

**SENSING SOUND AND SPACE:
AUDITORY AND VISUAL SPATIAL IMPRESSION
IN THREE AUDITORIA**

ANDY NGUYEN

**MASTER OF PHILOSOPHY
(ARCHITECTURE)**

2005



**SCHOOL OF ARCHITECTURE, DESIGN SCIENCE AND PLANNING
FACULTY OF ARCHITECTURE
THE UNIVERSITY OF SYDNEY
SYDNEY, AUSTRALIA**

CERTIFICATE

I certify that this thesis has not already been submitted for any degree and is not being submitted as part of candidature for any other degree.

I also certify that the thesis has been written by me and that any help that I have received in preparing this thesis, and all sources used, have been acknowledged in this thesis.

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read 'Andy Nguyen', with a long horizontal flourish extending to the right.

Andy Nguyen
Signature of Candidate

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

I would like to acknowledge, with great appreciation, the following individuals and organizations for their assistance in the preparation of this thesis:

Densil Cabrera for his supervision, and collaboration on the various projects in this thesis;

Glen Hill, my associate supervisor, for his help and advice;

Neveille Brown (Michael Fowler Centre, Wellington, New Zealand), Peter McCallum and Magdalena Grubsky (Conservatorium of Music, the University of Sydney) for their kind permissions for the use of their respective facilities;

Miklin Halstead (Marshall Day, Wellington, New Zealand) for his help with acoustical documentation;

John Bassett, Riduan Osman, Young-Ji Choi, and fellow researchers in Acoustics and Audio Designs at the School of Architecture, Design Science and Planning, for their technical assistance in audio and auditorium acoustics;

the Faculty of Architecture for overseas conference travel funding;

Gillian Delbridge for proofreading;

Gensler, Kirksey (Houston, USA), Marshall Day (Auckland, New Zealand) and the Sydney University Musical Society for giving me the opportunities to present my research projects;

everyone who had participated in the experiments;

Leonard Bachman, D. Jean Krchnak, Lannis Kirkland (College of Architecture, University of Houston), for their correspondences;

Dietmar Froehlich, whose undergraduate design studio where I began this search into the metaphorical analogy of music and architecture;

Tracy Moore, and Juan M. Perez-Wheeler, for their friendships, support and encouragement;

and finally, my parents.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

| | |
|---|-----------|
| ABSTRACT | xi |
| CHAPTER 1 – Introduction | 1 |
| 1.1. Introductory remarks | 1 |
| 1.2. Purpose | 2 |
| 1.3. Significance of this study | 2 |
| 1.4. Approach | 2 |
| CHAPTER 2 – Sound and Space: | 5 |
| Spatial Impression in Auditorium Acoustics and Architectural Design | |
| 2.1. Introduction: What is spatial impression? | 6 |
| 2.2. Spatial impressions | 7 |
| 2.2.1. Spaciousness | 8 |
| 2.2.2. Envelopment | 14 |
| 2.2.3. Intimacy | 17 |
| 2.3. Data analysis methods | 20 |
| 2.3.1. Binaural impulse response measurements technique | 20 |
| 2.3.2. Statistical analysis of data | 21 |
| 2.4. Conclusion | 23 |
| 2.5. An additional note on research approach | 24 |
| CHAPTER 3 – Defining Visual Counterparts of Audio Spatial Impression | 25 |
| 3.1. Introduction | 26 |
| 3.2. Method | 27 |
| 3.2.1 Photography | 27 |
| 3.3. Experiment presentation | 29 |
| 3.4. Results | 30 |
| 3.5. Discussion | 32 |
| 3.6. Conclusion | 33 |

| | |
|--|-----------|
| CHAPTER 4 – Auditory versus Visual Spatial Impression | 35 |
| 4.1. Introduction | 36 |
| 4.2. Aim | 38 |
| 4.3. Method | 39 |
| 4.3.1. Auditory experiment | 39 |
| 4.3.2. Visual experiment | 41 |
| 4.4. Results | 42 |
| 4.4.1. Distance estimates | 42 |
| 4.4.2. Spaciousness, ASW and Envelopment | 44 |
| 4.4.3. Stage Dominance and Intimacy | 45 |
| 4.5. Auditory perception of room size | 46 |
| 4.5.1. Method | 47 |
| 4.5.2. Results | 48 |
| 4.6. Discussion | 49 |
| 4.6.1 General | 49 |
| 4.6.2 Auditory and Visual Spatial Impression | 50 |
| 4.6.3. Auditory spatial impression and perceived room size | 51 |
| 4.7. Conclusion | 52 |
| | |
| CHAPTER 5 – Audiovisual Interaction in Spatial impression | 53 |
| 5.1. Introduction | 54 |
| 5.2. Aim | 55 |
| 5.3. Method | 56 |
| 5.3.1. Audio and visual stimulus generation | 56 |
| 5.3.2. Auditory experiment | 57 |
| 5.3.3. Audiovisual combine experiment | 58 |
| 5.4. Results | 58 |
| 5.4.1. Apparent Source Width and Listener Envelopment | 60 |
| 5.4.2. Auditory Intimacy | 62 |
| 5.4.3. Auditory and Visual Distance estimation | 63 |
| 5.4.4. Visual Intimacy and Envelopment | 64 |
| 5.4.5. Visual Spaciousness and Stage Dominance | 66 |

| | |
|---|------------|
| 5.5. Discussion | 68 |
| 5.5.1. General | 68 |
| 5.5.2. Audiovisual interaction in spatial impression | 69 |
| 5.5.3. Audiovisual spatial impression variation | 69 |
| 5.6. Conclusion | 70 |
| | |
| CHAPTER 6 – Sound and Space: Meanings and Intentions in Designs | 73 |
| 6.1. Meanings and Intentions in Designs | 74 |
| 6.2. Concluding remarks | 75 |
| 6.3. Potential future studies | 78 |
| | |
| GLOSSARY | 81 |
| | |
| APPENDIX 1 – Auditoria Photographs, Floor Plans, and Statistical Analyses of Experiment Results in Chapter 3 | 83 |
| APPENDIX 2 – Statistical Analyses of Experiment Results in Chapter 4 | 123 |
| APPENDIX 3 – Statistical Analyses of Experiment Results in Chapter 5 | 195 |
| APPENDIX 4 – Statistical Analyses of Experiment Results in Chapter 5 | 231 |
| APPENDIX 5 – Comparative Analyses of ASW results | 239 |
| APPENDIX 6 – Diotic vs. Binaural Stimuli in Audiovisual Spatial Impression Experiments | 241 |
| APPENDIX 7 – Music and Architecture: A Brief Review of Current Literatures | 245 |
| APPENDIX 8 – Publications arise from this thesis | 251 |
| | |
| BIBLIOGRAPHY | 253 |

In some contexts, the experience of sound and space are often considered as two unrelated experiences. In an auditorium, the senses of hearing and seeing are not necessarily unrelated. This thesis proposes that there is a sensorial relationship between sound and space in the context of symphonic concert halls. Through a study of three auditoria, this thesis explores the relationship, and the degree of correspondence and interaction between auditory and visual spatial impression.

It begins by exploring the concepts of auditory and visual spatial impression in relation with auditorium acoustics and architectural design. In auditorium acoustics, a number of terms are used to describe auditory spatial impression, such as “spaciousness,” “envelopment,” and “intimacy.” These terms have connotations beyond the auditory. The thesis suggests that they may also be used to describe visual spatial impression in auditoria.

Through textual analyses, the thesis finds that the auditory and visual terms do not always relate to the same physical characteristics of auditoria and can conflict with one another. Hence, it is apparent that further subjective analyses of auditory and spatial impression are needed.

Three chapters in this thesis are devoted to auditory and visual subjective experiments. Their purpose is to explore the degree of correspondence or contrast, and interaction between auditory and visual spatial impression. The degree of correspondence and contrast between auditory and visual spatial impression appear to vary between auditoria and within auditoria. The relationship between auditory and visual spatial impression appear to be both necessary and arbitrary, and the degree of interaction between them appear to be strong in some cases and weak in other.

From the findings, this thesis suggests that the degree of correspondence or contrast, and interaction between auditory and visual spatial impression could be used to create an audiovisual experience that suit specific musical events. Concert auditoria are culturally and artistically unique spaces. Hence, consideration must be taken to understand the relationships between, and intentions of the acoustical and architectural designs, music and architecture, for a successful and creative collaboration between designers - since the ultimate goal is to create an extraordinary audiovisual experience in a concert hall.