What do Millennials think of prevention policy relating to sugary drinks?

Elly Howse
PhD Candidate
Prevention Research Collaboration
School of Public Health and Charles Perkins Centre
27 July 2017
Background

Noncommunicable diseases are significant health issues – nationally and internationally

Key risk factors are well established

Growing interest in policy levers that governments can utilise to promote health and prevent disease at a population level

But what’s effective and what’s popular or acceptable can be different
Why ‘Millennials’?

Young adults (18-30 years) in Australia are experiencing poor health *(ABS NHS 2014-15)*

Lack of research on young adults and their attitudes towards prevention and policy levers

Current research is mixed

- **Alcohol regulations** are less supported by younger people *(Tobin et al 2011)*
- Greater support for **initiatives targeting children** *(Morley et al 2012)*
- **Older people more supportive** for more restrictive measures around alcohol, smoking and diet *(Diepeveen et al 2013)*
- Age **not** a predictor in support for **SSB nudges** *(Petrescu et al 2016)*
**Why sugary drinks?**

**Consumption of sugary drinks associated with poor diet, weight gain and other health issues**

**Young adults the highest consumers after adolescents** (*Lei et al, 2016*)

Sugary drinks are **low hanging fruit**

– Interest in action by governments from a policy perspective
What types of approaches are being used?

Individual-centred
- Education
- Information
- Labelling
- Promotions

Environment-centred
- Policy & regulation
- Optimal defaults
- Nudges
- Price
Our study

Survey of a university population in 2016

– Knowledge about health risks of sugary drinks
– Sugary drink consumption (self-reported)
– Views and attitudes about regulatory measures on campus

Data

– 913 responses received, sample aged 16 – 84 years
– Quantitative - descriptive analysis using SPSS 22.0, focusing on age (young adults 18-30 yrs vs older adults >30 yrs)
– Qualitative data - thematic analysis
Results – expected

- Low level of consumption
- Knowledge of health risks was high
- High levels of support for some initiatives
- Less support for bans on sugary drinks
- Young adults less supportive of most measures
Results – unexpected

Over half supported pricing increases

Two thirds supported banning promotions

90% agreed in uni promoting healthier products

Low level of support for diet drinks

Young adults more supportive of only 1 approach
Main theme #1

Adults should be free to make their own decisions or choices

‘People should make informed decisions but treating them like 5 year olds is ridiculous’

‘The nanny state does not need to extend to the uni campus.’

‘People on campus are adults [...] who are capable of making their own decisions.’
Main theme #2

Make healthy options more available

‘There should be more incentive to choose healthier options (e.g. price drop)’

‘Opt for smaller serving sizes of sugary drinks’

‘making water more affordable / accessible’
Key findings

- Young adults less supportive of most measures
- Individual-centred approaches more supported
- Concerns around replacement products
Policy implications

1. Limiting promotions and marketing of sugary drinks should be a policy priority for settings with young adults

2. Do we need more education on sugary drinks?

3. Acceptability and framing of proposed policies are key

4. Need to be wary of commercial solutions to public health issues – are replacement products the right policy solution?
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