Received 27 August 2006 Accepted 18 October 2006

Acta Crystallographica Section E Structure Reports Online

ISSN 1600-5368

Craig R. Brodie,^a Peter Turner,^b Nial J. Wheate^a and Janice R. Aldrich-Wright^{a*}

aSchool of Biomedical and Health Sciences, University of Western Sydney, Campbelltown NSW 2560, Australia, and ^bCrystal Structure Analysis Facility, School of Chemistry, University of Sydney, Sydney NSW 2006, Australia

Correspondence e-mail: j.aldrich-wright@uws.edu.au

Key indicators

Single-crystal X-ray study $T = 150 K$ Mean σ (C–C) = 0.004 Å R factor = 0.018 wR factor = 0.038 Data-to-parameter ratio = 17.0

For details of how these key indicators were automatically derived from the article, see http://journals.iucr.org/e.

(4,7-Dimethyl-1,10-phenanthroline)(ethylenediamine)platinum(II) dichloride tris(deuterium oxide) solvate

In the molecule of the title compound, $[Pt(C_{14}H_{12}N_2) (C_2H_4D_4N_2)$] $Cl_2 \tcdot 3D_2O$, the complex dication has squareplanar coordination to the Pt^{II} atom from N-donor atoms. A small tilt of $4.34~(6)^\circ$ is observed between the plane of the phenanthroline (phen) ligand and the coordination plane. The phen ligands are assembled along [010], with $\pi-\pi$ stacking interactions between phen ligands of interleaved complexes. The structure also reveals hydrogen-bond interactions between the complex ion, its counter-ions and the solvent molecules. The introduction of methyl residues onto the phen ligand at positions 4 and 7 does not appear to alter the geometry of the complex significantly.

Comment

In the last five years some platinum(II) complexes containing derivatized 1,10-phenanthroline (phen) ligands have shown potential as anticancer drugs (Brodie et al., 2004). These complexes are able to intercalate within the base-stack of DNA (Lippard et al., 1976; Cusumano et al., 1999; Wang et al., 1978), similar to the organic intercalator ethidium bromide (Jennette et al., 1974). From ${}^{1}H$ NMR spectra, it has been shown that these complexes intercalate DNA from the minor

Figure 1

 \circ 2006 International Union of Crystallography All rights reserved

A view of the title compound, with the atom-numbering scheme. Displacement ellipsoids are drawn at the 50% probability level. Hydrogen bonds are shown as dashed lines.

groove between cytosine and guanosine base pairs (Collins et al., 2000). Recently, our group has shown that the cytotoxicity of platinum intercalators can be modulated by changing the number and location of methyl groups on the phen ligand and changing the ancillary ligand (Brodie et al., 2004; Jaramillo et al., 2006). These complexes are also able to overcome cisplatin resistance in selected cancer cell lines, although the mechanism by which they do this is not known. Circular dichroism, NMR and viscosity measurements do not display significantly different DNA binding modes. It is therefore important to study the structural features of each platinum complex, as small structural changes may greatly affect the ability of each platinum complex to bind DNA. We present here the crystal structure of the title platinum complex, (I).

The asymmetric unit of (I) contains the complex molecule, three deutero-water solvent molecules and two Cl^- counterions (Fig. 1). The substituted phen ligand is essentially planar, with the largest deviation from the least-squares plane being $0.030(3)$ Å for atom C5. The four N atoms defining the coordination geometry are coplanar and the metal ion is displaced by only $0.009(1)$ Å from that plane. The leastsquares coordination plane is slightly inclined with respect to the phen plane, with a dihedral angle of 4.34 (6)°. Similarly, a deviation of $2.34 \left(1\right)$ ^o is observed in the unsubstituted analogue ethylenediamine- N, N' -(1,10-phenanthroline- N, N')platinum(II) dichloride dihydrate (Kato & Takahashi, 1999). Larger tilts are observed in other methyl-substituted phenanthrolines with bulky ligands opposite the phen ligand (Romeo et al., 2005).

The coordination sphere bonds are as might be expected (Kato & Takahashi, 1999) and the square-planar coordination 'bite' angles are likewise unremarkable. Thus, the methyl subsituents at positions 4 and 7 of the phen ligand evidently have minimal impact on the geometry of the complex. In contrast, the nature of the ligand opposing the phen ligand can significantly perturb the geometry and shape of the complex (Romeo et al., 2005). The shape of the complex may well effect its ability to intercalate within DNA and, through that, its cytotoxicity. Our results suggest subtle changes may be sufficient to alter significantly the binding of a complex to DNA.

The phen ligands of complex (I) are assembled along [010], with offset $\pi-\pi$ stacking interactions between adjacent phen ligands. Hydrogen-bond interactions link the complex ion, counterions and solvent molecules together (Fig. 1), to form tube-like networks of hydrogen bonds along the $(\frac{1}{2}, y, \frac{1}{4})$ and $(\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2})$ $y, \frac{3}{4}$) screw axes.

Experimental

The synthesis of the title complex was as described previously by Brodie et al. (2004). Briefly, 4,7-Dimethyl-1,10-phenanthroline (0.283 g, 1.36 mmol) was reacted with an equimolar amount of K_2PtCl_4 (0.56 g, 1.36 mmol) in water (4 ml) and dimethyl sulfoxide (12 ml). The resultant yellow precipitate was then refluxed with ethylenediamine (0.40 g, 6.66 mmol) to yield a clear yellow solution. The product was purified by precipitation with $NaClO₄$ (5 ml) and washed with HCl $(1 M)$, water, acetone, ethanol and ether, then converted back to the chloride salt using Amberlite ion-exchange resin. Crystals of the title complex formed in a sealed NMR tube from a solution in D_2O .

 $V = 1965.4$ (5) \AA^3

 $D_x = 2.022$ Mg m⁻³ Mo $K\alpha$ radiation $\mu = 7.44$ mm⁻¹ $T = 150$ (2) K Acicular, pale yellow $0.44 \times 0.06 \times 0.05$ mm

19380 measured reflections 4717 independent reflections 4126 reflections with $I > 2\sigma(I)$

 $\Delta \rho_{\text{min}} = -0.46 \text{ e } \text{\AA}^{-3}$

 $R_{\text{int}} = 0.034$ $\theta_{\text{max}} = 28.3^{\circ}$

 $Z = 4$

Crystal data

 $[Pt(C_{14}H_{12}N_2)(C_2H_4D_4N_2)]Cl_2$. $3D₂O$ $M_r = 598.41$ Monoclinic, $P2₁/c$ $a = 11.3986(16)$ Å $b = 7.1092$ (10) Å $c = 24.761(4)$ \AA $\beta = 101.623$ (2)^o

Data collection

Bruker SMART 1000 CCD areadetector diffractometer ω scans Absorption correction: Gaussian [GAUSSIAN (Coppens et al., 1965) and XPREP (Siemens, 1995)]

 $T_{\text{min}} = 0.212, T_{\text{max}} = 0.720$

Refinement

Table 1

Selected geometric parameters (A, \degree) .

Symmetry codes: (i) $x, y - 1, z$; (ii) $-x + 1, y - \frac{1}{2}, -z + \frac{1}{2}$; (iii) $-x, y - \frac{1}{2}, -z + \frac{1}{2}$.

The water and amine D atoms were located in a difference synthesis and refined isotropically $[O-D = 0.856 (18) - 0.881 (18)$ Å and $U_{\text{iso}}(\text{H}) = 0.025$ (8)–0.066 (13) Å^2 ; N–D = 0.82 (3)–0.96 (3) Å and $U_{\text{iso}}(H) = 0.030 (8) - 0.040 (9) \text{ Å}^2$. The remaining H atoms were positioned geometrically, with C-H = 0.95, 0.99 and 0.98 Å for aromatic, methylene and methyl H, respectively, and constrained to ride on their parent atoms, with $U_{\text{iso}}(H) = xU_{\text{eq}}(C)$, where $x = 1.5$ for methyl H, and $x = 1.2$ for all other H atoms. The largest residual electron-density peak is 1.634 e A^{-3} at 0.9 Å from the Pt site.

Data collection: SMART (Siemens, 1995); cell refinement: SAINT (Siemens, 1995); data reduction: SAINT and XPREP (Siemens, 1995); program(s) used to solve structure: SIR97 (Altomare et al., 1999); program(s) used to refine structure: SHELXL97 (Sheldrick, 1997); molecular graphics: Xtal3.6 (Hall et al., 1999), ORTEPII (Johnson, 1976) and WinGX (Farrugia, 1999); software used to prepare material for publication: SHELXL97.

References

- Altomare, A., Burla, M. C., Camalli, M., Cascarano, G. L., Giacovazzo, C., Guagliardi, A., Moliterni, A. G. G., Polidori, G. & Spagna, R. (1999). J. Appl. Cryst. 32, 115–119.
- Brodie, C. R., Collins, J. G. & Aldrich-Wright, J. R. (2004). Dalton Trans. pp. 1145–1152.
- Collins, J. G., Rixon, R. M. & Aldrich-Wright, J. R. (2000). Inorg. Chem. 39, 4377–4379.
- Coppens, P., Leiserowitz, L. & Rabinovich, D. (1965). Acta Cryst. 18, 1035– 1038.
- Cusumano, M., Di Pietro, M. L. & Giannetto, A. (1999). Inorg. Chem. 38, 1754–1758.

Farrugia, L. J. (1999). J. Appl. Cryst. 32, 837–838.

- Hall, S. R., du Boulay, D. J. & Olthof-Hazekamp, R. (1999). Editors. Xtal3.6 Reference Manual. University of Western Australia: Lamb, Perth.
- Jaramillo, D., Buck, D., Collins, J. G., Fenton, R. R., Stootman, F. H., Wheate, N. J. & Aldrich-Wright, J. R. (2006). Eur. J. Inorg. Chem. pp. 839–849.
- Jennette, K. W., Lippard, S. J., Vassiliades, G. A. & Bauer, W. R. (1974). Proc. Natl Acad. Sci. USA 71, 3839–3843.
- Johnson, C. K. (1976). ORTEPII. Report ORNL-5138. Oak Ridge National Laboratory, Tennessee, USA.
- Kato, M. & Takahashi, J. (1999). Acta Cryst. C55, 1809–1812.
- Lippard, S. J., Bond, P. J., Wu, K. C. & Bauer, W. R. (1976). Science, 194, 726– 728.
- Romeo, R., Carnabuci, S., Plutino, M. R., Romeo, A., Rizzato, S. & Albinati, A. (2005). Inorg. Chem. 44, 1248–1262.
- Sheldrick, G. M. (1997). SHELXL97. University of Göttingen, Germany.
- Siemens (1995). SMART, SAINT and XPREP. Siemens Analytical X-ray Instruments Inc., Madison, Wisconsin, USA.
- Wang, A. H.-J., Nathans, J., van der Marel, G., van Boom, J. H. & Rich, A. (1978). Nature, 276, 471–474.