

**UNDERSTANDING STUDENTS LEARNING STATISTICS: AN
ACTIVITY THEORY APPROACH**

SUSAN EVE GORDON B.Sc (Hons), MSc, Dip. Ed., Dip. Datametrics

A thesis submitted to The University of Sydney in fulfilment of the requirements
for the degree of Doctor of Philosophy

FACULTY OF EDUCATION

THE UNIVERSITY OF SYDNEY

March 1998

DEDICATION

I dedicate this thesis to my beloved parents, husband and children

My late father:	Rolf Melchiker
My mother:	Minnie Melchiker
My husband:	Hilton Gordon
My sons:	Brian Gordon
	Michael Gordon

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

During my long and exciting journey developing this thesis, I enjoyed the support, guidance and company of many people.

Firstly, I wish to acknowledge the help of those I cannot name — the students and academics who are quoted in this thesis. You were generous in sharing your time, feelings and thoughts with me. I have learned so much from you.

I want to thank my supervisor Dr Kathryn Crawford. You encouraged me to think more deeply and creatively than I believed possible. Our discussions were always stimulating and challenging yet never failed to provide the encouragement, support and humour that kept me going. I believe that my thinking blossomed in the nurturing environment that you created.

To the many colleagues and friends who assisted me, my heartfelt gratitude. I am particularly indebted to Mr Peter Fletcher, my co-researcher in the phenomenographic analysis of students' surveys. Your assistance was invaluable. My thanks to Ms Mary Barnes, my colleague and mentor. Our discussions helped shape my thinking on many topics. Thank you, Mary, too, for your helpful suggestions on portions of this thesis. I also wish to thank Dr Margaret Charles for her support and Professor Heinrich Bauersfeld for his illuminating comments on early drafts of sections of the thesis. I am most grateful for the moral and practical support of my colleagues at the Mathematics Learning Centre: Ms Cathy Kennedy, Dr Collin Phillips and, particularly, Ms Jackie Nicholas, Head of the Centre. Not the least of your help was the strong admonition "to get the thing in". Thank you, Jackie, for taking on the major task of reading and commenting on a draft of this thesis. I particularly appreciated your chortling your way through chapter five, enjoying the students' quotes and relating to them.

I spent a fruitful and stimulating semester at the University of Technology, Sydney as part of a Special Study Programme. During this time I participated in many seminars and discussions, which were pertinent to the development of this thesis. I wish to thank my sister, Dr Sandy Schuck, who helped organise my visit to the School of Teacher Education, and made me very welcome, and the many helpful people there, particularly Dr Gilda Segal and Professor Christine Deer.

Last, but not least, I acknowledge the support and love of my family: my sons Brian and Michael, my mother, late father and especially my husband, Hilton. You shared your lives with Vygotsky and a computer for some years. You got used to having conversations with me which ended in silence as you realised that the topic under discussion was not receiving my attention at all. Indeed, I solved many tricky, logical problems while family conversations passed me by. You have all encouraged me with your confidence that a thesis would actually emerge one day and meanwhile you, Hilton, Brian and Michael, did the cooking, shopping and housework at many times. This thesis could not have been written without you.

PREFACE

The following publications and conference papers are related to this thesis.

Crawford, K., Gordon, S., Nicholas, J. & Prosser, M. (1994a). Conceptions of mathematics and how it is learned: The perspectives of students entering university. *Learning and Instruction*, 4, 331-345.

Crawford, K., Gordon, S., Nicholas, J. & Prosser, M. (1994b). Students' reports of their learning about functions. In J. da Pont & J. Matos (Eds.), *Proceedings of the Eighteenth Conference of the International Group for the Psychology of Mathematics Education*, (Vol. 2, pp. 233-239). Lisbon: University of Lisbon.

Crawford, K., Gordon, S., Nicholas, J. & Prosser, M. (1995). Patterns of meaning of students' mathematical experiences at university. In B. Atweh & S. Flavel (Eds.), *Proceedings of the Eighteenth Annual Conference of the Mathematics Education Research Group of Australasia*, (pp. 203-209). Darwin: Mathematics Education Research Group of Australasia.

Crawford, K., Gordon, S., Nicholas, J. & Prosser, M. (1998). Qualitatively different experiences of learning mathematics at university. *Learning and Instruction*, 8 (5), 455-468.

Gordon, S. (1992a). Statistics recipes: The cook's viewpoint. *The Australian Mathematics Teacher*, 48(1), 36-37.

Gordon, S. (1992b). Task assessment: Have we got it right? *Australian Senior Mathematics Journal*, 6(1), 53-55.

Gordon, S. (1993a). Evaluating a learning centre: Some data from psychology statistics students. *Australian Senior Mathematics Journal*, 7(2), 13-20.

Gordon, S. (1993b). A personal model for teaching mathematics. *Reflections*, 18(4), 12-15.

Gordon, S. (1993c). Mature students learning statistics: The activity theory perspective. *Mathematics Education Research Journal*, 5(5), 34-49.

Gordon, S. (1994). What sort of activity is learning statistics? In G. Ryan, P. Little & I. Dunn (Eds.), *Research and Development in Higher Education*, (Vol. 16, pp. 241-246). Campbelltown, New South Wales: Higher Education Research and Development Society of Australasia Inc.

Gordon, S. (1995a). University students learning statistics: Activity theory in action. In S. Groves & R. Tytler (Eds.), *Contemporary Approaches to Research in Mathematics and Science Education*, (pp. 164-168). Geelong,

- Victoria: Centre for Studies in Mathematics, Science and Environmental Education, Deakin University.
- Gordon, S. (1995b). What counts for students studying statistics? *Higher Education Research & Development*, 14(2), 167-184.
- Gordon, S. (1995c). A theoretical approach to understanding learners of statistics. *Journal of Statistics Education* (Online), 3(3). Available e-mail by sending the one line message: send jse/v3n3/gordon to archive@jse.stat.ncsu.edu
- Gordon, S. (1996). Research tools for investigating students' learning. Paper presented at the Symposium: *Contemporary Approaches to Research in Mathematics, Science, Health and Environmental Education*, Melbourne, Australia.
- Gordon, S. (1997a). Teaching statistics to reluctant learners. In B. Phillips (Ed.), *Papers on Statistical Education presented at the Eighth International Conference on Mathematics Education (ICME-8)*, (pp. 107-116). Melbourne, Australia: Swinburne Press.
- Gordon, S. (1997b). Students' orientations to learning statistics — profiles of experience. In F. Biddulph & K. Carr (Eds.), *People in Mathematics Education. Proceedings of the Twentieth Annual Conference of the Mathematics Education Research Group of Australasia Incorporated*, (Vol. 1, pp. 192-199). Hamilton, New Zealand: Mathematics Education Research Group of Australasia Incorporated.
- Gordon, S. & Nicholas, J. (1992). An investigation of students' attitudes to and beliefs about mathematics and statistics. *Australian Senior Mathematics Journal*, 6(2), 103-107.
- Gordon, S., Nicholas, J. & Crawford, K. (1996). Psychology students' conceptions of a statistics course. In L. Puig & A. Gutiérrez (Eds.), *Proceedings of the 20th Conference of the International Group for the Psychology of Mathematics Education*, (Vol. 3, pp. 11-18). Valencia: Universitat de València.

ABSTRACT

In this project I investigate university students' orientations to learning statistics. The students who participated in my research were studying statistics as a compulsory component of their psychology course. My central thesis is that learning develops in the relationship between the thinking, feeling and acting person and the social, institutional and cultural contexts surrounding him or her. How students orient themselves or position themselves to learn statistics is reflected in their engagement with the learning task — their activities. These activities determine the quality of their learning and emerging knowledge. To understand student learning I draw on the powerful theories of Vygotsky (1962, 1978) and Leont'ev (1978, 1981). In particular, I extend and apply Leont'ev's construct of activity (Leont'ev, 1981). This suggests that individuals act in accordance with their purposes and needs which are shaped by and reflect histories and resources, both personal and cultural.

My investigation consists of two studies. Study One is a qualitative exploration of the orientations to learning statistics of five older students. These students sought help with statistics at the Mathematics Learning Centre where I work. My case studies of these students are inseparable from my efforts to help them learn statistics. Study Two is grounded in Study One. The main source of data for this broader study is a survey which was completed by 279 psychology students studying statistics. In keeping with the theoretical framework, my methodology involves a holistic analysis of students and the milieu in which they act. My findings suggest relationships among students' affective appraisals; their conceptions of statistics; their approaches to learning it; their evaluations and the outcomes of their actions. In Study One the relationships emerged from the students' descriptions. In Study Two I quantified the ways in which variables related to each other. Structure for the data was provided by means of correlations, factor analysis and cluster analysis. For this study I also interviewed students and teachers of statistics. My data support the systemic view of teaching and learning in context afforded by my theoretical perspective. Learning statistics involves the whole person (Semenov, 1978) and is inseparable from the arena of his or her actions.

The goal of statistics education is surely to enable students to develop useful, meaningful knowledge. My findings suggest that for many of the participants in my project this goal was not being met. Most of these students reported their

reluctance to learn statistics and described adopting primarily surface approaches to learning it. A range of conceptions of the subject was expressed, but for many of the students statistical meaning was evidently reduced to performance on assessment tasks. Such orientations to learning statistics may lead to it becoming irrelevant and inert information. For a few students, however, the experience of learning statistics led to self development and enhanced perspectives on the world in which we live. My project indicates the diversity of students' experiences. It raises issues as to why we teach statistics today and how the teaching and learning of statistics is being supported at university.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

CHAPTER ONE

INTRODUCTION TO PROJECT

1.1 PROJECT OVERVIEW.....	1
1.2 CHAPTER PREVIEW	6

CHAPTER TWO

INTRODUCTION TO RESEARCH FRAMEWORK AND THEORETICAL MODEL

2.1 INTRODUCTION.....	9
2.1.1 Chapter Preview	9
2.1.2 Introduction To My Perspective On Activity Theory.....	10
2.2 STATISTICS EDUCATION AT UNIVERSITY	12
2.2.1 Research In Statistics Education.....	13
2.2.2 Issues For Exploration In My Research.....	15
2.3 INTRODUCTION TO RESEARCH DESIGN AND APPROACH ...	18
2.3.1 Psychology Students At The Mathematics Learning Centre	19
2.3.2 A Broader View Of Psychology Students Learning Statistics.....	20
2.3.2.1 Background To My Application Of Phenomenography As A Research Tool	20
2.3.2.2 Introduction To My Analysis Of Students' Approaches To Learning Statistics	24
2.3.3 Investigating Individuals In Socially Significant Practices	27
2.4 THEORETICAL MODEL	28
2.4.1 Overview	28
2.4.2 Comparison With Other Theoretical Approaches To Learning....	29
2.4.2.1 Relation Between Constructivism And Vygotskian Approaches	33
2.4.3 Some Influences On The Theory Of Activity.....	38
2.4.4 Outline Of Leont'ev's Activity Theory	40
2.4.4.1 Activity.....	40
2.4.4.2 The Structure Of Activity	41
2.4.4.3 Dynamics	43

2.5	THE ACTIVITY OF LEARNING STATISTICS.....	44
2.6	FRAMING THE RESEARCH QUESTION.....	46
2.7	CONCLUSION	47

CHAPTER THREE

ACTIVITY THEORY: A PERSPECTIVE ON LEARNING

3.1	INTRODUCTION.....	49
3.1.1	Chapter Preview.....	49
3.1.2	Background To Literature Review.....	49
3.1.3	Using The Lens Of Activity Theory To Understand Students' Learning Of Statistics	50
3.2	AN INTERPRETATION OF VYGOTSKY'S LEGACY.....	52
3.2.1	Background.....	52
3.2.2	Some Of Vygotsky's Insights	56
3.2.2.1	Human Evolution As Cultural-Historical Development.....	56
3.2.2.2	Developmental Explanation Of Human Mental Processes.....	58
3.2.2.3	Origins Of Higher Mental Functions In Social Life	60
3.2.2.4	Mediation Of Thought.....	63
3.3	DEVELOPMENT AND PRINCIPLES OF THE THEORY OF ACTIVITY.....	65
3.3.1	Historical Background	65
3.3.2	Principles Of Activity Theory.....	67
3.3.2.1	Activity Is The Explanatory Principle Of Psychology	70
3.3.2.2	Activity Is Purposive.....	71
3.3.2.3	Activity Is Mediated.....	73
3.3.2.4	Activity Theory Emphasises The Interdependence And Interaction Of Sociocultural And Cognitive Processes	73
3.4	ILLUSTRATIONS OF THE FEATURES OF ACTIVITY THEORY: EXAMPLES FROM MY PRACTICE	75
3.4.1	Dialectic Of Student, Activity And Setting	76
3.4.2	Vignettes From My Practice	78
3.4.3	Implications For The Analysis Of Learning	80
3.5	ISSUES CONCERNING CONTEMPORARY INTERPRETATIONS OF ACTIVITY THEORY	82

3.5.1	Problems In The Theoretical Assertions Of Activity Theory	82
3.5.2	Taking Activity Theory Into The Future.....	84
3.6	SUMMARY AND CONCLUSION.....	87

CHAPTER FOUR

RESEARCH QUESTIONS, DESIGN, METHODOLOGY, TOOLS AND METHODS

4.1	INTRODUCTION.....	89
4.1.1	Chapter Preview.....	89
4.1.2.	Research Questions.....	90
4.1.2.1	Research Questions For Study One	90
4.1.2.2	Research Questions For Study Two.....	91
4.2	RESEARCH DESIGN AND RATIONALE.....	92
4.2.1.	Research Methodologies.....	93
4.2.2	My Methodology.....	96
4.2.3	Grounded Theory	100
4.3	ANALYSIS OF DATA.....	100
4.3.1	Criteria	100
4.3.2	Triangulation Using Qualitative And Quantitative Methods Of Analysis	100
4.3.2.1	My Methods Of Triangulation.....	101
4.3.3	Modes Of Thought.....	102
4.4	STUDY ONE: EXPLORING MATURE STUDENTS’ LEARNING OF STATISTICS	103
4.4.1	Rationale For Study One.....	103
4.4.2	Model For Methodology In Study One.....	104
4.4.3	Preparatory Research For Study One.....	107
4.4.4	Method For Study One.....	108
4.4.4.1	Participants.....	108
4.4.4.2	Data Collection	109
4.4.4.3	Data Analysis	111
4.5	STUDY TWO: UNDERSTANDING UNIVERSITY STUDENTS LEARNING STATISTICS AS A SERVICE COURSE TO PSYCHOLOGY.....	113
4.5.1	Setting For Study Two.....	113
4.5.2	Grounding For Study Two	113

4.5.3	Method For Study Two.....	115
4.5.3.1	Participants.....	115
4.5.3.2	Data Collection	115
	A. Written Data.....	115
	B. Interviews — Vignettes.....	116
4.5.3.3	Summary Of Procedures For Data Analysis.....	117
4.5.3.4	Higher Levels Of Analysis: Developing Relationships And Themes	118
4.5.4	Analysis Of Students’ Appraisals Of Learning Statistics	118
4.5.5	Analysis Of Students’ Conceptions Of Statistics.....	120
4.5.5.1	Stage One: Identifying The Categories Of Conception	120
4.5.5.2	Stage Two: Classifying The Surveys.....	121
4.5.5.3	Stage Three: Triangulation And Checking	122
4.5.6	Development Of The Approaches To Learning Statistics Questionnaire (ALSQ).....	123
4.6	ETHICS.....	124
4.7	DILEMMAS.....	126
4.8	CONCLUSION.....	127

CHAPTER FIVE

RESULTS OF STUDY ONE: EXPLORING MATURE STUDENTS’ LEARNING OF STATISTICS

5.1	INTRODUCTION.....	128
5.1.1	Chapter Preview.....	128
5.1.2	Background To Study And Recap Of Research Questions.....	128
5.2	FINDINGS OF STUDY ONE.....	130
5.2.1	Background And Attainments Of The Participants	130
5.2.2	The Students’ Prior Conceptions Of Mathematics And Statistics	131
5.2.3	Goals And Actions: The Effect Of Context.....	135
5.2.4	Approaches To Learning Statistics	139
5.2.5	The Students’ Self Regulation And Evaluations Of Learning Statistics.....	148
5.3	CONCLUSION	153
5.3.1	Summary In Terms Of Research Questions.....	153

5.3.2	Questions Raised By Study One.....	155
-------	------------------------------------	-----

CHAPTER SIX

RESULTS OF STUDY TWO: UNDERSTANDING UNIVERSITY STUDENTS LEARNING STATISTICS AS A SERVICE COURSE TO PSYCHOLOGY

PART ONE — DESCRIBING THE VARIABLES

6.1	INTRODUCTION.....	156
6.1.1	Chapter Preview.....	156
6.1.2	Research Questions Addressed In Chapter Six.....	158
6.2	DEMOGRAPHIC AND INDIVIDUAL DIFFERENCE DATA.....	159
6.3	WILLINGNESS TO LEARN STATISTICS.....	163
6.3.1	Students' Reasons For Their Willingness Or Reluctance To Study Statistics	163
6.3.2	Examples Of Students' Responses.....	166
6.3.3	Distribution Of Responses According To Willingness Or Reluctance To Study Statistics.....	168
6.3.4	Summary Of Students' Appraisals Of Learning Statistics	170
6.4	CONCEPTIONS OF STATISTICS.....	171
6.4.1	Overview Of Categories Of Conception.....	172
6.4.2	Description Of Categories For Students' Conceptions Of Statistics	173
6.4.3	Distribution Of Conception Categories According To Willingness To Study Statistics And Gender.....	178
6.4.4	Summary Of Categories Of Conception.....	183
6.5	APPROACHES TO LEARNING STATISTICS.....	185
6.5.1	The Approaches To Learning Statistics Questionnaire (ALSQ) ..	185
6.5.1.1	Scale Reliabilities.....	185
6.5.1.2	Item Factor Analyses	187
6.5.2	Statistics For Deep And Surface Scales.....	190
6.6	PERFORMANCE IN ASSESSMENTS	192
6.7	ILLUSTRATIVE EXTRACTS FROM INTERVIEWS WITH TWO STUDENTS.....	195
6.7.1	Ruth	196
6.7.1.1	Interview With Ruth.....	197
6.7.2	Colin	201

6.7.2.1 Interview With Colin	202
6.8 SUMMARY AND CONCLUSION.....	206

CHAPTER SEVEN

RESULTS OF STUDY TWO: UNDERSTANDING UNIVERSITY STUDENTS LEARNING STATISTICS AS A SERVICE COURSE TO PSYCHOLOGY

PART TWO — LINKING THE VARIABLES

7.1 INTRODUCTION.....	209
7.1.1 Chapter Preview.....	209
7.2 CONCEPTIONS OF LEARNING STATISTICS AND APPROACHES TO LEARNING IT.....	210
7.3 PATTERNS OF EXPERIENCES.....	215
7.3.1 Associations Among Variables.....	215
7.3.2 Differences In Student’s Experiences Of Learning Statistics.....	222
7.3.2.1 Two Cluster Solution	224
7.3.2.2 Four Cluster Solution.....	227
7.3.2.3 Vignettes From Student Interviews	233
7.4 SUMMARY AND CONCLUSION.....	237

CHAPTER EIGHT

ADDING TO THE CONTEXT: TWO TEACHERS’ PERCEPTIONS OF LEARNING AND TEACHING STATISTICS

8.1 INTRODUCTION.....	241
8.2 INTERVIEWS WITH WENDY AND CATHY.....	242
8.3 CONCLUSION	254

CHAPTER NINE

SYNTHESISING THE STUDIES

9.1 INTRODUCTION.....	256
9.1.1 Chapter Preview.....	256
9.1.2 Overview Of Key Findings.....	257
9.2 STUDENTS’ ORIENTATIONS TO LEARNING	

STATISTICS — AN ACTIVITY THEORY APPROACH.....	257
9.2.1 An Activity View Of Students’ Conceptions Of Statistics.....	257
9.2.1.1 Meaning And Personal Sense	259
9.2.2 The Activity Of Learning Statistics	264
9.2.2.1 Actions And Operations.....	264
9.2.2.2 Approaches To Learning Statistics	265
9.2.3 Students’ Positioning With Respect To The Task	267
9.2.3.1 Masculinity Versus Femininity.....	268
9.2.3.2 Individualism Versus Collectivism.....	269
9.2.3.3 Power Distance	270
9.2.3.4 Avoidance Of Uncertainty	271
9.2.3.5 Long Term Versus Short Term Needs	273
9.2.4 Systemic Relationships	274
9.2.4.1 Analysis Of Context.....	276
9.3 CONCLUSION	277

CHAPTER TEN

CONCLUSION: INSIGHTS, DISCUSSION AND REVIEW

10.1 INTRODUCTION.....	278
10.1.1 Chapter Preview.....	278
10.2 INSIGHTS INTO AN ACTIVITY SYSTEM.....	279
10.2.1 Model	279
10.2.2 Teaching And Learning Statistics.....	282
10.2.2.1 Theoretical Perspectives On Teaching And Learning Statistics.....	282
10.2.2.2 Tensions In The System.....	285
10.3 DISCUSSION	287
10.3.1 Summary And Analysis Of The Key Results	287
10.3.2 Perspectives Provided By The Research Strategies.....	289
10.3.2.1 My Application Of Phenomenographic Methods	290
10.3.2.2 Interpretation Of Quantitative Results	291
10.3.2.3 Interviews And Conversations.....	292
10.3.2.4 Limitations Of The Research Strategies	293
10.3.3 Issues And Implications.....	295
10.3.3.1 Issues In Statistics Education.....	295
10.3.3.2 Beyond Competence In Statistics.....	298

10.3.3.3 Educating The Adult Learner Of Statistics.....	299
10.4 REVIEW OF MY RESEARCH.....	301
10.5 CONCLUSION	303

APPENDICES

APPENDIX A:	GUIDE TO INTERVIEW QUESTIONS FOR STUDY ONE.....	306
APPENDIX B:	PREPARATION FOR STATISTICS SURVEY	308
	Free Association	308
	Attitudes Questionnaire	308
APPENDIX C:	MATHEMATICS LEARNING CENTRE ENROLMENT FORM.....	309
APPENDIX D:	STATISTICS COURSE SURVEY IN STUDY ONE	311
APPENDIX E:	MATHEMATICS LEARNING CENTRE EVALUATION FORM	312
APPENDIX F:	SURVEY FOR STUDY TWO	314
	Learning Statistics Questionnaire.....	317
APPENDIX G:	INTERVIEW CONSENT FORM.....	320
APPENDIX H:	GUIDE TO INTERVIEW QUESTIONS FOR STUDENTS IN STUDY TWO.....	321
APPENDIX I:	GUIDE TO INTERVIEW QUESTIONS FOR TEACHERS IN STUDY TWO	322
APPENDIX J:	DESCRIPTION OF STUDENTS’ REASONS — EXTENSION OF SECTION 6.3.2	323
	Description Of “Personal Evaluation” Categories	323
	Description Of “Practical Assessment” Categories	324
	Description Of “Belief” Categories.....	325
	Examples Of Students’ Responses	326
APPENDIX K:	TREATMENT OF VARIABLES IN STUDY TWO ...	331

REFERENCES	335
-------------------------	------------

LIST OF TABLES

CHAPTER FIVE

TABLE 5.2.1	PRIOR LEVEL OF MATHEMATICS STUDIED AND ATTAINMENTS IN PSYCHOLOGY II.....	131
--------------------	---	------------

CHAPTER SIX

TABLE 6.2.1	SUMMARY OF DEMOGRAPHIC DATA FOR PARTICIPANTS IN STUDY TWO.....	161
--------------------	---	------------

TABLE 6.3.1	FREQUENCIES OF REASONS REPORTED BY THE STUDENTS FOR WILLINGNESS OR RELUCTANCE TO STUDY STATISTICS	165
--------------------	---	------------

TABLE 6.3.2	REPRESENTATIVE EXCERPTS FROM STUDENTS' RESPONSES TO FIRST OPEN-ENDED SURVEY QUESTION	167
--------------------	--	------------

TABLE 6.4.1	NUMBER OF "YES" AND "NO" STUDENTS IN EACH CONCEPTION CATEGORY	179
--------------------	--	------------

TABLE 6.4.2	NUMBER AND PERCENTAGE OF MALE AND FEMALE STUDENTS IN EACH CONCEPTION CATEGORY.....	182
--------------------	--	------------

TABLE 6.4.3	CONCEPTIONS OF STATISTICS: LEVELS OF AWARENESS	184
--------------------	---	------------

TABLE 6.5.1	ROTATED FACTOR MATRIX ON ITEMS OF THE ALSQ	188
--------------------	---	------------

TABLE 6.5.2	PRINCIPLE COMPONENT FACTOR ANALYSIS WITH TWO FACTORS, USING VARIMAX ROTATION	189
--------------------	--	------------

TABLE 6.5.3	DESCRIPTIVE STATISTICS ON DEEP AND SURFACE SCALES.....	190
TABLE 6.5.4	DESCRIPTIVE STATISTICS FOR “YES” AND “NO” STUDENTS ON DEEP AND SURFACE SCALES.....	191
TABLE 6.6.1	DESCRIPTIVE STATISTICS FOR SURVEYED STUDENTS’ PERFORMANCES ON ASSESSMENT TASKS	193
TABLE 6.6.2	PEARSON’S CORRELATION COEFFICIENTS FOR ASSESSMENT TASKS IN STATISTICS	194
TABLE 6.6.3	PERFORMANCE OF “YES” AND “NO” STUDENTS ON ASSESSMENT TASKS	195
TABLE 6.7.1	SUMMARY OF DATA FOR RUTH AND COLIN	196
CHAPTER 7		
TABLE 7.2.1	SUMMARY OF DEEP SCALE RESULTS FOR CONCEPTION GROUPS.....	213
TABLE 7.2.2	SUMMARY OF SURFACE SCALE RESULTS FOR CONCEPTION GROUPS.....	213
TABLE 7.3.1	CORRELATION MATRIX FOR CORRELATIONS OF AT LEAST 0.30 (EXCLUDING “NO MEANING” STUDENTS).....	217
TABLE 7.3.2	ROTATED FACTOR MATRIX	220
TABLE 7.3.3	COMMUNALITIES OF VARIABLES IN FACTOR ANALYSIS	222

TABLE 7.3.4	STANDARDISED MEANS (Z-SCORES) FOR CLUSTER 1 AND CLUSTER 2 ON ASSESSMENTS AND APPROACHES TO LEARNING STATISTICS.....	225
TABLE 7.3.5	PERCENTAGES OF CATEGORY GROUPS IN TWO CLUSTERS	226
TABLE 7.3.6	STANDARDISED AND RAW MEANS OF FINAL STATISTICS MARKS AND SCORES ON DEEP AND SURFACE SCALES FOR FOUR CLUSTERS.....	228
TABLE 7.3.7	PERCENTAGES OF CHOICE AND CONCEPT CATEGORIES IN FOUR CLUSTERS.....	232
TABLE 7.3.8	MODAL CLUSTER FOR PRIOR LEVELS OF MATHEMATICS	233

APPENDICES

TABLE J	STUDENTS' RESPONSES TO FIRST OPEN-ENDED SURVEY QUESTION.....	326
TABLE K	SPEARMAN CORRELATION MATRIX FOR CORRELATIONS OF AT LEAST 0.30	334

LIST OF FIGURES

CHAPTER SIX

FIGURE 6.2.1	DISTRIBUTION OF STUDENTS' EXPECTED FINAL GRADES IN PSYCHOLOGY II AND STATISTICS	162
FIGURE 6.3.1	DISTRIBUTIONS OF RESPONSES FOR "NO" AND "YES" STUDENTS IN HIGH FREQUENCY CATEGORIES.....	169
FIGURE 6.4.1	DISTRIBUTION OF STUDENTS' REPORTED CONCEPTIONS OF STATISTICS.....	172
FIGURE 6.4.2	DISTRIBUTION OF STUDENTS' REPORTED CONCEPTIONS OF STATISTICS ACCORDING TO GENDER	181

CHAPTER 7

FIGURE 7.2.1	MEAN ITEM SCORE FOR EACH CONCEPTION GROUP ON SURFACE AND DEEP SCALES OF THE ALSQ	212
FIGURE 7.3.1	"SCREE" DIAGRAM.....	219
FIGURE 7.3.2	MARKS AND APPROACHES FOR THE TWO HIGHER ACHIEVING CLUSTER GROUPS.....	229
FIGURE 7.3.3	MARKS AND APPROACHES FOR THE TWO LOWER ACHIEVING CLUSTER GROUPS.....	229

CHAPTER 10

FIGURE 10.2.1	SKETCH OF ACTIVITY SYSTEM	281
----------------------	---------------------------------	------------