Genetic improvement of wheat for dry environments – a trait based approach

Richards RA, Rebetzke GJ, Condon AG, Watt M, Spielmeyer W, Ellis MH, Bonnett DG and Dolferus R

CSIRO Plant Industry, G P O Box 1600, Canberra, ACT 2601

INTRODUCTION

Improving crop performance where there is limited rainfall will remain one of the most challenging long term issues for farmers, researchers, industry and governments globally. This is because there are no easy solutions and the occurrence and severity of drought is like a lottery - totally unpredictable - making breeding progress extremely difficult. There has not been much clarity in the international literature for improving crop performance under drought and this has hampered progress. For example, terms such as 'drought tolerance' and 'drought resistance' are common, but these are not easily measured and they may be unrelated to productivity per unit area or per unit of water use (Passioura, 2002). Even where there is a focus on productivity, expectations in drought years may be very different. For example, in many semi-arid regions a drought may mean total crop failure and the small amount of biomass available is fed to animals, whereas in milder climates, such as in the UK, it may mean a reduction in grain yield from 9t ha⁻¹ to 7 t ha⁻¹ (Foulkes et al, 2004). This complexity and uncertainty has often resulted in bewilderment and lack of focus and, more than likely, a lack of significant progress in improving yield in water-limited conditions. Although this is not always the case as in some dry regions where limited irrigation water is available to alleviate extreme drought conditions there can be some predictability. This is most evident in India and China. Despite the complexity of drought and the substantial seasonal variability in rainfall, there has been progress in crop improvement (eg Perry and D'Antuono, 1989; McCaig and Clarke, 1995). Farmers have adopted different germplasm or changed species and management practices. Plant breeders have made progress through the last century but this has largely been through better matching phenology to growing season rainfall (Richards, 1991). Breeders have also had some comfort and hope in knowing that in the evolution of land based plants multiple adaptations to drought have evolved that have a genetic basis that may be useful in crop improvement programs (Damania, 1990).

PROGRESS IN WHEAT IMPROVEMENT IN DRY ENVIRONMENTS

Some of the lessons we have learnt about progress in wheat improvement in dry regions can be summarised by the following three factors. Firstly, empirical selection in 'conventional' breeding programs in dry regions continues to make progress. Much of this progress cannot be attributed to improved crop growth in dry conditions but to breeding for resistance/tolerance to biotic (eg, nematodes, root diseases) and abiotic (eg, soil

chemical toxicities such as Al, Na, B) factors that reduce growth when soil water is limited. Secondly, yield under drought has been greatly improved by incorporating germplasm that has a high yield potential under favourable conditions. This is most evident in wheat by the importance of CIMMYT germplasm that has been selected under favourable conditions but it has been adopted in dry regions (Laing and Fischer, 1977; Lantican et al, 2002). However, this may also be because the heritability for yield has higher under favourable conditions relative to dry conditions and this will favour genetic progress. Thirdly, phenology has been the single most important trait that has been genetically modified that has contributed to yield improvements in dry regions. The improvement in yield is usually been associated with selecting for earlier flowering time so as to avoid drought and this has resulted in an improved harvest index rather than an increase in biomass production (Perry and D'Antuono, 1989). However, altering the duration of the growing season such as sowing earlier can also have a dramatic impact on increasing grain yield and above-ground biomass and this has been evident where conservation farming practices have been adopted (Anderson et al. 1996).

LACK OF PROGRESS IN WHEAT IMPROVEMENT IN DRY ENVIRONMENTS

There are also some lessons learned associated with lack of progress in breeding for water-limited environments. Some of these are as follows:

- 1. Yield progress is slow, especially in variable rainfed environments, because genotype x season sources of variation dwarf genotypic variation resulting in a low heritability for yield (Brennan and Byth, 1974).
- In reviewing progress in all crops for improved performance under drought it is evident that plant water relations are rarely important (Richards, 2006).
- 3. There is little evidence that landraces or wild relative of wheat, that may have developed important mechanisms for dry environments, have contributed to wheat improvement for dry conditions (Hajjar and Hodgkin, 2007). However, it is expected that landraces will be a rich source of genetic variation for traits of importance.
- 4. Most traits associated with improved performance under drought are complex in their nature and controlled by many genes each having a small effect (Rebetzke et al, 2007; Rebetzke et al, 2008; Yang et al, 2007). The corollary to this is that GM approaches to improving performance under drought will be hard fought.

- Traits that are important in one environment may not be important in another where the drought is different (eg crops grown on stored soil water vs current rainfall) (Condon et al, 2002).
- Little progress is made without a prolonged investment in long term drought research that is connected to wheat breeding programs and the delivery of commercial varieties.
- Significant advances in performance under drought will come from overcoming biotic limitations such as soil-borne diseases and other abiotic constraints such as soil chemical constraints (Paull et al, 1992; Ogbonnaya et al, 2001).

Finally, the very best varieties already approach the yield potential in a water-limited environment when best agronomic management practices are adopted (Sadras and Angus, 2006). This would imply that although advances will continue they will be modest and there will be no miracles.

A TRAIT BASED APPROACH TO WHEAT IMPROVEMENT FOR WATER LIMITED ENVIRONMENTS

The approach to wheat improvement for dry conditions taken at CSIRO in Australia has been to first understand the physiological and agronomic limitations to drought performance in temperate cereals and then to search for breeding solutions. The reasons for this approach have been outlined before (Richards et al, 2002). It offers a more targeted approach to crop improvement and one which may identify new sources of genetic variation that are not currently found in breeding programs. complements empirical breeding but it also relies on empirical breeding in the final stages of elite line selection. In addition, as G x E for yield is generally very high in variable rainfed environments, resulting in a low heritability for yield, then a physiological approach may identify traits with high heritability and low G x E. An additional attraction is that physiological traits may be cheaper and easier to select for than yield itself. They can often be conducted out of season and they lend themselves to marker assisted selection. In turn this can lead to gene discovery and an understanding of the molecular and physiological basis of yield formation in cereals.

A major challenge with this approach is to prioritise traits to use in breeding as the literature has an abundance of traits associated with performance in dry conditions. However, the dissection of yield under water-limited conditions into three components proposed by Passioura (1977) was a major breakthrough that simplified this. Passioura proposed that when water is limited, grain yield is a function of (i) the amount of water the crop transpires from current rainfall and from water in the soil, (ii) how efficiently the plant exchanges carbon dioxide for water to produce dry matter and (iii) the proportion of the dry matter that finishes up in the grain (ie the harvest index). Passioura has argued that improving any one of these should improve grain yield. Knowledge of which of these components may be

limiting in a target region and which traits may show genetic variation enables a group of 'best bet' traits to be identified for genetic improvement. Table 1 summarises a set of 'best bet' or priority traits and how each is expected to increase yield. Also shown in the Table are key references that establish the importance of the trait or important genetic variation found for the trait.

A number of important factors emerge from this analysis. These are as follows:

- All traits are in general complex and controlled by multiple genes. Even where major genes are involved such as for flowering time, crop establishment and tiller reduction, minor genes are often required to fine tune the expression of the trait
- A good understanding of each trait has resulted in the development of selection methods that are fast and effective. A good example of this is when it became clear that early leaf area growth (early vigour) of wheat was poor in contrast to barley and that the main drivers of vigour were the two complex traits embryo size and specific leaf area. Then a simple surrogate for these (leaf width of the second leaf) was developed that was both fast and had a high heritability and resulted in effective selection for vigour (Lopéz-Castañeda et al 1996). Effective selection methods for other complex traits, such as carbon isotope discrimination, stem carbohydrate storage and remobilisation, have also been developed whilst maintaining a high heritability for the trait. The importance of fast and accurate phenotyping is essential for progress and this also underpins the development of useful molecular markers.
- Most traits are associated with growth processes or the allocation of carbon rather than with plant-water relations
- 4. Studies conducted on large populations that vary for some of these traits so as to identify QTLs that may be useful in selection have shown that many of the QTL co-locate with regions associated with plant height and flowering time (Rebetzke et al, 2008). Thus any variation in flowering time or height is likely to confound studies on key traits associated with yield under drought. Ways must therefore be sought to minimise these effects and it is important that the measurement of flowering time and height always accompany the measurement of key traits.

CHALLENGES AHEAD

Above-ground traits, apart from reproductive organs, have received more attention than below ground traits for obvious reasons. More attention should now be given to factors responsible for genetic variation in root system traits as this is likely to be as rewarding as above-ground traits have been. This is going to be challenging mostly because of the difficulty in effectively measuring roots in real soils and understanding where the main limitations to root growth are in field soils. It will be complicated by soil type, cropping history, chemical and soil physical factors. We

are going to need to use our ingenuity to develop effective selection criteria for root systems. A good example of this is to use stomatal aperture traits which are non-destructive such as canopy temperature depression, stomatal conductance or carbon isotope discrimination, all of which can be measured on the above-ground plant quickly and effectively, and provide some indirect measure of how effective roots are in accessing water deep in the soil; eg, Olivares-Villegas et al, 2007. Other methods becoming available to monitor root growth involve similar non-destructive methods where plants are grown in special tubes that are permeable to infra-red radiation. Improved destructive methods for use in the field are also being developed using hydraulic coring methods and DNA detection methods.

Significant attention to GM approaches for improving performance under drought will continue. Many of these have been misdirected in the past and even when studies on all species are taken into account, there are few examples which show promise in dry areas, that are likely to be effective in the spectrum of variable environments normally encountered in semi-arid regions and particularly those which provide economic returns to farmers. The best examples so far would be the studies by Bahieldin et al (2005), Wang et al (2005) and Hu et al (2006). Only the former was conducted with wheat and it appears not to have been independently tested or pursued further. Our expectations of GM traits for water-limited environments should not be too high for a number of reasons (and this also applies to traits improved through conventional breeding). One is that our current elite varieties are close to the biological limit for yield in dry environments, and second, most traits are complex and are controlled by multiple genes. However, there is no doubt that considerable genetic progress is still possible.

More studies are required to understand the physiology and genetics of yield formation in the absence of water limitations. An increase in yield potential is likely to have impact in many water-limited environments as well as in the absence of water stress. The best example is with the green revolution dwarfing genes which have been hugely important in dry environments. Wheat varieties in Australia, which is among the driest wheat growing regions globally, are now dominated by the semi-dwarf genes Rht-B1b and Rht-D1b. because they enhance yield over tall wheats in environments where yield exceeds about 1.5 tha⁻¹ (Laing and Fischer, 1977). Another example could be the enhanced yield associated with the Lr19 translocation from Thinopyrum ponticum which gives enhanced yields in both favourable and moderately dry environments (Reynolds et al, 2001).

The final challenge of importance is more a political and institutional one rather than a scientific one and that is to assemble stable teams of interactive scientists that not only include breeders, physiologists and molecular biologists but also agronomists and soil biologists. This

could even extend to modellers and pathologists. All must be closely connected to farmers and the team must be maintained over a long time period. The weakness in scientific skills at the present time is more associated with field based scientists and effective phenotyping. Molecular technologies have flourished and the bottleneck is now with effective phenotyping to better use and apply the molecular technologies and the validation of physiological traits and molecular tools in field grown crops.

REFERENCES

- Anderson WK, Heinrich A and Abbotts R. 1996. longseason wheats extend sowing opportunities in the central wheat belt of Western Australia. Australian Journal of Experimental Agriculture 36:203-208.
- Bahieldin A, Mahfouz HT, Eissa HF, Saleh OM, Ramadan AM, Ahmed IA, Dyer WE, El-Itriby HA and Madkour MA. 2005. Field evaluation of transgenic wheat plants stably expressing the *HVA1* gene for drought tolerance. Physiologia Plantarum 123:421-427.
- Brennan PS and Byth DE. 1979. Genotype x environment interactions for wheat yields and selection for widely adapted wheat genotypes. Australian Journal of Agricultural Research 30:221-232.
- Christopher JT, Manschadi AM, Hammer GL and Borrell AK. 2008. Developmental and physiological traits associated with high yield and stay-green phenotype in wheat. Australian Journal of Agricultural Research 59:354-364.
- Condon AG, Richards RA, Rebetzke GR and Farquhar GD. 2002. Improving intrinsic water-use efficiency and crop yield. Crop Science 42:122-131.
- Condon AG, Farquhar GD and Richards RA. 1990. Genotypic variation in carbon isotope discrimination and transpiration efficiency in wheat. Leaf gas exchange and whole plant studies. Australian Journal of Plant Physiology 17:9-22.
- Damania AB. 1990. Evaluation and documentation of genetic resources in cereals. Advances in agronomy 44:87-111.
- Duggan BL, Richards RA, van Herwaarden AF and Fettell NA. 2005. Agronomic evaluation of a tiller inhibition (*tin*) gene in wheat. I. Effect on yield and yield components. *Australian Journal of Agricultural Research* 56:169-178.
- Farquhar GD and Richards RA. 1984. Isoptopic composition of plant carbon correlates with wateruse efficiency of wheat genotypes. Australian Journal of Plant Physiology 11:539-552.
- Ellis MH, Rebetzke GJ, Spielmeyer W, Richards RA, Bonnett DB. 2005. Molecular mapping gibberellinsensitive dwarfing genes in wheat (*Triticum aestivum* L.). Theoretical and Applied Genetics 111:423-430.
- Foulkes MJ, Sylvester-Bradley R, Worland AJ, Snape JW. 2004. Effects of a photoperiod-response gene *Ppd-D1* on yield potential and drought resistance in UK winter wheat. Euphytica 135:63-73.

- Gomez-Macpherson H and Richards RA. 1995. Effect of sowing time on yield and agronomic characteristics of wheat in south-eastern Australia. Australian Journal of Agricultural Research 46:1381-1399.
- Hajjar R and Hodgkin, T. 2007. The use of wild relatives in crop improvement: a survey of developments over the last 20 years. Euphytica 156:1-13.
- Hu H, Dai M, Yao J, Xiao B, Li X, Zhang Q and Xiong L. 2006. Overexpressing a NAM, ATAF and CUC (NAC) transcription factor enhances drought resistance and salt tolerance in rice. PNAS 103:12987-12992.
- Hurd EA. 1976. Phenotype and drought tolerance in wheat. Agricultural Meteorology 14:39-55.
- Laing DR and Fischer RA. 1977. Adaptation of semidwarf wheat cultivars to rainfed conditions. Euphytica 26:129-139.
- Lantican MA, Pingali PL and Rajaram S. 2002. Are marginal wheat environments catching up? in CIMMYT World Wheat Overview and Outlook 2000-2001, Developing no-till Packages for Small-Scale Farmers (Ed J Ekboir), pp 39-44, Mexico, DF: CIMMYT.
- Liang YL and Richards RA. 1994. Coleoptile tiller development is associated with fast early vigour in wheat. Euphytica 80:119-124
- Lòpez-Castañeda, C and Richards RA. 1994. Variation in temperate cereals in rainfed environments. III Water use and water-use efficiency. Field Crops Research 39:85-98
- Lòpez-Castañeda C., Richards RA, Farquhar GD and Williamson RE. 1996. Seed and seedling characteristics contributing to early vigour in temperate cereals. Crop Science 36:1257-1266.
- Manschadi AM, Christopher JT, deVoil P and Hammer GL. 2006. The role of root architectural traits in adaptation of wheat to water-limited environments. Functional Plant Biology 33:823-837.
- McCaig TN and Clarke JM. 1995. Breeding durum wheat in western Canada: historical trends in yield and related variables. Canadian Journal of Plant Science 75:55-60.
- Ogbonnaya FC, Subrahmanyam NC, Moullet O, de Majnik J, Eagles HA, Brown JS, Eastwood RF, Kollmorgen J, Appels R, and Lagudah ES. 2001. Diagnostic DNA markers for cereal cyst nematode resistance in bread wheat. Australian Journal of Agricultural Research 52:1367-74.
- Olivares-Villegas JJ, Reynolds MP and McDonald GK. 2007. Drought-adaptive attributes in the seri/Babax hexaploid wheat populations. Functional Plant biology 34:189-203.
- Passioura JB. 1972. The effect of root geometry on the yield of wheat growing on stored water. Australian Journal of Agricultural Research 23:745-752.
- Passioura JB. 1977. Grain yield, harvest index and water use of wheat. Journal of Australian Institute of Agricultural Science 43:117-120.
- Passioura JB. 2002. Environmental biology and crop improvement. Functional Plant Biology 29:537-546.

- Paull JG, Nable RO, and Rathjen AJ. 1992. Physiological and genetic control of the tolerance of wheat to high concentrations of boron and implications for plant breeding. Plant and Soil 146:251-60.
- Perry MW and D'Antuono MF. 1989. Yield improvement and associated characteristics of some Australian spring wheats introduced between 1860 and 1982. Australian Journal of Agricultural Research 40:458-472.
- Rebetzke GJ, Condon AG, Richards RA and Farquhar GD. 2003. Genetic control of leaf conductance in three wheat crosses. Australian Journal of Agricultural Research Australian Journal of Agricultural Research 54:381-387.
- Rebetzke GJ, Ellis MH, Bonnett DG, Richards RA. 2007. Molecular mapping of genes for coleoptile growth in bread wheat (*Triticum aestivum* L.) Theoretical and Applied Genetics, 114:1173-1183.
- Rebetzke GJ and Richards RA. 1999. Genetic improvement of early vigour in wheat. Australian Journal of Agricultural Research 50:291-301.
- Rebetzke GJ and Richards RA. 2000. Gibberellic acidsensitive dwarfing genes reduce plant height to increase kernel number and grain yield of wheat. Australian Journal of Agricultural Research 51:235-245.
- Rebetzke GJ, Richards RA, Condon AG, Farquhar GD. 2006a. Inheritance of reduced carbon isotope discrimination in bread wheat (Triticum aestivum L.) Euphytica 150:97-106.
- Rebetzke GJ, Richards RA, Fettell NA, Long M, Condon AG, Botwright TL. 2006b. Genotypic increases in coleoptile length improves wheat establishment, early vigour and grain yield with deep sowing. Field Crops Research, 100:10-23.
- Rebetzke GJ, Richards RA, Fischer VM, and Micklelson BJ. 1999. Breeding long coleoptile, reduced height wheats. Euphytica 106:158-168.
- Rebetzke GJ, Richards RA, Fettell NA, Long M, Condon AG, Botwright TL. 2006. Genotypic increases in coleoptile length improves wheat establishment, early vigour and grain yield with deep sowing. Field Crops Research, 100:10-23.
- Rebetzke GJ, van Herwaarden AF, Jenkins C, Weiss M, Lewis D, Ruuska S, Tabe L, Fettell NA and Richard RA. 2008. Quantitative trait loci for soluble stem carbohydrate production in wheat. Australian Journal of Agricultural Research (in press).
- Reynolds MP, Calderini DF, Condon AG and Rajaram S. 2001. Physiological basis of yield gains in wheat associated with the LR19 translocation from *A. elongatum*. Euphytica 119:137-141.
- Richards RA. 1988. A tiller inhibitor gene in wheat and its effect on plant growth. Australian Journal of Agricultural Research 39:749-757.
- Richards RA. 1991. Crop improvement for temperate Australia: Future opportunities. Field Crops Research 26:141-169.
- Richards RA 1992. The effect of dwarfing genes in spring wheat in dry environments. II. Growth,

- water use and water use efficiency. Australian Journal of Agricultural Research 43:529-539.
- Richards RA. 2008. A tiller inhibitor gene in wheat and its effect on plant growth. Australian Journal of Agricultural Research 39:749-757.
- Richards RA. 2008. Genetic opportunities to improve cereal root systems for dryland agriculture. Plant Production Science 11:12-16.
- Richards RA and Lukacs Z. 2002. Seedling vigour in wheat sources of variation for genetic and agronomic improvement Australian Journal of Agricultural Science 53:41-50.
- Richards RA and Passioura JB. 1989. A breeding program to reduce the diameter of the major xylem vessel in the seminal roots of wheat and its effect on grain yield in rain-fed environments. Australian Journal of Agricultural Research 40:943-950.
- Richards RA, Rawson HM and Johnson, DA. 1986.
 Glaucousness in wheat: Its development and effect on water-use efficiency gas exchange and photosynthetic tissue temperatures. Australian Journal of Plant Physiology 13:465-473.
- Richards RA, Rebetzke GJ, Condon AG and van Herwaarden, AF. 2002. Breeding Opportunities for Increasing the Efficiency of Water Use and Crop Yield in Temperate Cereals. Crop Science 42:111-121.
- Richards RA, Watt M, Rebetzke GJ. 2007. Physiological traits and cereal germplasm for sustainable agricultural systems. Euphytica 154:409-425.

- Ruuska S, Rebetzke GJ, van Herwaarden A, Richards RA, Fettell N, Tabe L, Jenkins C. 2006. Genotypic variation in water-soluble carbohydrate accumulation in wheat. Functional Plant Biology 33:799-809.
- Sadras VO and Angus JF. 2006. Benchmarking wateruse efficiency of rainfed wheat in dry environments . Australian Journal of Agricultural Research 57:847-856.
- Spielmeyer, W and Richards R. 2004. Comparative mapping of wheat chromosome 1AS which contains the tiller inhibition gene (tin) with rice chromosome 5S. Theoretical and Applied Genetics 109:1303-1310.
- van Herwaarden AF, Angus JF, Richards RA and Farquhar GD. 1998. 'Haying-off', the negative grain yield response of dryland wheat to nitrogen fertiliser. II. Carbohydrate and protein dynamics. Australian Journal of Agricultural Research 49:1083-1093.
- Wang Y, Ying J, Kuzma M, Chalifoux M, Sample A, McArthur C, Uchacz T, Sarvas C, Wan J, Dennis DT, McCourt P and Huang Y. 2005. Molecular tailoring of farnesylation for plant drought tolerance and yield protection. The Plant Journal 43:413-424.
- Yang D-L, Jing R-L, Chang X-P, Li W. 2007. Identification of quantitative trait loci and environmental interactions for accumulation and remobilization of water-soluble carboydrates in wheat (*Triticum aestivum* L.) stems. Genetics 176:571-584.

Table 1. Priority traits in wheat for water-limited environments

Yield component	Trait	Universal trait or environment specific ^a	Selection method ^b	Reference
Increase crop water use	Extended phenology	Env. specific	P	Gomez-Machperson & Richards, 1995
	Improved early establishment	Universal	P, M	Richards, 1992; Rebetzke et al, 1999; Ellis et al, 2005; Rebetzke et al, 2006b
	Improved above-ground crop vigour	Env. specific	P	Liang & Richards, 1994; López-Casteñeda et al, 1996; Rebetzke & Richards, 1999; Rebetzke & Richards, 2000; Richards & Lukacs, 2002.
	Improved early root vigour	Universal	P, M	Richards et al, 2007
	Increased root depth	Universal	M	Richards et al, 2007; Manschadi, 2006; Hurd, 1976
	Stay green	Env. specific	P, M	Christopher, 2008
2. Increase transpriation efficiency	Stomatal conductance	Env. specific	P	Richards et al, 1986; Condon et al, 1990; Rebetzke et al, 2003; Olivares-Villegas, 2007; Rebetzke et al, 2006a
	Photosynthetic capacity	Universal	GM	Farquhar & Richards, 1984; Condon et al, 1990.
	Maximised crop growth in winter	Env. specific	P, M	Gomez-Machperson & Richards, 1995; Richards, 1991.
	Reduced wasteful respiration and exudate from roots	Universal	P, M, GM	
3. Increase harvest index	Maximised stem carbohydrate storage and remobilisation	Universal	P, M	López-Casteñeda & Richards, 1994; van Herwaarden et al, 1998; Ruuska et al, 2006
	Reduced wasteful tillering	Universal	P, M	Duggan et al, 2005; Spielmeyer & Richards, 2004; Richards, 1988.
	Increased axial resistance in seminar roots	Env. specific	P, M	Passioura, 1972; Richards & Passioura, 1989.
	Increased floret fertility	Universal	P, M	
	Extended rooting depth (see increased root depth above)	Universal	M	

^{a.} This is an assessment as to whether the trait is expected to be important in all water-limited environments (*Universal*) or to specific environments (*Env. specific*).

b. This shows whether molecular markers (M) have been identified to assist selection, whether direct phenotyping is effective (P) or whether GM traits are likely to be effective.