sowing, inoculation, application of modified Hoaglands (#2) medium and date of harvest for Experiments 1 and 2 .....	141
Table 4.6 Time of seed sowing, inoculation, application of original Hoaglands (#2) medium and date of harvest for Experiment 3.....	141
Table 4.7 The physico-chemical properties of soil used in the glasshouse experiment.....	141
Table 4.8 Mean maximum and minimum temperatures in 2004 in Sydney .....	142
Table 4.9 Grain yield of wheat (g pot <sup>-1</sup> ) in Experiment 1 grown in the presence of six different bacterial strains and three types of insoluble P.....	143
Table 4.10 Straw yield of wheat (g pot <sup>-1</sup> ) in Experiment 1 grown in the presence of six different bacterial strains and three types of insoluble P.....	144
Table 4.11 Plant height of wheat (cm) in Experiment 1 grown in the presence of six different bacterial strains and three types of insoluble P.....	146
Table 4.12 Number of grain per spike in Experiment 1 grown in the presence of six different bacterial and three types of insoluble P.....	147
Table 4.13 Phosphorus content in wheat grain in Experiment 1 grown in the presence of six different bacterial strains and three types of insoluble P .....	148
Table 4.14 Phosphorus uptake in wheat grain calculated as percentage of P in grain (Experiment 1).....	149
Table 4.15 Phosphorus content in wheat straw in Experiment 1 grown in the presence of six different bacterial strains and three types of insoluble P .....	150
Table 4.16 Phosphorus uptake in wheat straw calculated as percentage of P in straw (Experiment 1).....	151
Table 4.17 Grain yield of wheat (g pot <sup>-1</sup> ) in Experiment 2 grown in the presence of six different bacterial strains and three types of insoluble.....	152
Table 4.18 Straw yield of wheat (g pot <sup>-1</sup> ) in Experiment 2 grown in the presence of six different bacterial strains and three types of insoluble P.....	153
Table 4.19 Plant height of wheat (g pot <sup>-1</sup> ) in Experiment 2 grown in the presence of six different bacterial strains and three types of insoluble P.....	155
Table 4.20 Number of grain per spike in Experiment 2 grown in the presence of six different bacterial strains and three types of insoluble P.....	156
Table 4.21 Phosphorus content in wheat grain Experiment 2 grown in the presence of six different bacterial strains and three types of insoluble P.....	157
Table 4.22 Phosphorus uptake in wheat grain calculated as percentage of P in grain (Experiment 2).....	157
Table 4.23 Phosphorus content in wheat straw in Experiment 2 grown in the presence of six different bacterial strains and three types of insoluble P .....	159
Table 4.24 Phosphorus uptake in wheat straw calculated as percentage of P in straw (Experiment 2).....	159
Table 4.25 PGPR effects of different microorganisms on wheat in the glasshouse Experiment 3 in 2003 ....	161

#### Chapter 5

Table 5.1 Physico-chemical properties of seven soils collected from NSW and VIC.....	172
Table 5.2 The amount of P remaining in the equilibrium solution of soils treated with varying amounts of P.....	173
Table 5.3 Regression equations and R <sup>2</sup> values relating added P and P remaining in the equilibrium solution for the results shown in the Table 5.2 .....	173
Table 5.4 The amount of P adsorbed to soils after treatment with varying amounts of P in solution.....	174
Table 5.5 Regression equations and R <sup>2</sup> values relating added P and adsorbed P for the results shown in Table 5.4 .....	175
Table 5.6 Adsorbed P as a percentage of the available P in solution .....	175
Table 5.7 Maximum adsorption capacity, constant of energy of adsorption and buffering capacity for P of seven soils.....	180

Table 5.8 Soil and bacterial treatments set up to examine P-mobilisation from the Griffith and Narrabri soils by FA001 and FA010 bacteria.....	183
Table 5.9 Phosphorus extracted from cultures of Griffith and Narrabri soils with and without P-mobilising bacteria by 0.01 M CaCl <sub>2</sub> with and without treatment with CHCl <sub>3</sub> .....	185
Table 5.10 Phosphorus extracted from cultures of Griffith and Narrabri soils with and without P-mobilising bacteria by NaHCO <sub>3</sub> with and without treatment with CHCl <sub>3</sub> .....	186

## LIST OF FIGURES

### Chapter 1

Figure 1.1 Structure of adenosine triphosphate (ATP) ( <a href="http://chm.bris.ac.uk">http://chm.bris.ac.uk</a> , 2006).....	3
Figure 1.2 The common stereochemical configurations of 3, 4, 5 and 6-connected P compounds (Figures 1.3a-1.3f) and various unstable spectroscopic molecules (Figures 1.3g-1.3l).....	6
Figure 1.3 Soil-plant phosphorus cycle (from Sims and Sharpley, 2005).....	7
Figure 1.4 Structural configuration of inositol hexametaphosphate (Hesse, 1971).....	8
Figure 1.5 A schematic illustration of the relative proportions of phosphate ions in solution at different pH levels in a Ca-H <sub>3</sub> PO <sub>4</sub> system. The dashed lines shows the upper limit of available P in solution imposed by the solubility of calcium phosphates above pH 6.5 or iron and aluminium phosphate below pH 6.5 (Troeh and Thompson, 1993) .....	11
Figure 1.6 Displacement of (a) water and (b) hydroxyl groups from a metal oxide surface by phosphate (modified from Tisdale et al., 1985).....	14
Figure 1.7 Structural configuration of phosphate fixation with iron (monodentate complex) in acidic soil conditions (modified from Tan, 1993).....	15
Figure 1.8 Structural configuration of phosphate fixation with iron (bidentate complex) in acidic soil conditions (modified from Tan, 1993).....	15
Figure 1.9 Phosphate availability and fixation as related to soil pH (from Brady and Weil, 2002) .....	21
Figure 1.10 Major physiological factors associated with plant roots and soil microorganisms that influence the availability of soil P in the rhizosphere (after Richardson, 2001) .....	35
Figure 1.11 Structures of some undissociated organic acids .....	38

### Chapter 2

Figure 2.1 'Halo' zone created by FA001 on minimal agar plate containing insoluble Ca <sub>3</sub> (PO <sub>4</sub> ) <sub>2</sub> .....	72
Figure 2.2 'Halo' zone created by FA002 on minimal agar plate containing insoluble Ca <sub>3</sub> (PO <sub>4</sub> ) <sub>2</sub> .....	72
Figure 2.3 'Halo' zone created by FA003 on minimal agar plate containing insoluble Ca <sub>3</sub> (PO <sub>4</sub> ) <sub>2</sub> .....	73
Figure 2.4 'Halo' zone created by FA004 on minimal agar plate containing insoluble Ca <sub>3</sub> (PO <sub>4</sub> ) <sub>2</sub> .....	73
Figure 2.5 'Halo' zone created by FA005 on minimal agar plate containing insoluble Ca <sub>3</sub> (PO <sub>4</sub> ) <sub>2</sub> .....	73
Figure 2.6 'Halo' zone created by FA009 on minimal agar plate containing insoluble Ca <sub>3</sub> (PO <sub>4</sub> ) <sub>2</sub> .....	73
Figure 2.7 'Halo' zone created by FA010 on minimal agar plate containing insoluble Ca <sub>3</sub> (PO <sub>4</sub> ) <sub>2</sub> .....	74
Figure 2.8 Oxidation fermentation test using bacteria FA001, FA002, FA003, FA004, FA005, FA009 and FA010.....	76
Figure 2.9 API 20E test strip for FA001 strain .....	77
Figure 2.10 Agarose gels of PCR products using forward (1), reverse (3) and reverse (5) primers .....	79

### Chapter 3

Figure 3.1 The changes in pH in six cultures containing bacterial strains and a control culture containing Ca <sub>3</sub> (PO <sub>4</sub> ) <sub>2</sub> , and using (NH <sub>4</sub> ) <sub>2</sub> SO <sub>4</sub> as the source of N over a five day period .....	94
Figure 3.2 Relationship between soluble P and – pH values in the liquid medium containing Ca <sub>3</sub> (PO <sub>4</sub> ) <sub>2</sub> for six cultures containing bacterial strains and a control culture incubated for 5 days.....	95
Figure 3.3 Relationship between mean soluble P and mean – pH values in the liquid medium containing Ca <sub>3</sub> (PO <sub>4</sub> ) <sub>2</sub> for each strain for six cultures containing bacterial strains and a control culture incubated for 5 days.....	96
Figure 3.4 The number of bacteria, soluble P and pH of the strain FA001 in liquid medium containing Ca <sub>3</sub> (PO <sub>4</sub> ) <sub>2</sub> and (NH <sub>4</sub> ) <sub>2</sub> SO <sub>4</sub> as the source of N over a 5 day incubation period .....	98



---

Figure 3.5 The number of bacteria, soluble P and pH of the strain FA002 in liquid medium containing $\text{Ca}_3(\text{PO}_4)_2$ and $(\text{NH}_4)_2\text{SO}_4$ as the source of N over a 5 day incubation period.....	98
Figure 3.6 The number of bacteria, soluble P and pH of the strain FA003 in liquid medium containing $\text{Ca}_3(\text{PO}_4)_2$ and $(\text{NH}_4)_2\text{SO}_4$ as the source of N over a 5 day incubation period.....	98
Figure 3.7 The number of bacteria, soluble P and pH of the strain FA004 in liquid medium containing $\text{Ca}_3(\text{PO}_4)_2$ and $(\text{NH}_4)_2\text{SO}_4$ as the source of N over a 5 day incubation period.....	98
Figure 3.8 The number of bacteria, soluble P and pH of the strain FA009 in liquid medium containing $\text{Ca}_3(\text{PO}_4)_2$ and $(\text{NH}_4)_2\text{SO}_4$ as the source of N over a 5 day incubation period.....	99
Figure 3.9 The number of bacteria, soluble P and pH of the strain FA010 in liquid medium containing $\text{Ca}_3(\text{PO}_4)_2$ and $(\text{NH}_4)_2\text{SO}_4$ as the source of N over a 5 day incubation period.....	99
Figure 3.10 The changes in pH in six cultures containing bacterial strains and a control culture containing $\text{Ca}_3(\text{PO}_4)_2$ and using $\text{NH}_4\text{NO}_3$ as the source of N over a five day period incubation period.....	102
Figure 3.11 Relationship between soluble P and – pH values in the liquid medium containing $\text{Ca}_3(\text{PO}_4)_2$ for six cultures containing bacterial strains and a control culture incubated for 5 days.....	103
Figure 3.12 Relationship between mean soluble P and – pH values in the liquid medium containing $\text{Ca}_3(\text{PO}_4)_2$ for six cultures containing bacterial strains and a control culture incubated for 5 days.....	103
Figure 3.13 The number of bacteria, soluble P and pH of the strain FA001 in liquid medium containing $\text{Ca}_3(\text{PO}_4)_2$ , and $\text{NH}_4\text{NO}_3$ as the source of N over a 5 day incubation period.....	105
Figure 3.14 The number of bacteria, soluble P and pH of the strain FA002 in liquid medium containing $\text{Ca}_3(\text{PO}_4)_2$ , and $\text{NH}_4\text{NO}_3$ as the source of N over a 5 day incubation period.....	105
Figure 3.15 The number of bacteria, soluble P and pH of the strain FA003 in liquid medium containing $\text{Ca}_3(\text{PO}_4)_2$ , and $\text{NH}_4\text{NO}_3$ as the source of N over a 5 day incubation period.....	105
Figure 3.16 The number of bacteria, soluble P and pH the strain FA004 in liquid medium containing $\text{Ca}_3(\text{PO}_4)_2$ , and $\text{NH}_4\text{NO}_3$ as the source of N over a 5 day incubation period.....	105
Figure 3.17 The number of bacteria, soluble P and pH of the strain FA009 in liquid medium containing $\text{Ca}_3(\text{PO}_4)_2$ , and $\text{NH}_4\text{NO}_3$ as the source of N over a 5 day incubation period.....	106
Figure 3.18 The number of bacteria, soluble P, and pH of the strain FA010 in liquid medium containing $\text{Ca}_3(\text{PO}_4)_2$ , and $\text{NH}_4\text{NO}_3$ as the source of N over a 5 day incubation period.....	106
Figure 3.19 Relationship between soluble P and – pH values in the liquid medium containing rock phosphate for six cultures containing bacterial strains and a control culture incubated for 5 days.....	108
Figure 3.20 Relationship between mean soluble P and mean – pH values in the liquid medium containing rock phosphate for six cultures containing bacterial strains and a control culture incubated for 5 days.....	108
Figure 3.21 Rate of change in number of bacteria, available P, and pH of FA001 in liquid medium containing $\text{NH}_4\text{NO}_3$ , and rock phosphate as source of N and P, respectively.....	110
Figure 3.22 Rate of change in number of bacteria, available P and pH of FA002 in liquid medium containing $\text{NH}_4\text{NO}_3$ , and rock phosphate as source of N and P, respectively.....	110
Figure 3.23 Rate of change in number of bacteria, available P, and pH of FA003 in liquid medium containing $\text{NH}_4\text{NO}_3$ , and rock phosphate as source of N and P, respectively.....	110
Figure 3.24 Rate of change in number of bacteria, available P and pH of FA004 in liquid medium containing $\text{NH}_4\text{NO}_3$ , and rock phosphate as source of N and P, respectively.....	110
Figure 3.25 Rate of change in number of bacteria, available P and pH of FA009 in liquid medium containing $\text{NH}_4\text{NO}_3$ and rock phosphate as source of N and P, respectively.....	111
Figure 3.26 Rate of change in number of bacteria, available P and pH of FA010 in liquid medium containing $\text{NH}_4\text{NO}_3$ , and rock phosphate as source of N and P, respectively.....	111
Figure 3.27 Relationship between soluble P and – pH values in the liquid medium containing $\text{AlPO}_4$ for six cultures containing bacterial strains and a control culture incubated for 5 days.....	113
Figure 3.28 Relationship between mean soluble P and – pH values in the liquid medium containing $\text{AlPO}_4$ for six cultures containing bacterial strains and a control culture incubated for 5 days.....	114
Figure 3.29 Relationship between soluble P and – pH values in the liquid medium containing $\text{FePO}_4$ for six cultures containing bacterial strains and a control culture incubated for 5 days.....	117
Figure 3.30 Relationship between mean soluble P and – pH values in the liquid medium containing $\text{FePO}_4$ for six cultures containing bacterial strains and a control culture incubated for 5 days.....	118

---

---

## Chapter 4

Figure 4.2a Temperature measurements in Parramatta Road Glasshouse from 10 <sup>th</sup> October to 17 <sup>th</sup> November 2003 .....	142
Figure 4.1b Temperature measurements in Parramatta Road Glasshouse from 18 <sup>th</sup> November 2003 to 7 <sup>th</sup> January 2004 .....	142
Figure 4.2 The relationship between grain yield and straw yield for wheat grown in Experiment 1 in pots containing three kinds of insoluble P and six bacterial strains.....	145
Figure 4.3 The relationship between grain yield and straw yield for wheat grown in Experiment 2 in pots containing three kinds of insoluble P and six bacterial strains.....	154

## Chapter 5

Figure 5.1 The relationship between mean adsorbed P and physico-chemical properties of soils; (a) CEC (b) OM (c) clay and (d) pH.....	176
Figure 5.2 Langmuir adsorption isotherm for P adsorption in (a) Camden, (b) Griffith, (c) Narrabri, (d) Rutherglen, (e) Wagga Wagga, (f) Wee Waa, and (g) Yanco soils .....	177
Figure 5.3 Freundlich adsorption isotherms for P adsorption in (a) Camden, (b) Griffith, (c) Narrabri, (d) Rutherglen, (e) Wagga Wagga, (f) Wee Waa, and (g) Yanco soils .....	178
Figure 5.4 Temkin adsorption isotherms for P adsorption in (a) Camden, (b) Griffith, (c) Narrabri, (d) Rutherglen, (e) Wagga Wagga, (f) Wee Waa, and (g) Yanco soils .....	179
Figure 5.5 Relationship between maximum P adsorption capacity and the P-buffering capacity of soils .....	180

---

## **STATEMENT OF ORIGINALITY**

Unless otherwise stated, the results presented in this thesis are the original work of the author.

Mohammad Faruque Ahmed

---

**FOR MY PARENTS**

---

## ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

All praise is due to Allah (God), the most Merciful, the most Gracious, for the uncountable bounties He has granted me!

There are many people and organisations involved in helping me complete this research work. Firstly, I am grateful for the advice and guidance of my supervisor Professor Ivan Kennedy and to Dr. Edith Lees who helped me enormously when revising this thesis.

I acknowledge the help of Dr. Misi Kecskés for providing scientific, technical and software support these past few years. I always asked him only “ONE” question every time! Thanks Misi!

My sincere thanks to Dr. Rosalind Deaker, for her continuous help in scientific and technical matters through out my candidature. Thanks also go to Dr. Ali Choudhury for his comments in Chapter 5 of this thesis.

I would like to thank to Professor Peter Martin for providing me soil samples for glasshouse experiments and scientific advice and Dr. Peter New for his constructive criticism. I would like to extend my thanks to Dr. Bob Caldwell for helping me use the HPLC.

Many thanks to faculty members, Andrea, Colin, Iona, Kevin, and in the glasshouse Jarka and Ivan Desailly who helped me greatly.

I would like to extend my sincere thanks to all my friends, who always encouraged me to complete this thesis.

Finally, thanks to my family, my son Rihab Ahmed (10), daughter Rabita Ahmed (7) and wife Nasrin Sultana for allowing me to be away from home for extended periods of time. Thanks go to my youngest brother (Shamsuddin Ahmed) and sister in law (Farzana Ahmed) for helping to take care of my family. Also to my Mum (Ashia Khutan), eldest brother (Shahjahan Mollah), younger brother (Mohammad Hossain) and other relatives in Bangladesh who took an interest and encouraged me to complete the thesis.

This research was funded by the W.C. Turland Postgraduate Scholarship, University of Sydney and BioCare Technology Ltd. I am grateful to these funding organisations for their support.

---

## ABBREVIATIONS

°C	degree Celsius
cfu	colony forming unit
g	gram
<i>g</i>	gravitational force
mg	milligram
µg	microgram
mL	millilitre
L	litre
cm	centimetre
mm	millimetre
µm	micrometre
nm	nanometre
v/v	volume per volume
w/w	weight per weight
%	per cent
CEC	cation exchange capacity
cmolc	centi mole charge
h	hour
min	minute
sec	second
M	molar
mM	millimolar
OD	optical density
spp.	species
DNA	deoxyribonucleic acid
rRNA	ribosomal ribonucleic acid
bp	base pair
kb	kilo base
Ltd	limited
Co.	company
PPB	phosphate peptone buffer
S	strain
FA	Faruque Ahmed
Ca <sub>3</sub> (PO <sub>4</sub> ) <sub>2</sub>	tricalcium phosphate
CaHPO <sub>4</sub> ·2H <sub>2</sub> O	dicalcium phosphate
AlPO <sub>4</sub>	aluminum phosphate
FePO <sub>4</sub>	ferric phosphate
G	guanine
C	cytosine
T	thymine
A	adenosine
SP	super phosphate
Rock P	rock phosphate
Max	maximum
Diam	diameter

Website references: All website references have been included in the text giving the basic website address. The sites have been listed at the end of the references list with further details and date of use.

---

## ABSTRACT

The research reported in this thesis examines on the feasibility of selecting soil bacteria able to convert insoluble forms of soil phosphorus (P) to mobile forms more available for plant growth. A kinetic approach was used in which rates of P-mobilisation were measured.

Bacteria were isolated from soils sampled from three locations, 1) a neutral to alkaline vertisol soil cultivated with cotton and wheat (Narrabri, NSW), 2), a neutral grassland rhizosphere soil (Wee Waa, NSW), and 3) an acid red soil cultivated with wheat (Wagga Wagga, NSW). Seven bacterial strains were successfully isolated using minimal medium containing  $\text{Ca}_3(\text{PO}_4)_2$  as the sole source of P. The isolates were characterised using selective media and identified using 16S rDNA sequence analysis. The P-mobilising bacteria were identified by the clear 'halo' zones surrounding their colonies on agar containing insoluble P. The most effective P-mobilising bacterial isolates were obtained from the grassland and acid wheat soils and identified as *Pantoea ananatis* (FA001) and *Pantoea agglomerans* (FA010), respectively, belonging to the Enterobacteriaceae family. The other strains isolated were classified as *Enterobacter cloacae*, also belonging to the Enterobacteriaceae family, and several *Burkholderia* sp.; these were found to be less effective in P-mobilisation, indicated by smaller halos surrounding their colonies and solubilisation in liquid culture.

Several tests were conducted to validate the P-mobilising ability of these bacteria. In liquid minimal media containing  $500 \text{ mg L}^{-1}$  of different types of insoluble P, the strain (FA001) identified as *Pantoea ananatis* mobilised the highest P from  $\text{Ca}_3(\text{PO}_4)_2$  at the highest kinetic rate ( $50.32 \text{ mg L}^{-1} \text{ day}^{-1}$ ) followed by the strain FA010 identified as *Pantoea agglomerans* ( $45.77 \text{ mg L}^{-1} \text{ day}^{-1}$ ), using  $(\text{NH}_4)_2\text{SO}_4$  as source of N. With  $\text{NH}_4\text{NO}_3$  as source of N, *P. ananatis* (FA001) also mobilised the highest amount of P ( $35.31 \text{ mg L}^{-1} \text{ day}^{-1}$ ) from  $\text{Ca}_3(\text{PO}_4)_2$  followed by *P. agglomerans* (FA010) ( $18.68 \text{ mg L}^{-1} \text{ day}^{-1}$ ). But this was reversed when rock phosphate was the source of P. *P. agglomerans* (FA010) mobilised the highest P ( $27.27 \text{ mg L}^{-1} \text{ day}^{-1}$ ), followed by *P. ananatis* (FA001) ( $24.53 \text{ mg L}^{-1} \text{ day}^{-1}$ ) from rock phosphate-containing medium. Of these isolated strains, *P. ananatis* (FA001) mobilised small amounts of P from  $\text{AlPO}_4$  and  $\text{FePO}_4$ . *P. agglomerans* (FA010) also mobilised small amounts of P from  $\text{AlPO}_4$ , but not from  $\text{FePO}_4$ .

There was very good correlation between acid production and P mobilisation, suggesting acid production as a major mechanism used for mobilising P by these isolated strains. There was some indication that chelation may also play a role, since both *P. ananatis* (FA001) and *P. agglomerans* (FA010) produced citric acid ( $2.55 \text{ mg L}^{-1} \text{ day}^{-1}$  and  $2.89 \text{ g L}^{-1} \text{ day}^{-1}$ , respectively). The strong organic anion, citrate, can potentially chelate cations such as  $\text{Ca}^{2+}$  and  $\text{Al}^{3+}$ , reducing their chance of immobilising P.

---

To confirm that the results of these *in vitro* experiments were relevant for plant growth, glasshouse experiments were conducted in a P-depleted soil to examine the effect of the P mobilising bacteria on the grain yield of wheat. Two yield experiments were conducted, using  $\text{Ca}_3(\text{PO}_4)_2$ ,  $\text{CaHPO}_4 \cdot 2\text{H}_2\text{O}$  and rock phosphate as sources of P. Consistent with the *in vitro* data results, for all the sources of P, *P. ananatis* (FA001) and *P. agglomerans* (FA010) resulted in significantly higher grain and straw yields in trials conducted in 2003 (Experiment 1) and in 2004 (Experiment 2). In Experiment 2 the percentage P content of the grain and straw was the same in treatments with bacteria and the controls. Another glasshouse experiment (Experiment 3) was conducted to evaluate the plant growth-promoting (PGPR) effects of these bacteria, using soluble phosphorus with Hoagland solution. In this experiment, *P. ananatis* (FA001) and *P. agglomerans* (FA010) were compared with some other recognised PGPR strains. The results suggest that both of these bacteria can increase grain yield of wheat by about 10 per cent compared to uninoculated controls, independently of the P-mobilising effects.

Since understanding the role of bacteria in P-mobilisation in relation to the chemical behaviour of P in soil was a principal objective of this thesis, *P. ananatis* (FA001) and *P. agglomerans* (FA010) were also used in an experiment to examine P adsorption to and desorption from soil. Two soils i) a brown sandy clay loam of pH 4.07 from Griffith, and ii) a heavy clay vertisol of pH 7.42 (Narrabri soil), were used for the desorption experiment. It was revealed that both these bacteria (*P. ananatis* (FA001) and *P. agglomerans* (FA010)) could significantly mobilise P from the vertisol with neutral pH, but not from the sandy clay soil with low pH. From Langmuir and Temkin adsorption isotherms which are indicative of P adsorption behaviour of these soils, it was concluded that for soils having high P-buffering capacity and high maximum P adsorption capacity these bacteria could not significantly mobilise phosphorus. Therefore it can be concluded that before applying P mobilising biofertiliser, maximum adsorption capacity and retention behaviour of soil should be estimated, so that the feasibility of effectively applying a particular biofertiliser can be predicted.

From the set of P-mobilising biofertiliser strains studied in this thesis, *P. ananatis* (FA001) was indicated as the best strain, meeting the criteria for mobilisation in the different tests. It is, therefore recommended for further testing as a biofertiliser strain in different soil types. However, whether this strain will be effective under field conditions remains to be tested.