11-02. Archaeology Manager Heritage Property.

1 2 3

4

5 6

7

Q1. Okay. I work at Place X. I'm the Archaeology Manager so I'm essentially in charge of coordinating all the archaeological activities on the site, whether that's research or monitoring activities for works and conservation interventions, assisting with the writing of management plans, managing the archaeological collections, and making available the results of archaeological research to as wide a public as possible. At least that's the intention.

8 9 10

11

12

13

Q2. We're a government business enterprise, so it's semi government. It's a business enterprise set up and wholly government owned. [Does that mean it has to be self-funding?]. No. Up until now it gets a [medium term] grant from the state government and I think we're supposed to break even. I don't think it's intended that we make a profit. I think it's supposed to be revenue neutral.

14 15 16

03. It would be around the 100 mark. It varies seasonally – obviously more in the summer when the visitor numbers are higher. That one hundred includes everyone from food and beverage operators, guides.

18 19 20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

17

04. There are two dedicated positions (involving archaeology) [...] So there are two of us whose work is specifically involved with archaeology but there are several others on staff with backgrounds in archaeology. [Sounds like you are in a minority? I. In terms of the professional group. A lot of the staff at [Place X] are in food and beverage, buildings maintenance, gardens and grounds, tourism operations, so the core staff of what you might consider to be the normal heritage professionals we are probably about even with the buildings conservators, the gardens managers, the collections specialist and the interpretation staff. So we're probably about even against the other professional staff.

29 30

- 31 Q5. Comparatively we'd be one of the largest. Our permanent staff complement is 32 unusually high for a heritage site. [So it's like a 'heritage site'?]. Well we actually 33 manage three now. I don't know what the other comparable site would be. [Places A, B, C] maybe? [More like a house museum maybe? Like [historic house
- 34
- 35 X] or something – I'm not sure..].
- 36 Q6. Located in [state X].
- 37 07. People I communicate with are located mainly in [state X – where the place 38 isl on site.
- 39 Q8. Communication is mostly face to face, on-site and with visitors who come to 40
- 41 09. In general terms (if possible to easily answer) where do most of your visitors
- 42 come from? Don't have the figures but most of them would probably be
- 43 Australian. Following that, probably the largest group of visitors would probably
- 44 be from Asia mainly Japan, increasing numbers from China and increasing
- 45 numbers from India. Q9. Involved with all aspects but most important are
- heritage conservation, travel or tourism and heritage management. 46

47

48 Q10. Me personally would be research and heritage management as the main 49 ones.

50 Q11. Just trying to think. It's kind of difficult because on a professional basis it's 51 kind of all the time within the organization. So part of my role is to look after the 52 archaeological agenda and that affects pretty much everything [we] do, especially as our legislation requires us to have a view to the archaeology as well. 53 54 It's one of the few pieces of legislation in Australia that's like that. So in terms of 55 management the archaeological view is ever present. In terms of communicating 56 a kind of broader knowledge of archaeology to a wider public personally for me 57 that would probably be 'Sometimes'. Most of the face to face interaction would be 58 done by the other archaeological staff member. That's only partly to do with the 59 difference in role. At the moment it's more to do with the fact that I'm a relatively 60 new staff member so once I become more familiar with the site my role in 61 communication will probably get greater, once I become more familiar with the 62 issues.

63 64

65

66 67

68

69

70

71

72

Q12. Yes we have a media marketing manager who operates as the interface, or usually, between us and various media outlets. But that's really in terms of organizational stuff. When it comes to the nitty gritty it's pretty much whichever film crew turns up not us and them. It depends how you read the question. In terms of 'Do they teach us how to interact with the media?' – no – 'Do they organize media stuff?' – yes. [Laughs]. That's generally how it works. Occasionally you'll get media enquiries that you'll feed back through the marketing office. But things like radio interviews, film crews they're generally organized by the marketing manager then it's up to us to work directly with them.

73 74 75

Q13. Formal qualifications no.

76 77 78

Q14. Other experience a lot. Ten years university teaching and then about 14-15 years 'in service training' with various people mostly in [region X].

79 80

81

82

83

84

85

86

87

88

89

90

91

92

93

94

95

Q15. Yes we do. We have an Interpretation Manager who has a background in archaeology. Not that I don't think that's a requirement of the position, just so happens that the incumbent is an archaeologist with [formal qualifications] in Archaeology. And we have an Education Officer who is an ex-teacher and they handle a whole range of educational activities and are currently looking at how [the organization] can assist in training teachers in terms of the national curriculum. So that's a very active part of the organization. There also has been to date quite an educational focus for some of the [special archaeological education programmes] and they were designed by the educational specialist with the archaeologists and run jointly as well. [Are they aimed at university and/or school students?]. Well there's a range. The [archaeology education programmes] that ran up until this year was targeted at undergraduates and that was designed and run entirely by the archaeologists. And then there was a public archaeology programme and a children's archaeology programme and the children's archaeology programme was the one that was jointly planned and run with the educational specialist. [Sounds like there's quite a bit of professional emphasis on that area of your work]

96 97

98 Q17-18. I've been involved with two film crews since I've been there. One was 99 with [a UK media producer] who was doing a series on [aspects of Australian 100 history] and they spent I think three days or two days [in our area]. So that was a 101 fairly major event. Also involved staff from X University. And then the second 102 film crew was from the ABC doing a short segment for the X Programme. So that 103 was just a single day event. [Any comments on the positive aspects of that? And/or]. I guess in terms of the site it is.. as long as you can.. it is a vehicle for 104 reaching a wider public. And in both instances I think it was quite good in that 105 106 one – the ABC [programme] - had a greater focus on archaeology. In both 107 instances the focus was on a theme rather than on the discipline. So I find that 108 the most useful way of approaching it. Like the ABC [programme] were looking 109 at the origins and history of tourism at [place X] so there was some 110 archaeological material that spoke to that but there was also museum collections 111 and historic documents.

112 113

114

115

116

117

118

119

120

121 122 The [other] film actually used very little in the way of archaeological material but it was again a themed event so. I'm not sure given current exposure of archaeology on television we actually need to foreground the discipline any more. What I think we need to be concentrating on now is what the discipline has contributed. And I think this is where [our public education programme] is now changing. We don't have to justify our own existence any more. We don't have to tell people 'Well archaeology does this or that'. What I think we need to be doing is actually showing what new information is generated and how that fits into a much larger story. [So are you saying - which I think you were - that's it's not so much about the practice of archaeology and digging things up as more about the knowledge?]

123 124 125

126

127

128 129

130

131

132 133

134 135 Yes I think so. It just seems to me that it's slightly apologetic to keep on harping on about 'Well archaeology is the method for doing this' and "It's really important "if you don't actually demonstrate why it's important. You don't see if there's a programme about Architecture you don't have somebody spouting for half an hour on why Architecture is important or what the objectives of the discipline are. They talk about buildings. And it seems to me we've got to start putting some runs on the board in terms of well this is what the archaeological agenda has contributed to our knowledge about [place X] and the [historic theme] in this particular case. [Q. On that can I ask – do you think the push to emphasise your know 'This is how archaeologists dig a hole in the ground' has come from the media themselves or do you think it's something that archaeologists have pushed? I mean it's...]

136 137 138

139

140

141

142

143

144

145

I think it's a bit of both and I think now the media kind of expect that there are going to be holes in the ground. You can understand why because it's visually kind of interesting and there's things going on and there's the excitement of finding stuff you know.. But it's not. A lot of the programmes are not about archaeology - they're about excavation - and that's a completely different thing and I'm a little bit worried that the message is now 'archaeology is digging holes in the ground to find stuff - end of story'. Whereas I think we could tell some really interesting stories without any holes in the ground at all. But I think we've

146 been the victim of our own success to some extent. That visually very appealing and very exciting thing about excavation is the easy story to tell because if you haven't got a story to tell you've still got things to show. It's like but 'Oh! Here's a..' (laughs..) the death mask of Agamemnon or "Here's a convict nail that nobody's touched for 150 years". That's got an appeal of its own but it's not really what we should be doing, I don't think. [SC – I'll move on in a minute – but do you think.. obviously these two shows were emphasis on history and the other one on some aspect of visiting the site and collecting. They weren't really focused on archaeology – is that right?]

True. The X programme certainly wasn't. It was very much a broader exploration of [key historic themes of Australian history and heritage] And in fact we had very little input into that in terms of script. We didn't see the script before they came. Researcher Y from X University did have the script and they were the local expert, the local talent as they say (.. laughs). So we had very little input into what actually came across and certainly weren't given.. we were given very little information upfront about what they wanted to film, why they wanted to film it, how it fitted in with any particular kind of a story. Clearly a lot of the script was going to be done as background voiceover. So we never even got to clearly see that or hear that. So while we could control some of the images we couldn't necessarily control what was going to be said because that wasn't recorded on site.

With the ABC they used the [X] archaeological excavations as kind of the lead in to the story because it just so happened that the excavations were partly sited on a early 20^{th} century rubbish deposit which is associated with X becoming a tourist [place]. So there were some objects that spoke to the development of [Town B] you know the town after [place Y], but that was an entirely unscripted segment. So they came down with a very rough idea of what they wanted, but no script. So again we had very little control but for a different reason. It wasn't that we didn't see the script, it was there wasn't one. And it was... going to be a three minute segment you know...[It's not worth it?.. is it? laughs. That's not very long is it?]

Well. You don't want people to be giving the wrong message – but in a 3 minute segment – what is the message? So I guess one of the challenges and difficulties are the extent to which you can control what's going on given that the visuals and the sound can be completely separate things. So that even if you might be able to control the script on site that's not necessarily the sound that's going to come out in the piece. And I can't see any way in which you could have editorial control over the finished product. [Okay..]. Well you possibly could. [Yeah..if you made your own video but there you are]. Yes but in terms of an ABC programme – no. It's not going to happen. [No.]. Because if you want that level of editorial control they are going to say no – we'll go somewhere else. [I'm sure – that's alright, anyway...]

Q19. Um. I think that it's both. The marketing manager is very active and he uses a whole suite of technologies to communicate with the public. There's a website, we're on Facebook, Twitter, there's a government intranet it's linked into [state tourism organization]. Increasingly now there are kind of joint press releases

and engagements on the basis of the new [...] heritage listing because we're part of a serial nomination. There's various things coming out under that. We probably seek out more than we get requests for, I think. And that happens on a daily basis.

199 200 201

202 203

204

205

206

207

208

209

210

211

212

196

197

198

021. Why are you involved in communicating archaeology? – just broadly.] Laughs... [Because it's part of your job? Prompting..]. Well it's part of my job and to some extent I play devil's advocate here – is because it's now a tradition. [A tradition?]. Well [the place] always had this focus on archaeology. A lot of the work's been run by archaeologists, the legislation requires that we look after the archaeology, and [we've] been at various times at the forefront of developing certain technologies and training and so on. So now it's almost become part of the accepted role – that's what we do. I think times have changed and that some of the role was that we traditionally had are no longer warranted. They've been taken up by other people. So., yes., [That's alright..]. I'm not sure that we think about it too much. That's a bit of a problem. Bit that's the view of a new boy on the block. I'm looking to move this now towards not communicating archaeology but to communicating the results of archaeology.

213 214 215

216

217

218 219

220

221

222 223

224 225 [What kinds of content about archaeology are involved -? I know that's too big a question...]. Mm. [But I mean is it like – presumably – the history of X and other aspects of the site or? On that level – that's all I'm..]. Um. Yeah I think there's kind of almost an equally one about the conduct of archaeology just as an enterprise. the methods, the techniques and it's often kind of site specific. A lot of the engagement with the public this year was around an excavation on the old [X]. The main focus is on [themed] history but that doesn't preclude us looking at the lother aspects of history. One of the areas that we don't communicate about is Aboriginal material. That's specifically excluded at the moment from our remit and that's the result of an agreement with [organization Z]. So how that's going to be done is yet to be worked out. It's say it's not a matter of urgency for the Aboriginal community at the moment.

226 227 228

229

230

231

Q23. (List of groups who you communicate with...). TAFE – not yet. Unorthodox archaeologies – not yet. Aboriginal groups – not yet – there will come a time. Development companies – federal hotels – yes – smaller ones. Local Historical Societies.

232 024. General public.

233 [Qs. 25-7. Blends. SC explains the questions. What are the contexts/mode of your 234 communication??] Work in all three. Expert role to educate others. We work with 235 a lot of university students, secondary school students and that's a kind of more 236 formal type of educational experience I suppose where you really are in an

237 educational role.

238 The second one (Q26) is really about the management regime that we have so

the managerial meetings or the development of planning documents or 239

240 whatever. That will be a group of people. There'll be Architects, Historians,

241 Water Engineers, there'll be Building Codes specialists, Landscape Managers and

242 so on. Everybody's got a particular skill and it's a matter of reaching common

243 ground. One example of that would be we're developing a management plan for

244 the [X] site which has really complicated mixed values which involve vegetation

- 245 management, threatened species, coastal erosion, archaeological values,
- buildings, community values, fire management. There's a whole range of areas
- that require expert involvement and that's quite a dynamic and continuing set of
- 248 meetings about how these things interact with each other.
- 249 The third one probably is best when you are talking with people who really
- 250 who have no idea. Certain sections of the general public but also some local
- community members some of whom have a very good understanding of
- archaeology, and some of whom not at all. Or there's a certain skewed perception
- about what it does. And that's partly a result of the fact that the visible part of
- archaeology at [place X] for a long time has been excavations, which have
- 255 happened within a month to six weeks right in the middle of summer. And to
- 256 many people really their only engagement with archaeology is some odd people
- 257 digging holes in the ground. And that's what they think we do that's all we do.
- 258 Dig holes in the ground and get stuff, you know. So they might have and idea of
- what [place X] archaeology looks like but they don't necessarily have an idea of
- what archaeology is.
- [This is a really hard question as well you can either answer it for yourself or
- 262 for the organization...]
- Q28. How do you judge if you've been successful in your communication? [You're
- obviously doing a lot of different kinds of communication this is probably a
- leading question but, for example, does your organization keep visitor surveys
- etc?]. Yes, they're done regularly. So they're done both formally with a pro-active
- survey using standard survey templates, and there's a new one being devised at
- the moment. The previous ones have largely been devised by the tourism
- operators. They are now being revised with input from the heritage
- 270 professionals. There's also a system of visitor comments. All visitor comments
- are circulated to managerial staff on a monthly basis and we get to see what
- 272 people have appreciated and what they haven't. But then you tend to get they
- 273 tend to be overwhelmingly either really positive "You're doing a fabulous job –
- darling?" or terribly negative like "The coffee was burnt." or "Why don't you have
- 275 people in costume?" So do vou get feedback on individual things? No.
- 276 In terms of communicating archaeology, the children's archaeology programme
- 277 had a feedback system worked into it which was directed at both the children
- and the parents. But the fact that it was administered on site immediately after
- 279 the activity you tend to get positive comments rather than negative ones. So the
- 280 mechanisms for judging effectiveness I think are quite difficult to achieve.
- 281 [SC I guess that was me giving you leading question. I guess those
- questionnaire surveys are not just about the message of the archaeology but the
- visitor experience.. Do you have any comment about whether you feel this is a
- hard question. How do you judge the effectiveness of your communication about
- archaeology?]. I haven't even thought about that yet. (Laughs..). [SC That's
- 286 okay..]
- 287 [SC Yes I think we both know about this as having a teaching background we
- both know how complicated this is where other people who don't do verv
- 289 much teaching haven't got much experience, it's interesting they give straight
- snap answers X, Y, Z...]. Yes I've no idea how you'd go in that kind of
- 291 environment you know where you might have fifty people for 5 minutes and
- they move onto the next thing. I don't know how you'd do that.
- [Okay but also the circumstances make it difficult [to judge the effectiveness...]

294 [SC – okay – someone said they 'Got a warm inner glow' – laughs]. Really? It's if I 295 don't hit them – when I stop swearing I know it's been a success (laughs) Q30 + [beyond word-processing and email..what ICT do you use to communicate 296 297 archaeology?]. Through the website would be the main one. In terms of 298 communicating it to a reasonably general public we have a bit of a technical issue 299 because we have limited bandwidth so the amount of stuff we can poke out from 300 [the place] itself is relatively limited given that our connections also have to handle all the tourism operations stuff, bookings and the rest of it. Now that 301 302 we've got a bit of a presence in [larger town nearby] that may improve. 303 Okay. Digital technologies. We're kind of on the verge now of a major collections 304 project and part of the remit of that is to make raw data and grey-literature and 305 so on available. We might have to worry about this bandwidth issue, but if needs 306 be we will upload characterization sets and if people want all the data we will 307 work out how to get it to them whether its on disk or whatever. [Okay – right..]. 308 But at the moment we don't use..the only vehicle we have up at the moment in 309 the website. [Do you have any on-site..are there any interactive? -310 interpretation]. Not specifically for archaeology – no. There are some in the 311 overall interpretation on parts of the site there are touch screen technologies but 312 they're not really there to communicate archaeology. But that's not to say they 313 couldn't be. And there are moves at the moment towards developing iPhone apps 314 and so on which may have a significant archaeological component in that 315 hopefully some of the material they use will come from archaeological work. [But that's in the planning stages?]. Yes it's partly in semi-development now 316 317 [And do you use Social Media sites as part of your work?]. As part of my work – no. The organization does but I haven't vet. [The organization uses? Facebook?..] 318 319 Facebook, Twitter and I think we're on YouTube as well. 320 [What do you like about using computers in your work and what are some of the 321 challenges? You've already mentioned the problem with the bandwidth... 322 Yes that's an external one. There's a whole set of problems with our digital 323 technologies at the moment. I could give you a whole long list. We have a very curious mixture of digital and hard copy data which is very poorly catalogued. So 324 325 access to our own resources is very difficult without physically having to go look 326 for things. Take for example project information. There's been [many] 327 archaeological projects at [our site] in the last [several] years. That's everything 328 from large-scale excavations to tiny little holes in the ground. With the exception 329 of the stuff from the last ten years none of that is currently accessible except by 330 going and looking in cupboards and drawers and shelves and filing cabinets and 331 old files. We have access to a whole range of digital technologies and software 332 suites. Some of those are directed at management so we have an asset 333 management system which is based around MapInfo and it's a development of a 334 National Parks system. As I say – it's essentially for management so it holds a 335 subset of the entire data that we have and which is useful for management. Then we've got a whole range of databases of various kinds which I've done an audit 336 337 of. Nowhere in our documents or our documentation does it say why we have 338 them. We have them but nobody has actually said why we have them. Most of the 339 databases have swallowed information but have never been used to extract 340 information, so they've almost become and end in themselves. So people have 341 said 'we need a database'. [Are these archaeological information?...]. Yes we have 342 a major archaeological collections database where I would guess let's say 10 to

20 % of the entire collection is catalogued, and catalogued very, very precisely 343 with huge numbers of attributes. This means we have 90% of the collection 344 which isn't accessible at all. None of the project documentation – or what people 345 consider to be documentation but I would consider to be part of the collection, 346 which is your notebooks, stratigraphic sheets, photographs all that kind of stuff. 347 With few exceptions none of that is kind of available as an archive. So you can't 348 go in and say 'give me all the information from this excavation or this 349 intervention'. So we have a big project this year to a) to start to characterize all of 350 351 these projects and to link those into the much broader [Y] collection which 352 involves books, manuscripts, historic plans, historic objects. [So..is the Y 353 collections more generally – is that digitally managed?]. Some of it is – a lot of it 354 isn't. A lot of the objects.. people seem to have assumed that the collection.. that 355 the majority of the collections are objects. And then with the objects you have 356 documentation. And I'm trying to get people to think of all of our holdings, all of 357 our data holdings as a single collection whether its an archaeological artefact or a 358 map or a photograph or a [X] postcard or whatever it is. And so we're moving 359 now towards rather than splitting up the collection into various little bits is to 360 try to at least digitally pull it together. We'll probably do that through Mosaic. [I 361 don't know what that is - is it a museums..?]. It's a museums kind of database, a 362 relational database. And we're using that partly because some of the stuff is 363 already in there so it makes sense to continue with it and it seems to do most of 364 what we want. And its also used by a number of other sites so the potential to link our collections to other sites is there. [SC - That sounds to me like an 365 366 It's a kind of philosophical issue not a technical issue at the moment. And we're 367 368 particularly well-funded I think so, you know, it's just a matter of there's a huge backlog of material to catalogue. And we're in one of those phases where you 369 370 need to transfer written catalogues into digital catalogues. And that just needs a lot of grunt work. Most of our photographic collection is slides, negatives and 371 372 prints. Well to turn those into digital stuff is going to take forever.

373

376

377

378

379

380

381

Q. 35 [competency ICT]. I'd say above average as it's been part of an interest over the last ten years or so.

Q36 [ICT support – within the organisation]. We have an IT guy [laughs..] but he services the entire organization and he's competent to fix most basic stuff. When it comes to more specific technologies and in particular the overlap between the IT issues and the professional issues is where he's not as good. We do have a limited access to state government IT people but they tend to support only the stuff that they've written or developed.