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SYNOPSIS

This thesis considers the status of Deleuze as a Kantian, and as such committed both to the critical destiny of philosophy, and the contestation of the sense of this destiny. The focus of Deleuze’s reading of Kant is an active conception of thought: the fundamental elements of thought are will and value rather than being or the concept. In the development of this idea we can note a progressive ‘tapering’ of the foundational instance of thought, in three stages: from the speculative field of being to the practical field of reason; from the intellectual category of the concept to the problematic category of the Idea; from the teleological notion of the organism to the aesthetic notion of the singular. Within each stage we can perceive a polemic between the two terms: it is in each case a question of the ‘sufficient reason’ of thought, its conditions of the actuality beyond its possibility. The highest expression of our reason, for Kant, is neither theoretical nor utilitarian, but moral: the realisation of our lawful freedom. For Deleuze, on the other hand, the ultimate secret of our freedom and thus all of our thought is to be found rather in the realm of the aesthetic.
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PREFATORY NOTES ON SOURCES AND REFERENCES

This thesis is based on my own research, and incorporates no works published by myself elsewhere or submitted towards another degree. Use of other’s work is referenced in the footnotes and listed in the bibliography.

The bibliography is divided into two sections: a primary bibliography with sourced works by Deleuze and Kant, and a secondary bibliography with all other sources. A list of abbreviations for frequently cited works by Kant and Deleuze follows these notes. The standard scholarly pagination is used for Kant’s three Critiques and his Anthropology from a Pragmatic Point of View.

Apart from printed works, I have also made reference to some of Deleuze’s seminars at the Université de Vincennes in the 70s and 80s, transcribed and made available online by Richard Pinhas, whose translation is being overseen by Timothy Murphy. In these cases I have provided the subject and date of the seminar, and the paragraph number. The URL for the site is provided in the bibliography.

In researching this thesis, I have mostly worked from the original French texts by Deleuze and the other French authors used, and English translations of Kantian sources. In references to the French texts, I have given both the French (F) and English (E) pagination, where both were available. I have often made modifications of the published English translation, mostly expressing relatively minor stylistic preferences of wording, stress and syntax, and often in order to bring out a ‘Kantian’ resonance in the French that is less apparent in the English rendition (for example, rendering “s’orienter dans la pensée” as “to orient oneself in thought” rather than “to find one’s bearings within thought”). Where modifications are made, this is indicated in the notes. Where there is a significant semantic divergence between my own and the standard translation, I have clarified the grounds and substance of the difference in the notes, along with the original French text. Translations of passages from untranslated French sources are my own.

I have included as appendices translations of three untranslated French texts to which extensive references are made: Deleuze’s address to the French Society of Philosophy in 1968, “La Méthode de dramatisation”, Emile Bréhier’s article on “La notion de problème en philosophie” from the Swedish journal of philosophy Theoria in 1948, and Deleuze’s introduction to Instincts and Institutions from 1953. The original pagination of these works has been retained in their reproduction here.
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CC  Critique et Clinique (1993)/Essays critical and clinical (1997)

DR  Différence et répétition (1968)/Difference and repetition (1994)


NP  Nietzsche et la philosophie (1962)/Nietzsche and philosophy (1983)

MD  “La Methode de dramatisation” (1968). Translated as Appendix 1.

PCK La philosophie critique de Kant: Doctrine des facultés (1963)/Kant’s critical philosophy: the doctrine of the faculties (1984)

QP?  Qu’est-ce que la philosophie? (1991)/What is Philosophy? (Deleuze and Guattari 1994)

KANT:

AP  Anthropologie in pragmatischer Hinsicht/Anthropology from a pragmatic point of view (1798)

CJ  Kritik der Urtheilskraft/Critique of Judgement (1790)

CPR  Kritik der reinen Vernunft/Critique of Pure Reason (1781 & 1787)

OT  “Was heisst: Sich im Denken orientieren?/“What is orientation in thinking?” (1786)

QE  “Beantwortung der Frage: Was ist Aufklärung?”/“An Answer to the Question: ‘What is Enlightenment?’” (1784)