

**A transgenic mouse model approach to
investigate the interactions between T
cells during the course of an immune
response**

Alexandra J. Spencer

A thesis submitted in fulfilment of the requirements for the degree of
Doctor of Philosophy

Department of Experimental Medicine
(Centenary Institute of Cancer Medicine and Cell Biology)

The University of Sydney

April 2006

Preface

The work described in this thesis was designed to investigate the interactions between T cells during an immune response through the use of a number of different strains of T cell receptor transgenic mice

All experiments were carried out by the author at the Centenary Institute of Cancer Medicine and Cell Biology between February 2001 and April 2006. Approval for animal experimentation was obtained from Institutional Ethics Committee at the University of Sydney. The work is entirely original and has not been presented previously for purpose of obtaining another degree.

Recombinant HELMCC-his protein used in all experiments described in Chapter 4 and in the experiments described in Figure 5.7.1 and Figure 5.7.2 was produced by Didrik Paus (B Cell Biology Group).

Publication arising from work presented in this thesis

Alexandra J Spencer, Didrik Paus, Adrian L. Smith, Robert Brink, Barbara Fazekas de St Groth (2006). Antigen-specific competitive inhibition of CD4⁺ T cell recruitment into the primary immune response (submitted)

Alexandra J. Spencer and Barbara Fazekas de St Groth (2006). The effect of competition on the generation of effector and memory cells (manuscript in preparation)

Alexandra J. Spencer, Ben Roediger and Barbara Fazekas de St Groth (2006). A phenotypic characterisation of DCs during the course of an adjuvant-enhanced CD4 response. (manuscript in preparation)

Abstract

The experiments described in this thesis document the development of two *in vivo* models, to investigate the effect of competition for peptide-MHC and factors independent of MHC on T cell proliferation, differentiation, generation of memory cells and affinity maturation.

The first model made use of 3 strains of T cell receptor (TCR) transgenic (tg) mice of varying specificity for antigen-MHC class II. To determine the effect of antigen specific and non-specific competition on the early stages of the T cell response, the efficiency with which naïve antigen-specific CD4⁺ T cells were recruited into an ongoing immune response was investigated. Recruitment into cell division and cytokine production was shown to decrease with an increasing time delay between two cell cohorts of the same specificity, leading to a significant drop in recruitment with a delay of only 24 hours.

Injection of additional antigen could partially compensate for this decrease, suggesting that lack of available antigen limited recruitment of specific cells trafficking to the node after the initiation of the response. A role for antigen non-specific factors such as access to APCs, costimulatory signals or cytokines was ruled out by showing that the response to a second, independent antigen was unaffected by an ongoing response, even when the same APCs were presenting both antigens.

The second system modelled a situation in which a clone of uniformly high affinity T cells competed against a polyclonal population containing mixture of affinities. This situation would arise during a normal response to a single epitope, and would mimic the process of competition that drives affinity maturation of the CD4⁺ T cell response. By substituting a high affinity response to a different antigen, a more complex reaction to multiple antigens, of different affinities was modelled. To avoid any possible effect of the two antigens competing for access to processing machinery, or binding to the same MHC class II allele, the two antigens were provided as synthetic peptides that bind to different MHC molecules. The data indicated that CD4⁺ T cell competition for peptide-MHC is far more

potent than competition between CD4⁺ T cell responses of different specificity. Antigen-specific competition reduced the level of T cell stimulation detected as early as day 3 of the response. In the face of high affinity antigen-specific competition, the representation of mixed affinity T cells within the effector and effector memory cells (T_{EM}) population declined progressively throughout the primary and secondary responses, suggesting that continued access to peptide-MHC is required to maintain maximum numbers of effector and T_{EM} cells. In contrast, the contribution of central memory (T_{CM}) was stable from day 7 onwards. Competition by CD4⁺ cells of an unrelated antigenic specificity led to a minor reduction in peak cell number and cytokine production in the primary response, without altering the number or potency of memory cells.

Together these two models demonstrated a mechanism whereby the immune system exerts tight control over the size and kinetics of each individual antigen specific response without affecting the ability to respond to secondary infections or late-phase lytic antigens. Overall the results demonstrate a continued requirement for TCR stimulation for the generation of effector cells and the maintenance of a population of cytokine producing memory cells. However the generation of a stable population of central memory cells was unaffected by conditions of reduced T cell stimulation, ensuring that long-term memory can be maintained in the absence of antigen.

Acknowledgments

Firstly I would like to express my deep gratitude to Barbara for your support and guidance over the years. Working in your lab has provided me with an excellent base in immunology and an expansive understanding of both flow cytometry and animal techniques. You have taught me to think about every aspect of an experiment and to make the most of every mouse. Your breadth of knowledge never ceases to amaze me. Thankyou Tony for your support and advice, working at the Centenary has been a pleasure and joy. I would also like to thank John Brown and the Sports and Tourism Youth Foundation, especially Peter Weinart and John Thorpe, for your financial support over the past 2 years.

A special thanks must go to Carl, who taught me many techniques when I first arrived, to Ben for never saying “no” even when it meant reading this entire thesis, my second mum Cindy, for making sure I stayed alive in the animal house and always being willing to help out, to David, for providing a constant source of entertainment and Felicity for screening all my multiple strains of mice. To all the other members of the T cell lab past and present, thankyou for your help, advice and friendship.

Thankyou to all Adrian, Chris, Tara and Joseph for maintaining an excellent flow cytometry facility. Jenny Kingham and the rest of the staff in the animal facility for looking after all the mice that were used in these experiments. Many thanks must go to Helen Briscoe for encouraging me to do an Honours degree in Immunology and who has constantly provided me with an extra source of income.

Vanessa, Cindy, Tri, Adrian and Kara, thankyou for the laughs, for listening and your advice, even if I didn't take it. Finally my family and friends for their constant support over the years especially to my parents Heather and Doug. Mum and Dad you have taught me always to see the positive side of every situation and that everything in life is a learning experience. This thesis is dedicated to you.

Table of Contents

Preface.....	ii
Publication arising from work presented in this thesis.....	iii
Abstract.....	iv
Acknowledgments	vi
Table of Contents	vii
List of Figures and Tables.....	xi
Abbreviations	xiv
Chapter 1: Literature Review	1
The Inate Immune System.....	1
Toll-Like receptors	2
The Adaptive Immune System	3
T lymphocytes	4
$\alpha\beta$ T Cell Receptor.....	4
TCR associated molecules, CD3 and CD4 or CD8	6
Positive and Negative Selection.....	8
Antigen Presenting Cells.....	9
DC Morphology and Distribution.....	10
Antigen Uptake and Processing	11
DC Maturation and Migration	13
T cell Activation	14
TCR binding to peptide-MHC	14
Immunological Synapse	15
Costimulatory Molecules	16
Effector T cells.....	21
Cell Death	23

Intrinsic or Mitochondrial Pathway	24
Extrinsic or Receptor Mediated Pathway	25
Memory T cells	26
Generation and Maintenance of Memory T Cells	28
Repertoire Restriction and Affinity Maturation	29
T cell competition	30
Chapter 2: Materials and Methods	34
2.1 Mice	34
Inbred and congenic strains	34
T Cell Transgenic mice	34
2.2 Cellular Biology	36
Cell Preparations	36
Adoptive Transfers	36
CFSE labelling	37
Antigens	37
Immunisation	38
Organ Preparation for Flow Cytometry	38
DC enrichment by collagenase digestion	38
2.3 Flow Cytometry	39
Surface Staining	39
Antigenic Restimulation and Intracellular cytokine staining	40
BrdU pulsation and detection of BrdU uptake by flow cytometry	40
Acquisition	41
General Gating Strategy	41
Calculations involving CFSE	42
Statistical Analysis	42
2.4 Molecular Biology - Recombinant HELMCC	43
Amplification, Purification and Storage of Plasmid DNA	44

Polymerase Chain Reaction.....	44
Agarose Gel Electrophoresis.....	45
Transformation of HELMCC into eukaryotic cells.....	45

Chapter 3: Antigen-specific competitive inhibition of CD4⁺ T cell recruitment into the

primary immune response	51
3.1 Sequential stimulation of T cell cohorts is required to visualise an effect of competition by day 2.5.....	53
3.2 Competition between CD4 ⁺ T cells of different antigen specificities.....	54
3.3 The effect of competition at sub-optimal antigen doses.....	55
3.4 The effect of increasing the time interval between competing cohorts of cells	56
3.5 The effect competition with an increased time interval and sub-optimal antigen	57
3.6 The effect of antigen specific competition with an increased time interval	58
3.7 The effect of competition on early access to antigen presenting cells.....	60
3.8 The effect of additional antigen on recruitment and proliferation	63
Discussion.....	64

Chapter 4: Lack of antigen non-specific competition when both antigens are presented by
the same APC.....

4.1 The effect of antigen specific competition on proliferation of 5C.C7 cells.....	69
4.2 The effect of antigen non-specific competition on the on the generation of memory cells.....	70
4.3 Comparison of 5C.C7 and 3A9 cell responses to HELMCC and peptides.	71
4.4 Competition between linked versus unlinked antigens	73
Discussion.....	74

Chapter 5: The effect of competition on the generation of effector and memory cells

5.1: T cell competition at low precursor frequencies.	78
5.2: Competition at high precursor frequencies.	80

5.3: The effect of competition as measured by the expression of activation markers	82
5.4: The effect of blocking apoptosis pathways on antigen specific competition	83
5.5: The effect of competition on the proliferative capacity of 5C.C7 β cells	85
5.6: The effect of competition on the generation of memory cells.....	86
5.7: Enhancing the effect of antigen non-specific competition	88
5.8: The contribution of 5C.C7 β cells to the total MCC specific response	90
Discussion.....	91
Chapter 6: DC marker expression over the course of an antigen specific response	96
6.1: MHC Class II expression.....	97
6.2: B7 Superfamily members	98
6.3: TNFR Superfamily members.....	99
6.4: Expression of I-E ^k versus I-A ^b	99
Discussion.....	100
Chapter 7: General Conclusions	104
Two types of competition	104
Antigen Specific Competition	105
Antigen Non-specific Competition	107
References.....	110

List of Figures and Tables

Chapter 1

Figure 1.1: Toll Like Receptors (TLR) of the Innate Immune System

Figure 1.2: Gene segments of the TCR α and TCR β chains and the crystal structure of a $\alpha\beta$ TCR

Figure 1.3: A schematic diagram of the immunological synapse

Figure 1.4: Receptor Ligand Interactions

Figure 1.5: Two distinct apoptosis pathways

Chapter 2

Table 2.1: Intercrossed host mice

Table 2.2: F1 TCR Tg Mice

Figure 2.1: Amino acid sequences and molecular weights of the different peptide antigens

Figure 2.2: General gating strategy for Flow Cytometry analysis

Figure 2.3: Calculations involving CFSE

Table 2.3: Monoclonal Antibodies

Figure 2.4: Amino Acid sequence of HELMCC

Figure 2.5: pIRES-HELMCC-Flag sequence

Figure 2.6: PCR screen of purified plasmid DNA and genomic DNA from transfected CHO cells

Figure 2.7: ELISA screen of supernatant from HELMCC-Flag transfected CHO cells

Figure 2.8: SDS-PAGE analysis of recombinant HELMCC

Figure 2.9: Western Blot of purified HELMCC proteins

Figure 2.10: Response of 5C.C7 and 3A9 cells to recombinant HELMCC *in vivo*

Chapter 3

Figure 3.0: Dose response curves of TCR tg cells to peptide

Figure 3.1: Competition between sequential versus simultaneous T cell responses

Figure 3.2: Specificity of high affinity competition

Figure 3.3: Specificity of high affinity competition at sub-optimal antigen doses

Figure 3.4: Response of CFSE labelled 3A9 cells transferred 3 days after competing cells

Figure 3.5: Increasing the time interval between competing cells when cells were stimulated with low peptide doses.

Figure 3.6: The effect of increasing time interval on high affinity competition

Figure 3.7.1: Expression of CD69 and division profiles of 3A9 T cells after transfer into varying competitive environments

Figure 3.7.2: Ability of 5C.C7 cells to access APCs

Figure 3.8: Overcoming competition by injecting more antigen

Chapter 4

Figure 4.1: The effect of competition on the response of 5C.C7 cells stimulated with varying doses of recombinant protein HELMCC.

Figure 4.2.1: The effect of antigen non-specific competition on the response of 5C.C7 cells

Figure 4.2.2: The effect of antigen non-specific competition on the response of 3A9 cells

Figure 4.3.1: Response of 5C.C7 and 3A9 TCR tg cells to varying doses of peptide.

Figure 4.3.2: Expression of activation markers on 5C.C7 cells at varying doses of MCC peptide

Figure 4.3.3: Expression of activation markers on 3A9 cells at varying doses of HEL peptide

Figure 4.4.1: The effect of competition between linked versus unlinked antigens

Figure 4.4.2: The effect of competition between linked versus unlinked antigens

Chapter 5

Figure 5.0: Experimental Protocol

Figure 5.1.1: Division of lower affinity 5C.C7 β cells in the presence of a 5C.C7, 3A9 or no competing response

Figure 5.1.2: IL-2 production by 5C.C7 β cells

Figure 5.1.3: IFN- γ secretion by divided 5C.C7 β cells

Figure 5.2.1: At high precursor frequencies competition is not detected at day3 post-immunization

Figure 5.2.2: IL-2 secretion by divided 5C.C7 β cells

Figure 5.2.3: IFN- γ secretion by divided 5C.C7 β cells

Figure 5.3.1: Expression of activation markers CD4, CD44 and CD134 (Ox-40)

Figure 5.3.2: Cytokine Receptors IL-2R α (CD25), IL-2R β (CD122), IL-7 (CD127) and the IL-2, IL-4, IL-7, IL-9 and IL-15 common γ chain (CD132).

Figure 5.4.1: 5C.C7 β and 5C.C7 β .Bcl-2⁺ cell numbers at day 10 of the response

Figure 5.4.2: 5C.C7 β and 5C.C7 β .CrmA⁺ cell numbers at day 10 of the response

Figure 5.5: BrdU incorporation by 5C.C7 β cells at day 3 of the response

Figure 5.6.1: 5C.C7 β memory cells 8 weeks post-immunization

Figure 5.6.2: The effect of high affinity competition on the generation of central or effector memory cells

Figure 5.7.1: The effect of antigen non-specific competition when both antigens presented on the same APCs

Figure 5.7.2: The effect antigen non-specific competition on the generation of central or effector memory cells when both antigens are presented on the same APCs

Figure 5.7.3: Response of 5C.C7 β cells with or without an endogenous 3A9 response.

Figure 5.7.4: Generation of memory 5C.C7 β cells in the presence or absence of an endogenous 3A9 response.

Figure 5.8: Contribution of donor 5C.C7 β cells to the total anti-MCC response

Chapter 6

Figure 6.1: DC expression of MHC Class II over the course of a response.

Figure 6.2.1: CD80 expression

Figure 6.2.2: CD86 expression

Figure 6.2.3: B7RP-1 expression

Figure 6.3.1: CD70 expression

Figure 6.3.2: CD153 expression

Figure 6.3.3: Ox-40L expression

Figure 6.3.4: 4-1BBL expression

Figure 6.4. Expression of different MHC Class II alleles over the course of a response

Chapter 7

Table 7.1: Summary of competition

Table 7.2.1: Summary of *in vivo* CD4 competition papers

Table 7.2.2: Summary of *in vivo* CD8 competition papers

Abbreviations

Ag	antigen
AICD	activation induced cell death
APC	antigen presenting cell
B7RP-1	B7 related protein
BrdU	5-bromo-2'deoxyuridine
BM	bone marrow
BSA	bovine serum albumin
BTLA	B and T lymphocyte attenuator
C	constant
Ca ²⁺	calcium
CD	cluster of differentiation
CDR	complementarity determining region
CHO	chinese hamster ovarian
CFA	complete Freuds adjuvant
CFSE	carboxyfluorescein diacetate succinimidyl ester
CRD	cysteine rich domain
CrmA	cytokine response modifier A
Cyt-C	Cytochrome C
CTLA-4	cytotoxic T lymphocyte antigen-4
D	diversity
DC	dendritic cell
DAPI	4',6'-diamidino-2-phenylinodole dihydrochloride
ELISA	enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay
FADD	Fas associated death domain
FCS	foetal calf serum
FLICE	Fas-associated death domain-like IL-1 β converting enzyme
FLIP	FLICE inhibitory protein
FW	facswash
GM-CSF	granulocyte/macrophage colony stimulating factor
HEL	hen egg lysozyme

hi	high
ICAM	intracellular adhesion molecule-1
ICOS	inducible costimulator
IFN	interferon
Ig	Immunoglobulin
IL	interleukin
int	intermediate
i.p.	intraperitoneal
IRAK	IL-1R associated kinase
i.v.	intravenous
J	joining
KO	knockout
LB	liquid broth
LC	Langerhans cells
Lck	p56 ^{lck}
LCMV	lymphocytic choriomeningitis virus
LFA-1	lymphocyte function-associated antigen-1
LN	lymph node
lo	low
LPS	lipopolysaccharide
LRR	leucine rich repeat
mAb	monoclonal antibody
MBP	myelin basic protein
MCC	tobacco hornworm moth cytochrome C
MHC	major histocompatibility complex
NPP	nitrophenyl phosphate
Ova	ovalbumin
PAMP	pathogen-associated molecular pattern
PBS	phosphate buffered saline
PCC	pigeon cytochrome c
PCR	polymerase chain reaction
PD-1	programmed cell death

PFA	paraformaldehyde
PI	propidium iodide
PLP	proteolipid protein
PRR	pattern recognition receptor
RPMI	Roswell Park Memorial Institute (medium)
s.c.	sub-cutaneous
SPF	specific pathogen free
TBS	tris buffered saline
T _c	cytotoxic T cell
T _{CM}	T central memory
TCR	T cell receptor
T _{EM}	T effector memory
Tg	transgenic
T _h	helper T cell
TIR	Toll/IL-1R
TLR	toll-like receptor
TNF	tumor necrosis factor
TNFR	tumor necrosis factor receptor
V	variable
μg	micrograms
