11-19. Consulting Archaeologist and Heritage Specialist. Self-employed. Works with a limited number of clients.

1 Officially I'm an independent consultant but I'd say that more than 70% of my work comes from one or two sources which are mid-sized consulting firms but I'm not an employee. One of them I'm heavily engaged with so on all their mailing lists, the other occasionally - otherwise direct to clients. [SC: So you do subcontracting to other companies?] Yes. In the last five years I've worked at [main government heritage management agency in State X] for quite a number of years and all of that stuff – whether that's helpful or not. [SC: Well I'm interviewing a lot of people and several people have lots of hats "Well I work for this company but I'm doing a PhD here, or a work for somebody" so really. People are drawing experiences from all of that.]

2 Private business

3 Regularly would be the one to five

There would be one person who does some administration. The rest is archaeology so it would be $90\%\ plus$

5 I would say medium. The larger ones you would know about – those big engineering firms

SC: [Do you mean [Company X] – or whatever they're called?]. Ah well [Company X] died about ten years ago. Their current iteration is – I can't remember the name – there's ones that are environmental firms with some heritage. There are medium size and large heritage firms which are probably 5-20 employees.

6 State X

7 For work obviously it's [the state where I'm based and live]. In terms of peers there would be a focus on [my own state] and [another state] and to a lesser extent [another two states] but my own state and [one other state] is the main axis for me.

8 No. I see mailing lists – from people – things that come from overseas – but no.

9 The biggest focus would be heritage management and whatever is subsequent to that. It's fairly standard consulting – look at the development, find whatever you want to call it – the resource, the heritage whatever and develop some management options, record. Look it's research in a very pathetic sense of it. [SC: Can I just ask – I haven't got this on the questionnaire. Are you doing Aboriginal and/or historical archaeology or both?] Only Indigenous. Only Aboriginal.

 10 It depends what you call 'research'. That is there is a distinction between original – you know – research that other people are going to get excited about and you know your standard plodding along background orientation type research.

11 Frequently. Basically that's what it's about.

12 That one's interesting. We have professional editors with no heritage background at all who go over reports and things we write. So in that respect that's an old school sort of thing. Look the things I do personally have no relation to that. The organisation has people making a website and things like that. I'm not even sure that the website is up. I know we had communications about that and we had one of the copy editors do a position description for an advertisement and that sort of thing so. They find our writing pretty bad and always [mark?] it up quite badly.

13 No. You know the lame courses public servants go on – 'Effective Communication' 'Influencing People' [laughs]. No. I've got no formal training. [SC: So you've done short courses when you worked inside government.] Yes but they're very tangential to that. But you could say that.

14 I've delivered informal training – and this is going back over the last 20 years – to Indigenous representatives about physical archaeology – bones and stones. And at [state government heritage agency] I was involved in the delivery of modules for a Certificate IV TAFE course. I wasn't running it. I was just one of the people who got up and delivered part of the course content and provided a sort of tutor, mentor sort of role.

15 No

16 The most direct involvement, and really the only formal one, has been working for the [X state] government, though that is not my current position, where various training and other sorts of funded events - that's the only way to put it. The media tends to get involved when the Minister or a very senior public servant is there and it's all planned by the PR people in the department. And they also have professional photographers there to document it for other promotional material. So at one of them they did have TV people and some print journalists because the minister was there.

17 Look it's difficult. I also worked with them about 25 years ago at [a Commonwealth government heritage agency] but I can't remember much except that I rode in a helicopter.

18 The challenges or difficulties are fairly obvious and you could make them up yourself. Our focus is often on the quality of the archaeological or heritage findings and issues around management and conservation. The journalists and media tend to look for an interesting story and a narrative that matches their interests which don't always add to ours. So your classic one might be – look we've found something interesting, there's all this conservation that we in our field believe is required but it's presented as an impediment to other activities whether that be overt development, four-wheel driving, access to remote areas so you tend to get – that balance is never going to satisfy everyone. And I think they tend to – because what we think about are quite obtuse or complex – they

tend to get washed out fairly quickly with the more sensational – you know 'Locals Locked out of Park' or 'Companies Impeded from Making a Huge Fortune' [laughs].

[SC – Explains Qs 19/20. People seeking our or coming to a large organisation]. That matches my experience in the public service. The organisation that I've got the most to do with now – the person you'd call the head – the principal there – because they are Aboriginal they have a whole lot of connections in terms of being involved in various mid to high level government committees. They know everyone. They tend to do that for that organisation, and I'm personally – just based on personality alone – it's probably not great that I communicate with people outside of work [laughs]. You're not sure what the consequences will be.

21 The vulgar part is that awareness about archaeology and about us generates revenue. That's the most obvious motivation. And the other thing comes from a more personal, ethical sort of bit – you want to make people aware of what you've found so that protection, management, acknowledgment – whatever it is that's the best outcome can proceed. So if your client is not a rapacious developer that is say a parks and wildlife type organisation then you tend to go down the path of 'We've found something. It's an asset to the park or area. We want to document it and let the other people involved in this area know about it, whether that's the public or other park managers or whatever.

[SC: Do you think you've answered Q22 as well?]

22 Okay. Look generally the information about archaeology are the fairly generic ones. That is a fairly superficial description of location and content and some sort of narrative or story that people can latch on to. So – you know – "There is something here. It consists of stones, bones – you know – an arrangement of material and it tells us about the past life of Aboriginal people' – in a fairly simple way. That's generally what happens. I haven't been involved in any of that writing up of plaques, visitor guides around things for twenty years.

23 University students as part of degree programmes? I think I've seen one or two undergraduates come out as volunteers. Shall I go down the list and say any that I do? Independent travellers or tourists is interesting because occasionally people do stumble across you when you are doing test excavations and want to know what's going on. And you've got to manage that quite carefully because sometimes they are 'in the know' locals who are sounding you out [laughs]. So there's that. Members of Indigenous or Aboriginal organisations – that's critical to what we do. So that relationship is very important. So we do a lot of.. and sometimes it's quite adversarial as well. It's not all butterflies and rainbows. Community organisations – that would be very occasional – something like Landcare. And volunteers – yes. Special Interest Groups? No but I keep meaning to join them. [Alternative archaeologists] Um. That's why I'm not generally amused [?] with people as they wouldn't get very far [laughs] - be on about - you know - the Chinese junks travelling around Australia or some such. [SC: So are you saying you don't communicate with them?] I don't communicate effectively or for very long [laughs]. It would be more like "You must be joking! Get that shit out of here!" [laughs]. Land or property owners? That's fairly constant. They're

another stakeholder we've got fairly constant interactions with. Traditional owners - that's the same as [Indigenous] community members. Look it's been a while but I used to do a lot with big mining companies – that I haven't done for two years. Yes – I've done a lot of work in the Pilbarra for various of those big places so. [SC: I've split [clients] up into large and small]. They're very different sorts of. With large companies essentially you – how can I put that? – you are velling into an big echoing void. No matter how effective your communication with the person you are facing it's pretty certain that it's not going to penetrate the organisation and have any influence. So you may speak to some middle manager at [a large mining corporation]. There's no consequence to that. They will nod. They will say shit. Nothing will happen. [SC: Sounds like the university!]. Yes. With the small organisations you may get a result. And I think it's the same for the Indigenous people. If you're not speaking to the CEO or someone who is a very high level decision maker it's completely pointless. And that's why they send those people to talk to us because they've got no intention of doing what we are saying. And the small and private developers – that is so – because it is a personal interaction you've got a fair idea of how it's proceeding and the outcomes really are dependent on that relationship. So you know there are people who are quite profit-making focused developers and if you can communicate with them that what you are doing has some value and you are reducing risk, getting this done, it fits in with their plans then you do get some reasonable outcomes.

169 170 171

148149

150

151

152153

154

155

156

157

158

159

160

161

162

163

164

165

166

167

168

24 That would be what you would call Aboriginal parties or Aboriginal traditional owners and medium to small developers.

172173174

25, 26, 27

175176

177

178

179

180

181

182

183

184 185

186187

188

189

190

191 192

193

194

195

196

25 - That would be most roles. The usual scenario is the implementation of a survey or excavations. You're trying to educate people into trying to understand why we are proceeding in a certain way and what we are looking for and that there is some structure or method. Because everyone's got ideas that they could do it better or I suppose if they think it's just to dig holes they want to barrel on through or.. And you'd be aware that there's all sorts of strategies whether you should clump them together or spread them over a neat pattern. And really trying to explain to people that we're trying to look at the landscape on a human scale can get some way to understanding what it is we are trying to find out. [SC: Is this like some sub-contractors on the site or colleagues?]. This would even be the participants in the survey sometimes. [SC: Other archaeologists?]. Sometimes Indigenous participants and other clients and people like that. You have people out at the first day often and you're trying to explain that. So that's the kick-off bit. And the much more detailed bit I suppose is after you've found something and had reasonable documentation then you're trying to communicate with the people who are going to have to pay for it and that's where you start to get bogged down in ideas about significance or importance and these sorts of options and that can get a lot more tricky because a lot of people are used to making their own decisions. That is they don't actually have to follow any rules because they are spending their own money on engineers or whatever they like so they expect that from us which turns out to be very difficult because we've got a lot of people in a sense looking over our shoulder with the Indigenous heritage. [SC: So do you think – as some of that 'mode of communication' drifted in to the other [categories]]. It did. [SC: We can just put that in answer to those three questions – but did you have any other – I mean are there any other types of communication? You've already mentioned one when you've got people with alternative archaeology views [laughs]]. Look the thing where you are communicating with other experts or professionals. What tends to happen I think is in a lot of circumstances the lack of common ground is that one side is often looking at decision making based on price – that is "This road is going to cost \$10 million this way, \$12 million that way". \$10 million is clearly the better option for them and they don't often have a good way to come to terms with the heritage and how that will cost. So that can be quite difficult where we are using a different set of values to what they are using and sometimes it gets very adversarial.

28 Oh god that is a difficult one. I suppose one of the simple ways would be agreement on a set of outcomes or actions – would be the easiest way. And the other way you can pick it up is that people start to use the same terms that you are using so that so that they start to make the distinction between 'objects' and 'sites' and 'landscape units' and various other things. Because people often talk about their project as 'the site' if you see what I'm saying – the housing development is the site – you go through a bit of a rigmarole to sort out what these terms mean to each other. So once you start aligning your communication like that then – you may not agree – but at least you have some sort of understanding.

30 32 I don't set this up. I'm just a consumer of it. So the most useful thing that we use at the moment is what you would call cloud computing or in our case Dropbox where everything is on a common.. and gets updated on my computer by some mystery magic. I can even see it on my phone. And email. I say – I've got one of these Android phones so all my emails are pretty instant to me and that is working very well because people fire off stuff even if you are out digging. You heart it beep and you can - in your break - respond to a lot of things so that's effective. If you start to look at communication in terms of other word processing, map drawing stuff then everything on the computer is useful for that. [SC: Report production – beyond Word – do you do report production? Do you use GIS?]. I've used GIS. Someone else does the GIS. I tend to mark up the maps and say 'this is the information I would like on them'. It's too tedious - there's been some dialogue about this on one of these OzArch mailing lists [laughs]. GIS is an appliance and I don't think we need to know shit about it. We need to be conversant in it. What I do - say - is these are the maps. I use a lot of Linux as well as Windows so whether you are using Adobe – what's it called – Illustrator or in my case a thing called GIMP and various other ones. What I do is I get the images that have come out of a GIS and I mark them up and say this is where I want things moved to, this is the sorts of comments I want and this is how I want the maps set up. Then I send it to someone else who produces it on a GIS.

[SC: Okay – so is that within the company?]. Yes within our small organisation.
One of the [staff] was formally some sort of IT person. [SC: Okay so you have a
team of people so you can work]. Yes – and the editors I suppose is the other
thing. So we use a fairly standard word processing and mapping stuff. And
obviously Google Earth is fantastic for everyone – which is where I usually start
if I rough things up on Google Earth and then draw what I want on it and then the
GIS person can put everything accurately on it.

253254

255

256

257

258

259

260

261

262

32 No. Look no. I don't like people to know what I'm doing really to that level of thing [laughs]. No. I know that some of my colleagues, I think, use Facebook. Actually I was on LinkedIn. I think I put my name on it years ago. But I don't use it in any way. I keep getting things to link up to people that I don't know. [SC: You don't like them or you just don't see any use, or?]. Oh, ah um – look – I don't see any benefit to me in using them and I don't find them that interesting. So...[SC: Okay – okay. That's alright.] But the trouble is that I use various other blogs and wikis for other things that I'm interested in. I'm on a few mailing lists, if that counts for anything. [SC: Yes. Well you are obviously on OzArch [laughs]]. Yes [laughs].

263264265

266267

268269

270271

272

273

274

275

276

277

278

279

280

281

282

283

284

285

33 34 Well I suppose it makes things much faster than not using computers and digital technologies. It does allow you if you're thinking to get a rough thing up and spread it around and let people have a look at it. The challenges are for us and for me in particular is that you tend to get overwhelmed by data entry and managing information. So even at the moment I've got some project we did where there are - you know - I can't even remember how many - but there's over 400 of these test pits and someone's got to data entry that and then I've got to make sense of it. And in general I think there's a lot of people that once the data entry is done and that's it you've got a table to put in – but of course you can't write anything about that. You can't just say 'See Table 1 – all the information is there. Interpret it yourself' [laughs]. You've got to do that - so - it can superficially look easier than it is. So I do spend a lot more time on analysis than you would think you would. And that slows it down. And then all the other things that we need to put in our reports - you know things like photographs, and graphs and layout and stuff they're time consuming things. But I do remember the old school way of you know gluing photos to a piece of paper and someone took it to a printer – so it's much better than that. [SC: Yes several people have been reminiscing about that. I'm of an age where I used to glue photos in but not any more. So...we used to write things on a type writer and cut and paste.] Yes. Quite literally cut and paste. I remember that. I started using a Mac when I was at uni so a few of my friends were doing that – scissors and sticky tape and big long untidy looking thing..

286 287 288

289

290

291

292

35 Excellent. I don't get viruses and stuff but then I would never buy a Mac because that's for idiots. [SC: So are you a PC person?]. Well yes. But I make sure it's all on Linux – it's all that free software stuff. Something philosophical that I bought into about ten years ago and now I'm stuck in terms of – you know – you've made a commitment to not buying commercial software and you just then never do it [laughs].

293 294 36 Well for the GIS stuff it's through one of my peers who's got that expertise. In a lot of other ways I would be doing it myself and providing it to other people based on – I'm on quite a few computing-type mailing lists for Linux. [SC: Okay.]. And you know in my family I'm the one they all phone up and say 'Blah, blah, blah'. [SC: So you're providing ICT support to everybody else?] Not much [laughs]. Cos the only issue people have is with GIS. Almost everything else people can work out. We occasionally have what you would call corrupted documents and that's a thing that we fix between us. We email it around and say 'Look we're all using different versions of stuff. Save it as this and that.'

37. No. I had seen on one of the mailing lists recently someone talking about – commenting on social media – and using the term 'if people are intimidated by' Facebook, Twitter or whatever it was. And I was thinking that's such a sales and marketing turn of phrase even though it was a person who normally puts up reasonably funny things. I was thinking 'You've bought into seeing that's useful without actually demonstrating any efficacy in using it'. So – and that's probably where I am at the moment. Like we use email, various things, I don't know – I have a video phone an I think I've made two video calls in four years. They are completely useless. But with this social media for what I'm seeing of it – because my wife's got Facebook and stuff – is there's just a shit storm of rubbish from people with nothing better to do than comment. You know. And you can quote me on the "shit storm of rubbish" [laughs]. A 'tsunami' of rubbish might be more academic [laughs]'.