

Factors that affect the delivery of diabetes care

A thesis submitted for the Degree of
Doctor of Philosophy

by

Jane E. Overland
MPH

Department of Medicine
The University of Sydney
and
Royal Prince Alfred Hospital
Sydney, Australia

2000

Summary

Diabetes is emerging as a major threat to health, with global economic and social implications. Recent research has shown that the morbidity and mortality associated with diabetes can be reduced by timely and effective treatment. However, unless people with diabetes have access to this treatment, the impact of diabetes will continue to rise. This thesis therefore explores the current standards of care which people with diabetes receive. It also looks at factors likely to impact on delivery of diabetes care. Studies were conducted at two levels. In the studies described in Chapters 2 and 3, general data applicable to all or nearly all patients with diabetes were collected. This approach substantially eliminates selection bias but precludes the ability to examine clinical outcomes. In the other studies, detailed in Chapters 4, 5 and 6, specific aspects of diabetes care pertaining to more select groups of diabetic subjects were examined. This approach allows clinical parameters to be examined in more detail but is more subject to selection bias. It is hoped that the combination of these two approaches provides a more balanced view of the topic under examination.

In Australia, the Medicare Program, a single government controlled universal health insurance fund, provides access to medical services for all residents. Medicare occasions of service data therefore represent the most comprehensive source of information regarding health service utilisation

in Australia. The data does not account for people receiving diabetes care through public hospital based services. However, a survey of public hospitals within NSW (n=198), described in Chapter 2, showed that the number of individuals in this category is relatively small and represents only 5.2% of the diabetic population.

Using Medicare item codes, and with the permission and assistance of the Commonwealth Department of Health and Aged Care, data were extracted on attendance to medical practitioners and utilisation of diabetes related procedures for people living in New South Wales (NSW) for the individual years between 1993 to 1997. All data were stratified by the presence of diabetes, gender and age group. Individuals were deemed to have diabetes if an HbA_{1c}, which can only be ordered for a person with known diabetes, had been performed over the 5-year period and the sample size adjusted for the incidence of diabetes. Once adjusted, the number of people with diabetes in NSW for the individual years 1993 to 1997 were 143,920, 156,234, 168,216, 177,280 and 185,780. Comparison with 1996 census data confirmed a 91.7% capture of the total NSW population (5,495,900/5,995,545 individuals).

The data were retrieved for NSW as a whole and for individual postcodes. Postcodes were then classified by population density as either major urban, urban or rural. On average over the study period, persons with diabetes accounted for 3.1% of the population but they used 5.5% of general practitioner services. As seen in Chapter 2, a large proportion of

people with diabetes were also under the care of specialists and consultant physicians, up to 51.2% and 41.8% respectively, a 3 to 4 fold increase when compared with their non-diabetic counterparts. In regard to geographical location, once adjusted for age and gender, the odds ratio of attending a specialist was only slightly higher for people with diabetes living in areas of high population density when compared to people with diabetes living in rural areas. This ratio reached as high as 1.85 in regard to attendance to consultant physicians (Chapter 3). The odds ratio for the non-diabetic population was similar indicating that the difference in access to consultant physicians was not disease specific.

Analysis of results showed that despite the increase in service utilisation, large proportions of people with diabetes were not routinely monitored in regard to diabetes and its complications across the State. By 1997, HbA_{1c} was still not performed in over 40% of people with diabetes each year and only 11.6% of the diabetic population had undergone microalbuminuria estimation. Interestingly, the differences in levels of monitoring between rural and urban areas were surprisingly small. Monitoring of diabetes and its complications did improve in all parts of the State over the study period. However, the greatest improvement was seen in rural areas, despite rural patients having fewer attendances to general practitioners and fewer patients attending specialist care.

In the face of finite resources and the rising prevalence of diabetes, an increasing number of patients will need to rely on general practitioners to

provide diabetes care regardless of where they live. A 'shared care' approach which encourages and supports general practitioners to manage patients with diabetes, while giving them access to specialist services for those patients that require them, is increasingly being advocated as a way of maximising efficacy while minimising costs. Yet if health care professionals leave undone what they think is done by others, shared care can become neglected care. Chapter 4 reports a detailed audit of 200 randomly selected shared care patients who were assessed on two or more occasions. This study showed that the majority of specialist treatment recommendations are implemented by general practitioners. Doctors formally registered with the Diabetes Shared Care Programme and those who write longer referral letters were more likely to implement recommendations than their counterparts. Moreover, the average HbA_{1c} and the complication profile of these patients were similar to those found in various studies around the world. This suggests that diabetes can be well managed by a shared care approach that is adequately integrated.

To overcome the problem that data is lacking on those patients that did not return for specialist review, a further 200 shared care patients who were lost to follow up from the shared care system were traced. Information regarding whether treatment recommendations had been implemented was sought from both the referring doctor and the patient. Overall, information on 182 of the 200 patients could be obtained. As discussed in Chapter 5, comparison of the returned and non returned patients' demographic and clinical profiles at time of their initial specialist

review showed that general practitioners differentiated between the ‘more complicated’ patients, choosing to re-refer those with macrovascular disease, while maintaining the care of ‘less complicated’ patients. Re-referral for specialist review was also dependent on the patient remaining under the care of their original doctor. Encouragingly, general practitioners seemed to take a more active role in the non-returned group. They included more details regarding type and duration of diabetes in the referral letters of patients who were not re-referred for specialist review. They also implemented more treatment recommendations in the non-returned group, with the difference in implementation rate for metabolic recommendations reaching statistical significance. This study also showed that movement of patients between doctors raises concern regarding continuity of care.

The multi-factorial nature of diabetes means that best practice is not easily accommodated within a single appointment. Thus continuity of care becomes an important issue. To assess the current status, 479 consecutive patients referred to the Royal Prince Alfred Hospital Diabetes Centre in a 6-month period were recruited and underwent a detailed clinical assessment. They were also questioned regarding the number of general practitioners they attended and the length of time they had been under the care of the referring doctor. The results outlined in Chapter 6 showed that the majority of people with diabetes (87.7%) attended only one general practitioner and had been under the care of that doctor medium to long term. Younger patients, who were relatively healthy apart from the

presence of diabetes, were more likely to attend several general practitioners or have changed their general practitioner within the last year. This lack of continuity had little difference on acute outcomes such as glycaemic and blood pressure control. Appropriately, continuity of care increased with increasing age and the increasing prevalence of diabetes complications, mainly macrovascular disease.

These studies indicate that further efforts are required to improve the overall standard of diabetes care within Australia. At present there is a heavy dependency on specialist services. As the population ages and the number of people with diabetes increases, much of this burden will fall on general practitioners, as is already evident in rural areas. When provided with appropriate support and infrastructure, general practitioners are able to maintain standards of care through referral of patients with more complex medical problems and by maintaining the degree of continuity appropriate to the patient's needs. However, the collection of relevant information to monitor future trends in diabetes services provision is important. As shown in this thesis, Medicare data represents an easy and cost effective method with which to do so.

Publications arising from this research

Overland J., Mira M. and Yue D.K. (1999). Diabetes management: shared care or shared neglect. *Diabetes Research and Clinical Practice* 44(2): 123-8.

Overland J., Yue D.K. and Mira M. (2000). The pattern of diabetes care in New South Wales: a five-year analysis using Medicare occasions of service data. *Australian and New Zealand Journal of Public Health* 24(4): 389-93.

Overland J., Yue D.K. and Mira M. (submitted). The use of Medicare services related to diabetes: the impact of geographic location.

Overland J., Mira M. and Yue D.K. (2001) Differential shared care for diabetes: does it provide the optimal partition between primary and specialist care. *Diabetes Medicine* (in press).

Overland J., Yue D.K. and Mira M. (2001). Continuity of care in diabetes: to whom does it matter. *Diabetes Research and Clinical Practice* (in press).

Preface

The studies presented in this thesis are original research. Work related to the studies including study design, data collection and analysis were carried out by myself at the Diabetes Centre, Royal Prince Alfred Hospital and the Department of Medicine, The University of Sydney under the supervision of Professor Dennis Yue and Professor Michael Mira.

The retrieval of the Medicare occasions of service data was carried out by the staff of the Financing and Analysis Branch of the Commonwealth Department of Health and Aged Care.

Ethics approval for all work presented in this thesis was granted by the Central Sydney Area Health Service Ethics Review Committee.

A University Postgraduate Award granted by The University of Sydney supported the final year of my candidature.

Acknowledgments

The studies in this thesis could not have been carried out without the help, advice and support of many people. I am indebted to Professor Dennis Yue who gave me the encouragement and opportunity to conduct these studies at the Royal Prince Alfred Hospital Diabetes Centre. I am also appreciative of his ongoing support and advice throughout this research. Professor Michael Mira gave me essential advice on the design and analysis of the Medicare occasions of service studies. Lynda Molynaeux provided invaluable help in writing programmes to retrieve and analyse data. Maria Constantino established the database to collect data used in the continuity of care study. I would also like to thank my colleagues at the Diabetes Centre for their general support.

I am grateful to the staff of the Financing and Analysis Branch of the Commonwealth Department of Health and Aged Care for their assistance in retrieving the Medicare occasions of service data. Thanks must also go to the General Managers and Directors of Pathology of NSW public hospitals who provided details regarding diabetes services and HbA_{1c} estimations funded by the State health system.

I would also like to thank the general practitioners that reviewed their patient files and completed the questionnaires for the shared care study. I am immensely grateful to the many patients who agreed to undergo the clinical assessments and provide details regarding their treatment. I hope

that this thesis will make a contribution to improving the standard of care you receive.

On a more personal level, I would like to thank my husband and daughter, Peter and Sophie, for their patience, understanding and encouragement.

Contents

	Page
1. Literature review	1
Introduction	1
Type 1 diabetes	1
Type 2 diabetes	3
The complications of diabetes	7
Microvascular complications	7
Macrovascular complications	9
The importance of glycaemic control	12
The importance of treating blood pressure and lipids in diabetes	14
Treatment guidelines for diabetes	18
Do guidelines work?	19
The evolving diabetes epidemic	22
The personal impact of diabetes	23
The economic impact of diabetes	24
Who should look after people with diabetes	26
Diabetes care in Australia	31
Supporting diabetes care – The shared care approach	36
Conclusions	41
2. The pattern and standard of diabetes care in New South Wales	42
Introduction	42
Method	44
Identification of individuals with diabetes	44
Quantification of medical service usage	44
Estimation of State funded services	46
Statistical methods	46
Results	48
Patterns of attendance to medical practitioners	48
Adherence with clinical and laboratory recommendations	51

	The effects of age and gender	54
	Adjustment for State funded services	58
	Discussion	60
3.	The impact of geographic isolation on the use of Medicare services related to diabetes	66
	Introduction	66
	Methods	68
	Identification of individuals with diabetes	68
	Quantification of medical service usage	68
	Determination of geographic location	69
	Statistical methods	69
	Results	70
	Attendance to medical practitioners for patients with diabetes	70
	Laboratory investigations	75
	Discussion	77
4.	Diabetes management: shared care or shared neglect	81
	Introduction	81
	Method	83
	Statistical methods	84
	Results	86
	Discussion	91
5.	Shared care: does it promote the optimal use of specialist care	96
	Introduction	96
	Methods	98
	Statistical methods	100
	Results	102
	Discussion	108
6.	Continuity of care	112
	Introduction	112

Methods	114
Clinical assessment	114
Patients	115
Statistical methods	115
Results	117
Single versus multiple general practitioners	117
Time under the care of the referring general practitioner	120
Discussion	129
7. Concluding remarks	132
References	135
Appendices	159

List of Tables

Table		Page
1-1.	Aetiological classification of disorders of glycaemia	4
1-2.	Top 10 countries for estimated numbers of adults with diabetes, 1995 and 2025	23
2-1.	Medicare item codes used for data retrieval	45
2-2.	Average number of services per person for those people with and without diabetes who attended a general practitioner, specialist or consultant physician for the years 1993 to 1997	50
2-3.	Frequency of laboratory investigations in people with diabetes for the years 1993 to 1997	53
2-4.	The difference (OR and 95% CI) in the proportion of patients using services over the years 1993 to 1997 for people with diabetes (people without diabetes used as a reference group), adjusted for age and gender	55

2-5.	The effect of age and gender on attendance to specialists and consultant physicians for people with diabetes	56
2-6.	The effect of age and gender on the proportion of people with diabetes with laboratory investigations performed	57
2-7.	Comparison of 1997 findings using Medicare data alone versus data adjusted for State funded services	59
3-1.	The proportion of patients with diabetes living in major urban, urban and rural localities attending a specialist, consultant physician and ophthalmologist during the years 1993 to 1997	72
3-2.	The difference (OR and 95% CI) in the proportion of patients with diabetes living in major urban, urban and rural localities attending medical practitioners and undergoing diabetes related investigations for the individual years 1993 to 1997, adjusted for age and gender	73
3-3.	Frequency of laboratory investigations in persons with diabetes living in major urban, urban and rural localities over the years 1993 to 1997	76

4-1.	Number of patients with treatment recommendations implemented at Visit 2	87
4-2.	Demographic profile of patients who did and did not have treatment recommendations implemented	88
4-3.	Clinical profile of patients who did and did not have treatment recommendations implemented	90
5-1.	Clinical profile of patients who did and did not return for specialist review	104
5-2.	Demographic profile of patients who did and did not return for specialist review	105
5-3.	Number of treatment recommendations implemented in returned and non-returned patients	107
6-1.	Demographic and clinical profiles of patients under the care of one versus multiple general practitioners	118
6-2.	Complication profile of patients under the care of one versus multiple general practitioners	119

6-3.	Demographic profile of patients under the care of the referring doctor for less than 12 months, 1 to 10 years and more than 10 years	121
6-4.	Clinical profile of patients under the care of the referring doctor for less than 12 months, 1 to 10 years and more than 10 years	122
6-5.	Complication profile of patients under the care of the referring doctor for less than 12 months, 1 to 10 years and more than 10 years	123
6-6.	The OR (95% CI) of a patient having a history of cerebrovascular disease, ischaemic heart disease or any complication of diabetes, adjusted for age and duration	128

List of Figures

Figure		Page
6-1.	The proportion of patients with a history of cerebrovascular disease, stratified by the time they had been under the care of the referring doctor	124
6-2.	The proportion of patients with a history of ischaemic heart disease, stratified by the time they had been under the care of the referring doctor	125
6-3.	The proportion of patients with any complication of diabetes, stratified by the time they had been under the care of the referring doctor	126

List of Abbreviations

ANOVA	one way analysis of variance
BP	blood pressure
CI	confidence interval
CSAHS	Central Sydney Area Health Service
DCCT	Diabetes Control and Complications Trial
ESRD	end stage renal disease
HbA _{1c}	glycosylated haemoglobin
HPLC	high performance liquid chromatography
HDL	high density lipoprotein
IQR	inter-quartile range
LADA	latent autoimmune diabetes in adults
LDL	low density lipoprotein
NSW	New South Wales
OR	odds ratio
P	probability
SD	standard deviation
SERU	Support and Evaluation Unit
UKPDS	United Kingdom Prospective Diabetes Study