

Theoretical Investigations of

Radical-Mediated Protein Oxidation

Geoffrey P. F. Wood

BSc (Hons), University of Canterbury

*A thesis submitted in partial fulfilment for the degree of
Doctor of Philosophy of the University of Sydney*



School of Chemistry, University of Sydney
July 2006

Te kai rapu, ko ia te kite
Tama tu, tama ora, tama moe, tama mate.
Takoto kau ana te whanau a Tane.
He manga wai koia kia kore e whitikia

Declaration

The work described in this thesis, to the best of my knowledge, is original and does not contain material, except where appropriately referenced, that has been published or presented by any other person, nor has it been submitted for a degree or diploma at any other University or College.

.....

Contents

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS	vi
LIST OF PUBLICATIONS	viii
SUMMARY	ix
LIST OF TABLES	xi
LIST OF FIGURES	xiv
LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS	xvi
Chapter 1: RADICAL-MEDIATED PROTEIN OXIDATION	1
1.1 Introduction	2
1.2 Superoxide Theory of Oxygen Toxicity	4
1.3 Damaging Reactions	8
1.4 Other Damaging Species	20
1.5 Diseases and Disorders	23
1.6 The Present Study	25
1.7 References	26
Chapter 2: THEORETICAL METHODS	29
2.3 Foundations of Quantum Chemistry	30
2.4 Schrödinger's Equation	31
2.5 Standard Approximations	33
2.6 Ab Initio Methods	39
2.7 Density Functional Theory	51
2.8 Compound Methods and Model Chemistries	58
2.9 Software	66
2.10 References	66
Chapter 3: THE ROCBS-QB3 PROCEDURE	70
3.1 Introduction	71
3.2 Theoretical Methods	73
3.3 Results and Discussion	77
3.4 Conclusions	101
3.5 References	102
Chapter 4: RADICAL STABILIZATION ENERGIES FOR •NHX RADICALS	105
4.1 Introduction	106
4.2 Theoretical Procedures	107
4.3 Results and Discussion	108
4.4 Concluding Remarks	123

	v
4.5 References _____	124
Chapter 5 RSES AND BDES ASSOCIATED WITH PEPTIDE-BACKBONE RADICALS _____	126
5.1 Introduction _____	127
5.2 Theoretical Procedures _____	128
5.3 Results and Discussion _____	129
5.4 Concluding Remarks _____	145
5.5 References _____	145
Chapter 6: MODELLING β-SCISSION REACTIONS OF PEPTIDE-BACKBONE ALKOXYL RADICALS: C-C BOND FISSION _____	147
6.1 Introduction _____	148
6.2 Theoretical Procedures _____	152
6.3 Results and Discussion _____	153
6.4 Concluding Remarks _____	174
6.5 References _____	175
Chapter 7: PREFERRED β-SCISSION REACTION OF PEPTIDE-BACKBONE ALKOXYL RADICALS: C-C, C-N OR C-R? _____	177
7.1 Introduction _____	178
7.2 Theoretical Procedures _____	178
7.3 Results and Discussion _____	179
7.4 Conclusions _____	197
7.5 References _____	198
Appendix 1: TABLES OF HEATS OF FORMATION, IONIZATION ENERGIES AND ELECTRON AFFINITIES FOR THE G2/97 SET _____	199

Acknowledgements

The following is an excerpt from “Tout est Bien”, an article in Voltaire’s Philosophical Dictionary, which has hung over my workspace for the last three years. It reminds me to persevere; that things have an order and by design will come to natural fruition. A contradiction I hear you say! However, think of why the contrary choice is made and you will find yourself in an infinitely turning circle.

“Here we have a clear and fixed order among every kind of animal. There is order everywhere. When a stone is formed in my bladder it is by means of admirable mechanics: calculous juices pass little by little into my blood, they filter into the kidneys, pass through the ureters, deposit themselves in my bladder, and assemble there by an excellent Newtonian attraction; the stone is formed, gets bigger, I suffer pains a thousand times worse than death, by the most elegant arrangement in the world. A surgeon, having perfected the art invented by Tubalcain, comes to thrust a sharp and cutting iron into the perineum, and takes hold of my stone with his pincers. It breaks under his efforts by a necessary mechanism; and by the same mechanism I die in frightful torments. All this is good, all this is the evident consequence of unalterable physical principles. I agree with them, and I knew it as well as you did.”

I have crossed the Rubicon! This journey has had its share of vicissitudes and I have needed good friends and excellent guidance to arrive where I am.

Firstly and foremostly, I would like to thank my supervisor, Professor Leo Radom. He has provided me with the problem, the tools, and the solution. Throughout the last four years, he has passed on his singular wisdom and direction to the extent that I have been able to combine all three of these aspects together.

The environment Leo has provided allowed me the privilege to work among highly-talented scientists, some of whom are on the threshold of successful academic careers and others who are already on that road. In particular, I would like to thank Dr Michelle Coote, who has introduced me into her “Leibniz-like” world, which I only aspire to replicate. Drs Tony Scott, David Henry, and Michael Sullivan were invariably patient enough in answering all of my early questions when I felt as though I was stranded on a highway with a flat tyre and a broken stiletto. I would like to extend my gratitude to them for stopping. I would also like to thank my

peer Gregory Sandala; he has helped in sharing the heavy load that a PhD can be. Drs Bo Durbeej, David Graham, Damian Moran, and Bun Chan have passed on their help and advice when I have needed it, and have always had their “doors” open when I came knocking. I would like to extend my sincerest thanks to them all.

I must thank all of the staff at the Supercomputing facility in Canberra, who have assisted with the technical difficulties arising throughout this PhD work. In this regard I would like to make particular mention of Dr Rika Kobayashi. I would like to thank the School of Chemistry in Sydney and the Research School of Chemistry in Canberra, which have provided me with space. I have had generous support from funding bodies, and, in particular, the Australian Postgraduate Award, the Agnes Campbell prizes, the Graduate School Scholarship from the Australian National University, the Supplementary Scholarship from the Research School of Chemistry, and the Alan Sargeson Merit scholarship.

Outside the Radom group and my place of work, I have been fortunate to meet a number of good friends and allies. They are: from Canberra, Adam, Valeska, Matt, Dave, and Colin, and, from Sydney, Matt and Kerry. I am most appreciative of my brother Philip A. C. Wood who has cooked me some of the most exciting and delicious meals that any top-class restaurant in Sydney could provide. I also want to thank Whaea Pauline and Matua Des who have constantly encouraged me to make free and informed choices and provided me with the love and support only parents are able to give.

Finally, I want to thank one of the most wonderful people in the world, who has challenged consistently the way I think and perceive the world, has offered me love and support and given me fun and laughter. She has fed me, clothed me, taken me to see the most wonderful places in Sydney and has driven me to and from University without a sigh or adverse remark. Alexandra I shall always be indebted to you.

List of Publications

Parts of this thesis have been published in peer-reviewed journals:

- (1) Wood, Geoffrey P. F.; Henry, David J.; Radom, Leo. **Performance of the RB3-LYP, RMP2, and UCCSD(T) Procedures in Calculating Radical Stabilization Energies for NHX Radicals.** *Journal of Physical Chemistry A* **2003**, *107*, 7985–7990.
- (2) Wood, Geoffrey P. F.; Moran, Damian.; Jacob, Rebecca.; Radom, Leo **Bond Dissociation Energies and Radical Stabilization Energies Associated with Model Peptide-Backbone Radicals.** *Journal of Physical Chemistry A* **2005**, *109*, 6318–6325.
- (3) Wood, Geoffrey P. F.; Rauk, Arvi; Radom, Leo **Modeling beta-scission Reactions of Peptide Backbone Radicals: Backbone C–C Bond Fission** *Journal of Chemical Theory and Computation*, **2005**, *1*, 889–899.
- (4) Wood, Geoffrey P. F.; Barnes, Ericka C.; Petersson, George A.; Radom, Leo; Frisch, Michael J.; Montgomery Jr., John A. **Formulation and Evaluation of the ROCBS-QB3 Procedure** *Journal of Chemical Physics*, Submitted.
- (5) Wood, Geoffrey P. F.; Easton, Christopher J.; Rauk, Arvi; Davies, Michael J. Radom, Leo **The Effect of Side Chains on Competing Pathways for β -Scission Reactions of Peptide-Backbone Alkoxy Radicals** *Journal of Physical Chemistry A*, Submitted.

In addition, a number of parallel projects were carried out associated with the work presented in this thesis:

- (6) Coote, Michelle L.; Wood, Geoffrey P. F.; Radom, Leo. **Methyl Radical Addition to C:S Double Bonds: Kinetic versus Thermodynamic Preferences.** *Journal of Physical Chemistry A* **2002**, *106*, 12124–12138.
- (7) Moran, Damian; Jacob, Rebecca; Wood, Geoffrey P. F.; Coote, Michelle L.; Davies, Michael J.; O’Hair, Richard A. J.; Easton, Christopher J.; Radom, Leo **Rearrangements in Model Peptide-Type Radicals via Intramolecular Hydrogen-Atom Transfer** *Helvetica Chimica Acta*, Accepted.
- (8) Wood, Geoffrey P. F.; Rintelman, Jamie, M.; Gordon, Mark S; Radom, Leo **Solvation of the Glycyl Radical** *in preparation*.
- (9) Wood, Geoffrey P. F.; Radom, Leo; Smith, David M. **The Nature of Glycine and its α -Carbon Radical in Aqueous Solution: A Theoretical Investigation** *in preparation*.

Summary

This thesis primarily details the application of high-level *ab initio* quantum chemistry techniques in order to understand aspects of free-radical mediated protein oxidation. Traditionally, product analysis and electron paramagnetic resonance (EPR) spectroscopy are the primary means for elucidating the chemistry of protein oxidation. However, in experiments involving relatively small proteins reacting with a controlled radical-flux, a vast array of compounds can be produced, which are often difficult to analyse. Quantum chemical techniques on the other hand, can calculate the properties of any particular species directly, without suffering from the problems associated with experiment, such as side-reactions and chain processes. The results presented in this thesis are aimed at elucidating mechanistic details of protein oxidation, which might otherwise be difficult to probe experimentally.

Chapter 1 gives an overview of the free-radical hypothesis of disease and ageing. Protein-derived radicals can undergo a variety of reactions, with the particular reaction that occurs depending on numerous aspects. Many types of reactions have been identified through radiolysis experiments of amino acids, and these are detailed in this chapter. In addition, the key reactive species are characterized and their different chemistries explained.

Chapter 2 details the theoretical tools used throughout this thesis. Species with unpaired electrons (radicals) present unique problems for quantum chemistry to handle, thus an appropriate choice of theoretical technique is needed. The approach taken in this thesis is to use high-level compound methods, many of which have been directly formulated to give improved results for radical species, to provide benchmark quality results by which other less demanding techniques can be assessed.

During the course of this study, it became apparent there was a void in the armoury of tools that could be used for the theoretical chemistry calculations. Chapter 3 details the formulation of a new tool in an attempt to fill this gap. Historically, the formulation of this new procedure came after much of the work in this thesis had been carried out. Thus, for the study of many of the reactions of this thesis the new method has not been used. However, it is most appropriate to place its formulation after summarizing the current status of techniques in common use today.

Chapters 4 and 5 detail computations carried out on models of peptides containing backbone carbon- and nitrogen-centered radicals. A number of different theoretical techniques are used in these chapters, ranging from the highly accurate and computationally intensive to the less reliable and less demanding. The highly accurate techniques are used to gauge the accuracy of the other less demanding theoretical techniques so that the latter can be used with confidence in larger systems. Not only is the choice of theoretical technique important but also the judicious choice of model is essential. With this in mind, models are incrementally built until convergence of the particular property of interest is reached.

Chapters 6 and 7 detail the calculations of β -scission reactions of alkoxy radicals, which are a particular class of reaction known to occur on peptide backbones. Alkoxy radicals are particularly difficult for theory to describe correctly. Therefore, Chapter 6 extensively assesses and then identifies the theoretical methods needed to portray them. Chapter 7 uses the techniques identified in the previous chapter in order to predict how the preference for a particular type of β -scission reaction changes.

List of Tables

<i>Chapter 1: RADICAL-MEDIATED PROTEIN OXIDATION</i>	<u>1</u>
<i>Chapter 2: THEORETICAL METHODS</i>	<u>29</u>
<i>Chapter 3: THE ROCBS-QB3 PROCEDURE</i>	<u>70</u>
Table 3.1: Calculated and Experimental Bond Dissociation Energies (BDE's), Ionization Energies (IE's), and Electron Affinities (EA's) Involving Spin-Contaminated Species (kJ mol ⁻¹)	75
Table 3.2: The RMS Deviations (kJ mol ⁻¹) of the Computational Methods from Experiment and From One Another Based on the Reactions in Table 3.1. The Bold Faced Number in Each Column is the Minimum RMS Deviation, Indicating the Strongest Correlation Between Two Methods	84
Table 3.3: Calculated Values of $\langle S^2 \rangle$, Total CBS-QB3 Energies (Hartree), Relative ROCBS-QB3 Energies (kJ mol ⁻¹) and Experimental Heats of Formation (kJ mol ⁻¹) for Atoms	89
Table 3.4: Error Analysis (kJ mol ⁻¹) for the Heats of Formation of the Subset of Closed-Shell Molecules in the G2/97 Test Set	90
Table 3.5: Error Analysis (kJ mol ⁻¹) for the Heats of Formation of the Subset of Closed-Shell Molecules in the G2/97 Test Set, Broken Down into Various Subclasses of Molecules	91
Table 3.6: Comparison of Calculated and Experimental Heats of Formation for Benzene, Naphthalene, and Anthracene (298 K, KJ Mol ⁻¹) Calculated with CBS-QB3 and ROCBS-QB3	92
Table 3.7: Comparison of Calculated and Experimental Heats of Formation (298 K, kJ mol ⁻¹) for Open-Shell Species	94
Table 3.8: Error Analysis (kJ mol ⁻¹) for the Heats of Formation (298 K), Ionization Energies, and Electron Affinities of Molecules in the G2-1 and G2/97 Test Sets for Several Popular Model Chemistries	98
<i>Chapter 4: RADICAL STABILIZATION ENERGIES FOR •NHX RADICALS</i>	<u>105</u>
Table 4.1: Energy Differences Between the ² A" or Pseudo- ² A" Ground State and the ² A' Excited State of •NHX Radicals (0 K, kJ mol ⁻¹)	110
Table 4.2: N-H Bond Dissociation Energies Associated with •NHX Radicals (0 K, kJ mol ⁻¹)	112
Table 4.3: N-H Bond Dissociation Energies Associated with •NHX Radicals at Higher Theoretical Levels (0 K, kJ mol ⁻¹)	113
Table 4.4: Radical Stabilization Energies of •NHX Radicals (0 K, kJ mol ⁻¹)	117
Table 4.5: Radical Stabilization Energies of •NHX Radicals at Higher Theoretical Levels (0 K, kJ mol ⁻¹)	118

Chapter 5 RSES AND BDES ASSOCIATED WITH PEPTIDE-BACKBONE RADICALS _____ 126

Table 5.1:	Comparison of Calculated C–H Bond Dissociation Energies (0 K, kJ mol ⁻¹) Resulting in the Formation of α -Carbon-Centered Radicals on Small Models of a Glycine Peptide Backbone	131
Table 5.2:	Comparison of Calculated N–H Bond Dissociation Energies (0 K, kJ mol ⁻¹) Resulting in the Formation of Nitrogen-Centered Radicals on Small Models of a Glycine Peptide Backbone	135
Table 5.3:	Comparison of Calculated Radical Stabilization Energies (0 K, kJ mol ⁻¹) of α -Carbon Radicals on Small Models of a Glycine Peptide Backbone	137
Table 5.4:	Comparison of Calculated Radical Stabilization Energies (0 K, kJ mol ⁻¹) of Nitrogen-Centered Radicals on Small Models of a Glycine Peptide Backbone	138
Table 5.5:	Comparison of Radical Stabilization Energies of Carbon-Centered Radicals (0 K, kJ mol ⁻¹) Calculated with U-CBS-QB3 for Disubstituted Radicals (X– \bullet CH–Y) with Values for the Corresponding Monosubstituted Radicals (X– \bullet CH–H and H– \bullet CH–Y)	141
Table 5.6:	Comparison of Radical Stabilization Energies of Nitrogen-Centered Radicals (0 K, kJ mol ⁻¹) Calculated with U-CBS-QB3 for Disubstituted Radicals (X– \bullet CH–Y) with Values for the Corresponding Monosubstituted Radicals (X– \bullet CH–H and H– \bullet CH–Y)	143
Table 5.7:	Comparison of Enthalpies of Reactions Measuring Stabilizing Interactions at N and N \bullet (U-CBS-QB3, 0 K, kJ mol ⁻¹)	144

Chapter 6: MODELLING β -SCISSION REACTIONS OF PEPTIDE-BACKBONE ALKOXYL RADICALS: C–C BOND FISSION _____ 147

Table 6.1:	Differences in Energy (kJ mol ⁻¹) Between Conformers of Alkoxy Radicals a2 , and a4 Calculated at Various Levels of Theory	157
Table 6.2:	Effect of Geometry on Calculated Enthalpy for Reaction 6.4a and on Cleavage and Addition Barriers (UCCSD(T)6-311+G(3df,2p), kJ mol ⁻¹)	159
Table 6.3:	Effect of Geometry on Calculated Enthalpy for Reaction 6.4b and on Cleavage and Addition Barriers (URCCSD(T)6-311+G(d,p), kJ mol ⁻¹)	160
Table 6.4:	Effect of Geometry on Enthalpies for Reaction 6.4c and on Cleavage and Addition Barriers (URCCSD(T)6-311+G(2df,p), kJ mol ⁻¹)	161
Table 6.5:	Calculated Lengths of Cleaving (Forming) Bonds (Angstroms) in Transition Structures for Reactions 6.4a, 6.4b, 6.4c, and 6.4d	161
Table 6.6:	Effect of Level of Theory on Calculated Enthalpy of Reaction 6.4a and on Barriers for Fragmentation and Addition (0 K, kJ mol ⁻¹)	163
Table 6.7:	Effect of Level of Theory on Calculated Enthalpy of Reaction 6.4b and on Barriers for Fragmentation and Addition (0 K, kJ mol ⁻¹)	164
Table 6.8:	Effect of Level of Theory on Calculated Enthalpy of Reaction 6.4c and on Barriers for Fragmentation and Addition (0 K, kJ mol ⁻¹)	165
Table 6.9:	Effect of Level of Theory on Calculated Enthalpy of Reaction 6.4d and on Barriers for Fragmentation and Addition (0 K, kJ mol ⁻¹)	166
Table 6.10:	Effect of Level of Theory on Calculated Heats of Formation (0 K, kJ mol ⁻¹) of	171

Fragmentation Products (**fp1**, **fp2**, **fp3**, **fp4**, and **fp5**) and of Alkoxy Radicals (**a1**, **a2**, **a3** and **a4**)

Chapter 7: MODELLING β-SCISSION REACTIONS OF PEPTIDE-BACKBONE ALKOXYL RADICALS: EFFECT OF THE SIDE CHAIN		177
Table 7.1:	Reaction Enthalpies and Barriers (0 K, kJ mol ⁻¹) Calculated with Various Theoretical Techniques for Six Model C–C Backbone β -Scission Reactions	182
Table 7.2:	Reaction Enthalpies and Barriers (0 K, kJ mol ⁻¹) Calculated with Various Theoretical Techniques for Six Model C–N Backbone β -Scission Reactions	184
Table 7.3:	Reaction Enthalpies and Barriers (0 K, kJ mol ⁻¹) Calculated with Various Theoretical Techniques for Five Model C–R Side-Chain β -Scission Reactions	187
Table 7.4:	Performance of Various Theoretical Methods for the Calculation of β -Scission Reaction Enthalpies and Barriers	188
Table 7.5:	Radical Stabilization Energies of Side-Chain Fragment Radicals (\bullet R) Formed from the Homolytic Cleavage of the α -C–R Bond (R = Side Chain) of the Natural Amino Acids	190
Table 7.6:	Calculated Arrhenius Parameters and Reaction Enthalpies for the Three Possible β -Scission Reactions of an α -C-Centered Alkoxy Radical on a Model Peptide Containing Specific Amino Acid Residues	192
Table 7.7:	Comparison of Empirical and Directly Calculated Arrhenius Activation Energies (E_a , kJ mol ⁻¹)	196
Appendix 1: TABLES OF HEATS OF FORMATION, IONIZATION ENERGIES AND ELECTRON AFFINITIES FOR THE G2/97 SET		199
Table A1.1:	Comparison of Calculated and Experimental Heats of Formation (298 K, kJ mol ⁻¹) for Closed-Shell Species	200
Table A1.2:	Comparison of Calculated and Experimental Ionization Energies (0 K, kJ mol ⁻¹)	204
Table A1.3:	Comparison of Calculated and Experimental Electron Affinities (0 K, kJ mol ⁻¹)	207

List of Figures

Chapter 1: RADICAL-MEDIATED PROTEIN OXIDATION	1
Figure 1.1: Orbital interaction diagram showing (a) the interaction of the singly-occupied 2p orbital on the carbon, 2p(C•), with the lone pair on the nitrogen in NH ₂ -•CH ₂ ; and (b) the enhanced interaction of the modified 2p(C•) orbital with the π* orbital on the carbonyl group in NH ₂ -•CH-CHO.	11
Figure 1.2: Model of peptide backbone containing an alanine residue that was used in the bond dissociation energy study of Rauk and co-workers (key: grey = C, white = H, blue = N, red = O).	12
Figure 1.3: Site directed hydrogen-atom abstraction is caused by the presence of heteroatoms in the side chains of Thr, Ser, Asp and Glu, where the arrow indicates the preferred site	17
Figure 1.4: Product distributions found in solvent-exchange experiments involving hydrogen-atom abstraction by •OH on Arg and Lys.	18
Chapter 2: THEORETICAL METHODS	29
Figure 2.1: Spin Polarization in the Methyl Radical.	40
Figure 2.2: (a) Reducing a Full Configuration Interaction Calculation to a Subspace of Two Occupied and Two Virtual Orbitals. (b) A Further Partitioning of the Active Space.	45
Figure 2.3: Pople Diagram Indicating how to Systematically Improve the Hartree-Fock Solution.	50
Chapter 3: THE ROCBS-QB3 PROCEDURE	70
Figure 3.1: The minimum energy UHF wave function for the cyano radical, •C≡N, mixes the A ¹ S excited state with the X ² S ground state, leading to an error in <S ² >: d<S ² > = <S ² > _{calc} - 0.75. This UHF spin contamination and the associated error in the UCCSD(T) energy can be varied over a wide range by modest changes in the bond length, R _{CN} . The intercept of 1.5 mHartrees represents the CCSD(T) error in the absence of spin contamination. Calculations have been carried out in association with the cc-pVDZ basis set.	72
Figure 3.2: The errors in spin-restricted and spin-unrestricted CCSD(T)/cc-pVDZ energies for the F ₂ ¹ S _g ⁺ ground state as a function of the bond length.	73
Figure 3.3: The difference between RCCSD(T) and UCCSD(T) energies and the difference between ROCBS-QB3 and unrestricted CBS-QB3 (before spin-contamination correction) energies are both proportional to the error in <S ² >, but the proportionality constant is greater for the CBS-QB3 energies.	78
Figure 3.4: The cumulative difference between ROCBS-QB3 and standard unrestricted CBS-QB3 component by component. The composite CCSD(T) energy is repeated from the last point on the left-hand side of the figure as the first point on the higher resolution right-hand side of the figure, i.e., the points that are in the boxes.	81

Chapter 4: RADICAL STABILIZATION ENERGIES FOR •NHX RADICALS	105
Figure 4.1: Occupation of orbitals in the ground (2B_1) and first excited (2A_1) states of the •NH ₂ radical.	109
Figure 4.2: RMP2/6-31+G(d)//UB3-LYP/6-31+G(d) spin distributions for the •NHCF ₃ , •NHCOCH ₃ , and •NHCONH ₂ radicals, and UCCSD(T)/6-31+G(d)//UB3-LYP/6-31+G(d) spin distribution for the •NHCHO radical.	123
Chapter 5 RSES AND BDES ASSOCIATED WITH PEPTIDE-BACKBONE RADICALS	126
Figure 5.1: Optimized structures (B3-LYP/6-31G(d)) of the largest (a) carbon-centered radical (CH ₃ CONH-•CH-CONHCH ₃) in an extended chain conformation and (b) nitrogen-centered radical (CH ₃ CO-•N-CH ₂ CONH ₂) demonstrating the puckering that occurs at the nitrogen carrying the unpaired electron.	129
Chapter 6: MODELLING β-SCISSION REACTIONS OF PEPTIDE-BACKBONE ALKOXYL RADICALS: C-C BOND FISSION	147
Figure 6.1: Optimized B3-LYP/6-311+G(3df,2p) structures of preferred conformers of the alkoxy radicals (a1 – a4) and their associated transition structures (ts1 – ts4).	154
Figure 6.2: Optimized B3-LYP/6-311+G(3df,2p) structures of preferred conformers of the fragmentation products fp1 – fp5 of reactions 6.4a–6.4d.	154
Figure 6.3: Rotational potential about the central C–C bond of the O=CH–CH ₂ O• radical (a1) with HF/6-31G(d) and B3-LYP/6-31G(d).	155
Chapter 7: MODELLING β-SCISSION REACTIONS OF PEPTIDE-BACKBONE ALKOXYL RADICALS: EFFECT OF THE SIDE CHAIN	177
Figure 7.1: Six model peptides for the study of the β-scission of the C–C backbone bond from an α-C-centered alkoxy radical.	180
Figure 7.2: Six model peptides for the study of the β-scission of the C–N backbone bond from an α-C-centered alkoxy radical.	183
Figure 7.3: Five model peptides for the study of the β-scission of the C–R side-chain bond from an α-C-centered alkoxy radical.	186
Figure 7.4: B3-LYP/6-31G(d) optimized structure of the peptide-backbone alkoxy-radical reactant of reactions 7.1f, 7.2f and 7.3e (CH ₃ CONH–C(O•)CH ₃ –CONHCH ₃ , see Figures 7.1, 7.2 and 7.3) which represents our largest model system.	186
Appendix 1: TABLES OF HEATS OF FORMATION, IONIZATION ENERGIES AND ELECTRON AFFINITIES FOR THE G2/97 SET	199

List of Abbreviations

The following are the most common abbreviations used in this work.

Theoretical Procedures:

HF	Hartree-Fock theory
MP n	n th order Møller-Plesset perturbation theory
CCSD(T)	Coupled-cluster with singles and doubles and a perturbative treatment of triples
B3-LYP	Becke's three-parameter density functional model (B3), incorporating the Lee, Yang and Parr (LYP) gradient correction to the correlation energy
BMK	Density functional model formulated by Boese and Martin
MPWB1K	A combination of a modified Perdew and Wang exchange functional and the B1K correlation functional formulated by Truhlar and co-workers.
U/R	Each of the methods above have been used with either a restricted (designated by an R prefix) or unrestricted (designated by a U prefix) wave function.
G3X(MP2)-RAD	The RAD variant of the G3X(MP2) compound method
W1	The Weizmann-1 compound method for benchmark calculations
CBS	Complete basis set model chemistries formulated by Petersson et al.
CBS-QB3	The QB3 variant of Petersson's complete basis set methods
U-CBS-QB3	The QB3 variant of Petersson's complete basis set methods excluding the empirical correction for spin-contamination
ROCBS-QB3	The QB3 variant of Petersson's complete basis set methods based on restricted open-shell methods

Amino Acids:

Ala	Alanine	Leu	Leucine
Arg	Arginine	Lys	Lysine
Asp	Aspartate	Met	Methionine
Asn	Asparagine	Phe	Phenylalanine
Cys	Cysteine	Pro	Proline
Gln	Glutamine	Ser	Serine
Glu	Glutamic acid	Thr	Threonine
Gly	Glycine	Trp	Tryptophan
His	Histidine	Tyr	Tyrosine
Ile	Isoleucine	Val	Valine