

Pension reform:
an analysis of the economic foundations of
private pensions

Sacha Vidler

PhD

University of Sydney

2003

Preliminaries

Table of contents

PRELIMINARIES	2
TABLE OF CONTENTS	2
TABLE OF FIGURES	5
ACRONYMS	6
ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS	6
ABSTRACT	7
CHAPTER 1 INTRODUCTION	9
1 NEOLIBERAL ECONOMIC POLICY	9
2 NEOLIBERAL PENSION POLICY	12
3 ECONOMIC ARGUMENTS SUPPORTING NEOLIBERAL PENSION POLICY	15
4 METHODS AND DATA	17
CHAPTER 2 SAVING	19
1 INTRODUCTION	19
2 PENSION REFORM AND SAVING: THE OFFICIAL POLICY POSITION	20
2.1 Australia	20
2.2 Overseas	27
3 PENSION REFORM AND SAVING: ORTHODOX THEORY	31
3.1 The neoclassical life-cycle theory of saving	31
3.2 The LCT and public PAYGO pension systems	33
3.3 The LCT and private funded pension systems	36
3.4 Putting it together: the LCT and neoliberal pension reform	38
4 THEORETICAL AND EMPIRICAL CHALLENGES	40
4.1 Retirement saving	40
4.2 Empirical evidence	41
4.3 Saving offsets	49
5 SUMMARY	57
CHAPTER 3 INVESTMENT AND RATES OF RETURN	59
1 INTRODUCTION	59
2 THE RECOMMENDED PRIVATE PENSION SYSTEM	60
3 PENSION RATES OF RETURN	66
3.1 Comparative historical returns	66
3.2 Intergenerational and intragenerational redistribution	74
3.3 Summary	81
4 PENSION FUND INVESTMENT	82
4.1 Pension fund investment and economic development	83
4.2 Governance	96
4.3 Summary	100
5 CONCLUSIONS	100

CHAPTER 4	ADMINISTRATION	102
1	INTRODUCTION	102
2	INFORMATION COST	103
3	PRODUCTS.....	105
3.1	Constrained provider choice	105
3.2	Product choice.....	106
4	PRICING	107
4.1	Complexity.....	107
4.2	Consumer skills and motivation.....	109
4.3	Marketing and selling - bias and cost	113
4.4	Determinants of price.....	116
4.5	Structural change and the cost of administration over time.....	120
4.6	Summary	123
5	ACCOUNT PROLIFERATION	123
6	NEGLIGENCE AND FRAUD.....	125
7	ALTERNATIVE SYSTEMS	127
7.1	Bolivia and Sweden - funded systems without consumer markets.....	127
7.2	A reformed consumer market for the Anglo-American countries	129
8	CONCLUSIONS.....	130
CHAPTER 5	LABOUR MARKETS AND INEQUALITY.....	132
1	INTRODUCTION	132
2	PENSIONS AND INEQUALITY	133
2.1	Defining poverty	134
2.2	Elderly poverty in OECD countries.....	137
2.3	Elderly poverty and pension systems.....	139
2.4	Modelling private pensions and poverty.....	147
2.5	Summary	152
3	PENSIONS AND LABOUR MARKETS.....	152
3.1	The neoliberal view: labour market distortion.....	152
3.2	Pensions and competitiveness.....	154
3.3	Pensions and early retirement	155
4	CONCLUSIONS.....	158
CHAPTER 6	POLITICAL ECONOMY.....	159
1	INTRODUCTION	159
2	PUBLIC PENSION SYSTEM CRISIS.....	160
2.1	Chile and Latin America.....	161
2.2	The Transition Economies	163
2.3	Africa	165
2.4	South-East Asia.....	166
2.5	OECD countries	167
2.6	Summary	169
3	INSULATION OF PRIVATE PENSIONS FROM GOVERNMENT	169
3.1	Private pension system reform.....	171
3.2	Economic and political crisis	172
3.3	Implied public responsibility for private pensions.....	173
3.4	Summary	174
4	PRIVATE PENSIONS - PRIVATE INTERESTS.....	175

4.1	Direct lobbying	176
4.2	IFI policy encouragement	178
5	CONCLUSIONS	180
CHAPTER 7 DEMOGRAPHY AND FISCAL SUSTAINABILITY		182
1	INTRODUCTION	182
2	NEW ZEALAND SUPERANNUATION (NZS)	183
3	MODELLING SUSTAINABILITY	184
4	APPLYING THE MODEL	186
4.1	Australian demographic conditions	186
4.2	Other OECD countries	187
5	CONCLUSIONS	188
CHAPTER 8 CONCLUSIONS		190
1	SUMMARY OF FINDINGS	190
1.1	Saving	190
1.2	Investment	192
1.3	Administration	196
1.4	Labour market distortion and inequality	197
1.5	Political economy	198
1.6	Sustainability	199
2	SOME IMPLICATIONS OF THE FINDINGS	200
APPENDIX A. DETAILED REPRESENTATION OF FIGURE 5.6		202
SOURCES		203

Table of figures

Figure 2.1 Superannuation coverage by type of employee.....	23
Figure 2.2 Assumptions and implications of the life-cycle theory of saving	32
Figure 2.3 The Hump-Shaped Age-Asset Profile	42
Figure 2.4 Age-asset profile of a single cohort.....	43
Figure 2.5 National saving versus private pension assets.....	47
Figure 2.6 National saving versus public pension spending.....	48
Figure 3.1 System dependency and public PAYGO accounting.....	76
Figure 3.2 Passive investment and herding.....	87
Figure 3.3 Venture capital and the dot.com stock boom	95
Figure 4.1 Negative impact on final accumulation of different annual asset-based fees with different annual gross returns	108
Figure 4.2 Range of administration charges per member by size of fund	118
Figure 4.3 Asset-based charges, by size of account (medium expense investment options)	119
Figure 4.4 Net annual contributions to Australian superannuation	122
Figure 4.5 Aggregate internal operating costs for Australian superannuation	123
Figure 5.1 Elderly People in Relative Poverty in Selected OECD Countries	138
Figure 5.2 Gender Breakdown of Elderly Population in Australia in Relative Poverty.	139
Figure 5.3 Public pension spending versus elderly poverty (50% of median income) - Selected OECD Countries.....	140
Figure 5.4 Public pension spending versus elderly women living alone in poverty (50% of median adjusted income) - Selected OECD Countries.....	141
Figure 5.5 Private pension assets versus elderly poverty (50% of median income) - Selected OECD Countries.....	142
Figure 5.6 Summary of provisional modelling of the potential for ordinary and low- income earners to avoid poverty in retirement in funded pension systems	151
Figure 7.1 A model of sustainability applied to Australian demographic predictions ...	187
Figure 7.2 The NZS-style model applied to other OECD countries.....	188

Acronyms

APRA	Australian Prudential and Regulatory Authority
ASFA	Association of Superannuation Funds of Australia
ATO	Australian Tax Office
CEPR	Centre for Economic Policy Research (Italy)
ENEPRI	European Network Of Economic Policy Research Institutes
FSA	Financial Services Authority (UK)
ICI	Investment Company Institute (US)
ILO	International Labour Office
IMF	International Monetary Fund
NASVF	National Association of Seed and Venture Funds (US)
NBER	National Bureau of Economic Research (US)
NPR	Neoliberal pension reform
OASDI	Old Age, Survivors, and Disability Insurance
OECD	Organisation for Economic Co-operation and Development
OTRC	Office of the Retirement Commissioner (NZ)
PAYGO	Pay-As-You-Go
RBA	Reserve Bank of Australia
RIM	Retirement Income Modelling (RIM) Taskforce (Australia)
UN	United Nations
VC	Venture Capital
WB	The World Bank

Acknowledgements

I would like to thank Evan Jones, my supervisor, for unflagging support and patience.

Sarah Wentworth, my partner, provided the initial motivation to attempt this research and has supported me throughout the exercise. Sarah also provided valuable improvements while proofing.

Andrew Buckland gave excellent feedback on a late draft.

Tom Brennan, Paul Ireland and David Money gave interviews which shaped the early approach. I have also benefited from discussions at various stages with Tom, Paul, Andrew and Declan Moore.

Abstract

The dissertation investigates support by economists for the global policy shift away from unfunded public pension schemes towards funded private pension schemes. Influential economists and institutions, including the World Bank, present a suite of economic arguments that suggest that this shift will have positive effects on national economies, particularly in the context of aging.

The arguments may be categorised according to their relation to the operation of three sets of institutions: capital markets, labour markets and political systems.

In capital markets, the transition is purported to increase private and national saving, increase the quantity and quality of investment, and provide more efficient private administration. In labour markets, it is claimed that the shift will reduce labour market distortions associated with public pensions, which inhibit competitiveness, produce unemployment and encourage early retirement. According to the World Bank, public pensions systems cause these distortions *without* achieving their stated objective of reducing inequality. In the political sphere, the shift is purported to insulate the pension system from political pressures, which otherwise inevitably lead to crisis.

The thesis provides evidence which refutes these claims. The best research, including studies by orthodox economists, indicate that the shift does not increase savings or investment, or improve the quality of financial investment. The main effect of tax concessions associated with private pension systems is to divert to private pension funds savings that would occur in any case via other mechanisms. The tax concessions are also regressive, even in systems with compulsory elements. Private administration of pensions, particularly in a plural consumer market setting, is highly inefficient, with customers at a disadvantage in dealing with providers due to the complexity and opacity of products and pricing.

A negative relationship is found between public pension spending and levels of elderly poverty, suggesting that reducing public pension spending increases levels of elderly inequality. Public pensions are found not to explain differences in economic growth between regions. Elements of system design which distort labour markets,

such as by encouraging early retirement, can easily be adjusted. However, such elements are explicit government policy in several countries.

A review of public and private pensions finds that examples of public system crisis are associated with instances of economic and political collapse, rather than system design. Private funded systems are found to be more vulnerable, not less, to the same external influences. Relatively generous universal public pension systems are found to be financially sustainable despite demographic change, assuming modest levels of economic growth.