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Abstract

Legal punishment is frequently regarded as a cornerstone of the legal system yet (surely to its detriment) it is a practice which lacks a firm philosophical foundation. In spite of exercising many extremely capable legal and philosophical minds (particularly during the twentieth century) no generally agreed upon justification of punishment has been found. The nub of the problem has however been acknowledged as the inability of either of the major candidate theories (utilitarianism or retributivism) to provide an account able to address all the relevant parties. Whilst utilitarianism is often regarded as competent to the task of justifying punishment to society in terms of the attainment of some greater good, it seems entirely inadequate when it comes to formulating a justification to the criminal to explain why he has been singled out for punishment. And in the case of retributivism the situation is reversed. To the criminal it can be put that through punishment he is treated in accordance with what he has done, but in the matter of justifying punishment to society, the key principle of desert is unable to be properly grounded. Thus the central motivation of this thesis is to attempt to redress this shortcoming in the philosophical literature and to formulate a viable justification of legal punishment.

Ultimately it will be argued that the accounts of both Kant and Hegel offer a way of resolving the dilemma of punishment, and in particular it is their idealist orientation over and above their more widely acknowledged characterization as retributivists which allows them to fulfil this role. In Kant’s case his contribution is derived from a reworked and more sophisticated version of his retributivism than is generally found in the literature. Following Susan Meld Shell’s lead, Kant’s construction of justice is explored and found both to enhance and support the traditional justification of punishment he can offer to the criminal, and to furnish an otherwise elusive justification of punishment to society more broadly. A reading of Hegel on punishment is also developed by taking seriously his theory of recognition and aspects of his logic, particularly regarding negation and contradiction. His account then addresses quite neatly and straightforwardly the three audiences for whom a justification of punishment is sought – the criminal, the victim and society itself.

Not only does the thesis address the problem of punishment but it has further implications for Kant and Hegel scholarship as well as philosophy more broadly. One of the key points to come out of this thesis is that Kant and Hegel (if given adequate intellectual consideration) seem potentially able to offer up significant contributions to contemporary problems and issues beyond just the one argued for here regarding punishment. Their work is not merely of historical interest but has real and wide ranging possibilities which provide a rich resource for future research.
Acknowledgements

To Paul Redding thank you for your exceptional commitment to supervising my PhD, it has been a privilege to work with you. You kept me motivated, enthusiastic and focused on the project and without your efforts my PhD experience would have been very much diminished.

To Laurence, thanks for making me laugh at all the right times! Your support and understanding over many years has enabled this project to finally come together.

To Oscar, your demand for distractions, walks and play have helped maintain my sanity and diffused some tense times.

To all my friends and family thanks for all your interest in the project and not asking too many awkward questions about deadlines!

To the staff and my colleagues in the Unit for History and Philosophy of Science thank you for providing a great working environment and showing such confidence in me by providing me with invaluable opportunities.
# Table of Contents

**Abstract** ........................................................................................................................................................................... i

**Acknowledgements** .......................................................................................................................................................... ii

**Chapter One: Introduction to an Ideal Justification of Punishment** ................................................................. 1
   The Problem – The Dilemma of Justifying Punishment ......................................................................................... 1
   The Thesis ........................................................................................................................................................................... 2
   Chapter Overview ......................................................................................................................................................... 2
   Conclusion ......................................................................................................................................................................... 13

**Chapter Two: Punishment – The Dilemma of Justification** ................................................................. 14
   Introduction – Background to the Problem of Punishment .................................................................................... 14
   The Need for Justification .............................................................................................................................................. 14
   Types of Justification ................................................................................................................................................... 15
   The Standard Views on Punishment .............................................................................................................................. 20
      Utilitarianism ............................................................................................................................................................... 20
      Retributivism .............................................................................................................................................................. 26
      Mixed Solutions .......................................................................................................................................................... 31
   Conclusion ......................................................................................................................................................................... 33

**Chapter Three: Kant on Punishment** .............................................................................................................................. 34
   The Established View ..................................................................................................................................................... 34
      The traditional picture – merely the paradigmatic retributivist ........................................................................ 34
      Kant as a limited retributivist ................................................................................................................................. 38
      The conflict between Kantian ethics and legal theory ....................................................................................... 43
   Kant on Punishment – A Revised Reading .................................................................................................................. 48
      Real Negation .............................................................................................................................................................. 48
      Community or Reciprocity ....................................................................................................................................... 53
      Construction ............................................................................................................................................................... 61
      The Construction of Justice or Right ..................................................................................................................... 65
      Addressing the critics ............................................................................................................................................... 74
      Merely the paradigmatic retributivist ....................................................................................................................... 74
      Kant as a partial retributivist .................................................................................................................................... 75
      The conflict between ethics and law ...................................................................................................................... 86
   A Kantian Justification of Legal Punishment ............................................................................................................ 90
   Conclusion ......................................................................................................................................................................... 92

**Chapter Four: Hegel and Punishment** ....................................................................................................................... 93
   Introduction to Hegel on Punishment ............................................................................................................................ 93
   A First Take on Hegel ..................................................................................................................................................... 95
      Hegel on Crime .......................................................................................................................................................... 95
      Hegel on Punishment ................................................................................................................................................ 101
   A Revised Reading of Hegel on Crime and Punishment .......................................................................................... 110
      Recognition ............................................................................................................................................................... 110
      Negation and Contradiction .................................................................................................................................. 123
      Crime and Punishment Revisited .......................................................................................................................... 129
      An Hegelian Justification of Punishment .............................................................................................................. 146
   Conclusion ......................................................................................................................................................................... 149

**Chapter Five: Conclusion** ........................................................................................................................................... 150