
Fruit Fly Pests of Northwestern Australia

By
Emilie Cameron

School of Biological Sciences
Faculty of Science
University of Sydney
New South Wales 2006, Australia

March 2006

Submitted to fulfil the requirements for the degree of Doctor of Philosophy

Declaration

The research presented in this thesis is the result of my own investigation. All work by other researchers is properly acknowledged.

Emilie Cameron

March 2006

Acknowledgements

A huge thank you to the following people for their assistance and support during the course of this work.

Thank you to Stuart Gilchrist, whose extensive knowledge of all statistical tests and genetics programs was a huge help during the course of this project, thank you for your enthusiasm. Thank you to John Sved for his patience and Kathie Raphael for always being there to answer my questions. The members of the (extended) Fruit Fly Research Centre were invaluable in offering their advice and assistance. Especially Marianne and her fine attention to detail, Sasha for all the little things, Kit for her company and conversation in the fly room and Basil in the workshop for his great inventions. Thanks also to Deb, Alfie, Dan, Van, and Alison.

This work would not have been possible without the cooperation of Stuart Smith in the Northern Territory and John Moulden in Western Australia, and the wonderful people who send flies from around Australia.

To Nadine thanks for being the best flatmate and friend one could hope for. Thank you to Julia and Alyson for helping make the past few years so enjoyable and for being great conference and PhD buddies. To my Frisbee team, the Scapegoats, for giving me a healthy appreciation of all things goat, and to Jonathan for his support and understanding.

Finally, a huge thank you to my family for their constant encouragement and for knowing when I needed them. Especially to my Mum, who through the course of this work acted as field assistant, horticultural expert and chef but most importantly as my mum.

Contents

Acknowledgements	III
Abbreviations	VII
Summary	VIII

CHAPTER 1: INTRODUCTION

1.1 OVERVIEW	10
1.2 FRUIT FLIES.....	11
1.2.1 The Tephritidae.....	11
1.2.2 <i>Bactrocera tryoni</i> (Froggatt).....	11
Taxonomy	11
Biology.....	13
Distribution	14
Pest status.....	16
Control	16
1.2.3 <i>Bactrocera aquilonis</i> (May)	17
1.2.4 <i>Bactrocera neohumeralis</i> (Hardy)	18
1.2.5 <i>Bactrocera jarvisi</i> (Tryon)	19
1.2.6 <i>Ceratitis capitata</i> (Wiedemann)	20
1.3 NORTHWESTERN AUSTRALIA	21
1.3.1 Environment	21
1.3.2 Horticulture.....	21
1.3.3 A history of fruit fly in Northwestern Australia	24
1.3.4 The current situation	26
1.4 SPECIES AND POPULATION DIFFERENTIATION.....	27
1.4.1 Species and populations	27
1.4.2 Using microsatellites to study population differentiation	28
1.4.3 Genetic studies in fruit fly	29
1.5 AIMS.....	31
Terminology.....	31

CHAPTER 2: QUEENSLAND FRUIT FLY IN NORTHWESTERN AUSTRALIA

2.1 INTRODUCTION	33
2.2 MATERIALS AND METHODS.....	35
2.2.1 Sampling.....	35
2.2.2 Scoring microsatellites	38
2.2.3 Summary statistics	39
2.2.4 Differentiation between samples.....	40
2.2.5 Mitochondrial sequence analysis	42

2.3 RESULTS.....	43
2.3.1 Summary statistics	43
2.3.2 Differentiation between samples.....	46
2.3.3 mtDNA sequencing.....	49
2.4 DISCUSSION.....	53
2.4.1 Variation within regions	53
2.4.2 Variation between regions	54
2.4.3 Mitochondrial DNA versus microsatellites	54
2.4.4 Species status of <i>B. aquilonis</i>	56

**CHAPTER 3: A COMPARISON OF WING MORPHOLOGY BETWEEN
BACTROCERA TRYONI, *B. AQUILONIS* AND *B. JARVISI***

3.1 INTRODUCTION	58
3.2 MATERIALS AND METHODS.....	60
3.2.1 Fly samples	60
3.2.2 Wing morphology	61
3.3 RESULTS.....	64
3.3.1 Wing area.....	64
3.3.2 Wing shape	64
3.4 DISCUSSION.....	67

**CHAPTER 4: ESTIMATING PROPAGULE SIZES OF THE ALICE SPRINGS
AND TI TREE OUTBREAKS**

4.1 INTRODUCTION	70
4.2 MATERIALS AND METHODS.....	73
4.2.1 Founded populations	73
Samples	73
Genetic diversity	75
population differentiation.....	75
4.2.2 Estimating founding population size.....	75
Samples	76
statistics.....	76
Finding the best statistic	78
Actual population propagule size estimation	82
4.3 RESULTS.....	82
4.3.1 Founded populations	82
Genetic Diversity	82
Population Differentiation	83
4.3.2 Estimating the propagule size	83
Testing statistics.....	83
Actual outbreaks	90
4.4 DISCUSSION.....	90

**CHAPTER 5: FRUIT FLY MIGRATION AND FOUNDER EVENTS IN
KUNUNURRA**

5.1 INTRODUCTION	94
5.2 METHODS.....	97
5.2.1 Trapping data.....	97
5.2.2 Genetic data.....	97
5.3 RESULTS.....	99
5.3.1 Trapping data.....	99
5.3.2 Genetic data.....	101
5.4 DISCUSSION.....	108

**CHAPTER 6: POPULATION MONITORING AND DISINFESTATION OF
BACTROCERA JARVISI**

6.1 INTRODUCTION	112
6.2 METHODS.....	115
6.2.1 Trapping data.....	115
6.2.2 Wind tunnel	115
6.2.3 Wild releases.....	117
6.2.4 Heat tolerance	118
6.3 RESULTS.....	120
6.3.1 Trapping data.....	120
6.3.2 Wind tunnel	120
6.3.3 Wild release	120
6.3.4 Heat tolerance	124
6.4 DISCUSSION.....	124

CHAPTER 7: GENERAL DISCUSSION

7.1 CONCLUSIONS	128
7.2 FURTHER WORK	130
References.....	133
Appendices.....	146

Abbreviations

A\$	Australian dollars
AS	Alice Springs
bp	base pairs
cm	centimetres
CI	confidence interval
c/some	chromosome
°C	degrees centigrade
df	degrees of freedom
DNA	deoxyribonucleic acid
dNTPs	deoxyribonucleoside triphosphates
EDTA	ethylenediaminetetra-acetate
EtBr	ethidium bromide
FFEZ	Fruit Fly Exclusion Zone
FFRC	Fruit Fly Research Centre
km	kilometres
KUN	Kununurra
m	metres
ms ⁻¹	metres per second
MgCl ₂	magnesium chloride
min	minutes
ml	millilitre
mm	millimetres
mM	millimolar
MQ.H ₂ O	milli-Q filtered distilled water
μl	microlitre
μM	micromolar
msat	microsatellite locus
mtDNA	mitochondrial DNA
NSW	New South Wales
NT	Northern Territory
NW	Northwestern Australia
P	P-value
PCR	Polymerase Chain Reaction
QLD	Queensland
SA	South Australia
sec	seconds
SIT	Sterile Insect Technique
TBE	tris-borate-EDTA
TT	Ti Tree
V	voltage
VIC	Victoria
w:v	weight to volume
WA	Western Australia

Summary

Until recently, Northwestern Australia was thought to be relatively free of serious fruit fly pests. Although a noxious strain, present in Darwin since 1985, was widely believed to be an infestation of the Queensland fruit fly, *Bactrocera tryoni*, from the East coast, the fruit flies present outside this area were believed to be the benign endemic species, *B. aquilonis*. However, during the year 2000, infestations of fruit flies were discovered on major commercial crops in both Western Australia and the Northern Territory. It was not known whether these outbreaks were due to an invasion of the major pest species, *Bactrocera tryoni*, a change in the behaviour of *B. aquilonis*, or a hybridisation event between the two species. Finding the source of these outbreaks has been complicated by the fact that, since *B. tryoni* and *B. aquilonis* are virtually indistinguishable morphologically, it was not known which species are present in the region. Traditionally any *tryoni* complex fly caught in the Northwest was called *B. aquilonis* based solely on location.

In order to get a good population profile of the region, an extensive trapping program was set up to include flies from urban areas, commercial crops and natural areas where the benign strain is thought to remain. Tests of genetic differentiation and clustering analyses revealed a high degree of homogeneity in the Northwest samples, suggesting that just one species is present in the region. The Northwest samples were genetically differentiated from the Queensland samples but only to a small degree ($F_{ST} = 0.0153$). MtDNA sequencing results also showed a small degree of differentiation between these regions. A morphological study of wing shape indicated that there are some minor identifiable morphological differences between East coast and Northwest laboratory reared flies. This difference was greater than that seen between *B. jarvisi* populations across the same geographic range. The results suggest that the flies caught in the Northwest are a separate population of *B. tryoni*.

Soon after pest flies were discovered in Darwin, a population became established in Alice Springs. This population had a low genetic diversity

compared with Queensland and Darwin populations, and showed evidence of being heavily founded. In 2000, an outbreak was discovered in the nearby town of Ti Tree. Due to the geographic and genetic similarity of these populations, Alice Springs was determined to be the source of the Ti Tree outbreak. To investigate the founding of these populations, a program was developed to estimate the propagule size. Using a simulation method seven different statistics were tested for estimating the propagule size of an outbreak population. For outbreaks originating from populations with high genetic diversity, the number of alleles was a good estimator of propagule size. When, however, the genetic diversity of the source population was already reduced, allele frequency measures, particularly the likelihood of obtaining the outbreak population from the source population, gave more accurate estimates. Applying this information to the Alice Springs samples, it was estimated that just five flies were needed to found the major population in and around Alice Springs. For Ti Tree, the propagule size was estimated to be 27 flies (minimum 10).

In 2000, a much larger outbreak occurred in the developing horticultural region of Kununurra in northern Western Australia. An important question for the management of the problem is whether there is an established fly population or the flies are reinvading each year. This population was found to have a large amount of gene flow from the Northern Territory. Within the Kununurra samples, one group of flies was genetically differentiated from all the other samples. This group came from a small geographic area on the periphery of Kununurra and appeared to be the result of an invasion into this area at the time when the population was building up following the dry season.

A further threat to the Northwest horticultural regions comes from *B. jarvisi*. A recent increase in the host range of this species has led to speculation that it may become a greater pest in Northwestern Australia. At the present time, protocols for the population monitoring and disinfestation of this species are not in place. Here it is shown that *B. jarvisi* eggs are more heat tolerant than *B. tryoni* eggs and that monitoring of *B. jarvisi* populations is possible using cue lure traps placed according to fruiting time and location of their favoured host, *Planchonia careya*