THE CHALLENGE TO MEDICAL AUTONOMY AND PEER REVIEW EMBODIED IN THE COMPLAINTS UNIT/HEALTH CARE COMPLAINTS COMMISSION OF NEW SOUTH WALES

David Gervaise Thomas

Submitted July 2002

Supervisors

Associate Professor Gerard Sullivan,
School of Policy and Practice,
Faculty of Education,
University of Sydney

Dr Craig Campbell,
School of Policy and Practice,
Faculty of Education, University of Sydney

A thesis submitted in satisfaction of the requirements for the degree of Doctor of Philosophy, Faculty of Education, University of Sydney
ABSTRACT

The exercise of autonomy and self-regulation is seen in the literature as one of the basic criteria of professionalism. Since in modern states Medicine has generally been the occupational grouping which has most completely attained that status, it is seen as the model or archetype of professionalism. This study focuses on just one aspect of medical autonomy, that relating to the right of medical professionals to be accountable only to their fellow professionals as far as the maintenance of practice standards are concerned. In this thesis, the theory underlying this system of "peer review" is examined and then its application during the course of the 20th century is traced in one particular jurisdiction, that of the State of New South Wales in Australia. The reason for the focus on NSW is that in this jurisdiction, medical autonomy existed and was exercised in a particularly pure and powerful form after it was instituted in 1900. However, it was also in NSW that for the first time anywhere in the world, an institutional challenge to medical disciplinary autonomy emerged with the establishment in 1984 of the "Complaints Unit" of the Department for Health. The thesis of this study is that as a result of this development, which within a comparatively short space of time led to the emergence of a system of "co-regulation" of medical discipline, medical disciplinary autonomy and peer review had within a decade, been so severely challenged as to be almost extinct in this State.

In the light of theoretical frameworks provided by Weber, Habermas and the American scholar Robert Alford, the study examines the long drawn out struggle to institute medical autonomy in NSW in the 19th century, its entrenchment by subsequent legislation over the next eight decades and the "counter-attack" staged by the emergent forces of consumerism, supported by the forces of the ideology of "Public Interest Law, in the last two decades of the century. The study concludes with a discussion of the implications for definitions of professionalism which might result from the loss by Medicine in NSW, of its right to exclusive control of medical discipline and the consequent disappearance of medical peer review.
ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

In many ways, I am pleased that the writing of this thesis was undertaken at the end of my working life rather than at the beginning. It has proved a stimulating and enjoyable exercise and I would like to thank all my good friends at the University of Sydney for providing me with the inspiration to embark on it. I would like to acknowledge the help and support Dr Rosemary Cant and also of my initial supervisor, Dr Glennys Howarth. Most of all my thanks go to the supervisor who shepherded me through the bulk of the work, Dr Gerard Sullivan. His kindly but rigorous oversight of this thesis constantly gave me the motivation to perform to my maximum ability. I was very fortunate to have been able to work with him and would say the same applies to all other students whose doctoral candidacies he supervises. I must also thank my associate supervisor, Dr Craig Campbell, for his help and advice as well as all those whose oral histories have made an invaluable contribution to the compilation of this theses, including Drs Fran Hausfeld and Bernie Amos as well as Ms Merrilyn Walton, both during and after her tenure of office in the Complaints Unit/Health Care Complaints Commission. Ms Clare Petre was extremely helpful not only in providing me with information, but also in helping to gain me access to the archives of the Public Interest Advocacy Centre. The interest and help of the members of Medical Consumers Association, Andrew Allan, Tom Benjamin and Barry Hart was also appreciated. For their help in guiding me through the maze of government publications I would like to thank Ray Penn of the University of Sydney Library and also Mr Rob Brian of the NSW Parliamentary Library. Thanks are due to Andrew Dix, Registrar of the NSW Medical Board, both for talking to me during the course of the project and making the archives of the organisation available to me wherever that was possible. In that regard I would also like to express thanks to Dr Laurie Pincott, president of the New South Wales branch of the Australian Medical Association for giving me access the archives of that body, held in the Mitchell Library, Sydney and also to Ms Sue Lloyd to her help in the handling of this as yet unsorted and uncatalogued material. The enthusiastic research assistance by Anitra Adamedes and Ilona Juraskova was indeed of great assistance, as was the encouragement of my fellow doctoral students Dai Fei Yang, Dennis McIntyre, Ian Andrew as well as the marvellously multicultural mix in 618, comprised of Hyunhee Kim, Huong, Tebbin Cannas Kwok and Dr Ling. My partner Barbara Peters is mentioned last to emphasise the special nature of her help, support and patience all through my candidature.
# TABLE OF contents

LIST OF TABLES vii  
KEY TO ACRONYMS USED x  

## CHAPTER ONE

INTRODUCTION 1  
Rationale 4  
Literature review and original research 8  
Theoretical frameworks 17  
Weber and bureaucratisation 17  
Habermas and the “lifeworld” 23  
Alford and structural interests 31  
Conclusion: “dynamic stasis” or structural change? 40  

## CHAPTER TWO

THE THEORY AND PRACTICE OF MEDICAL AUTONOMY 42  
Institutional autonomy; the functioning of MRBs 47  
MRBs as instruments of public administration 49  
MRBs as part of the history of medicine 56  
The exercise of medical discipline 62  
Conclusion 72  

## CHAPTER THREE

THE ESTABLISHMENT OF MEDICAL AUTONOMY IN NSW 73  
The 1838 Act and the expansion of government in NSW 75  
The “expert co-optation” principle 80  
The contest for societal legitimacy 81
CHAPTER FOUR

MEDICAL EPISTEMOLOGICAL STRUGGLES IN NSW

The weakness of the Medical Witnesses Act
Attempts to introduce medical regulation
Socio-economic status and medical epistemology
Reasons for resistance to medical regulation
Lifeworld medicine in NSW

CHAPTER FIVE

THE EFFECT OF THE LIFEWORLD/ALLOPATHIC MEDICINE CONTEST ON MEDICAL AUTONOMY IN NSW

The political failure of the medical elite
The rise of the corporate rationalists
The “bureaucratic imperative”
The government strategy
The Medical Practice Amendment Acts
The differing origins of the Medical Practitioners Acts.
The passage of the Acts
The institution of individual medical autonomy
The entrenchment of institutional autonomy
Conclusion

CHAPTER SIX
APPENDIX A

Failed Medical Practitioners Bills, Parliament of NSW 1876-1898 313

APPENDIX B

The Medical Practitioners Act 1912 315

APPENDIX C

THE COMPOSITION AND DISCIPLINARY MECHANISMS
OF THE NSW Medical Registration Board, 1838-1992 319

Tables

TABLE 1. Proposals for the composition of the MRB contained in the abortive Medical Practitioners’ Acts for the years specified. 141

TABLE 2. Medical disciplinary cases deal with by the NSW Medical Tribunal, 1962-72 188

TABLE 3. Penalties imposed by the NSW Medical Tribunal for "infamous conduct in professional respect", 1963-72 190
### Key to Acronyms Used

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Abbreviation</th>
<th>Full Name</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>AMA</td>
<td>Australian Medical Association</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BMA</td>
<td>British Medical Association</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CAB</td>
<td>Consumer Affairs Bureau</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CU</td>
<td>Complaints Unit</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HCCC</td>
<td>Health Care Complaints Commission</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MCA</td>
<td>Medical Consumers Association</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MRB</td>
<td>Medical Registration Board</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NSWPD</td>
<td>New South Wales Parliamentary Debates</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PIAC</td>
<td>Public Interest Advocacy Centre</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PIL</td>
<td>Public Interest Law</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>