

References

- ACR (2001), ACR Standard for Performing and Interpreting Diagnostic Computed Tomography (CT). The American College of Radiology. Available: http://www.acr.org/departments/stand_accred/standards/dl_list.html [April 2002].
- Aroua, A, Burnand, B, Decka, I, Vader, JP & Valley, JF (2002), Nation-wide Survey on Radiation Doses in Diagnostic and Interventional Radiology in Switzerland in 1998, *Health Physics July*, Vol. 83, no. 1, pp. 46.
- Aschan, C (1999), Application of Thermoluminescent Dosemeters in X-ray Organ Dose Determination and in The Dosimetry of Systemic and Boron Neutron Capture radiotherapy. University of Helsinki.
- Baert, AL & Sartor, K (Eds.) (2001), *CT of the Peritoneum*, Springer-Verlag, Berlin.
- Berland, LL (1987), *Practical CT Technology and Techniques*, New York, Raven Press.
- Boone, JM, Geraghty, EM, Seibert, JA & Wootton-Gorges, SL (2003), Dose Reduction in Pediatric CT: A Rational Approach, *Radiology*, Vol. 228, no. 2, pp. 352.

Brix, G, Lechel, U, Veit, R, Truckenbrodt, R, Stamm, G, Coppenrath, EM, Griebel, J & Nagel, H-D (2004), Assessment of a theoretical formalism for dose estimation in CT: an anthropomorphic phantom study, *European Radiology*, Vol., 14 no. 7, pp. 1275 – 1284.

Brix, G, Nagel, HD, Stamm, G, Veit, R, Lechel, U, Griebel, J & Galanski, M (2003), Radiation exposure in multi-slice versus single-slice spiral CT: results of a nationwide survey, *European Journal of Radiology*, Vol. 13, no. 1979–1991.

Buna, D (1999), *Medical Imaging: Principles and Applications for Medical Physics*. On-line course and imaging laboratory for Physics/Applied Physics and Health Science undergraduate students. Ramapo College of NJ. Available: <http://www.ramapo.edu/content/units/tas/physics/Physics/Buna/ct.htm> [15.8.2001].

Cember, H (1996), *Introduction to Health Physics, (3rd)*, New York, McGraw-Hill.

CEU (1997), Council Directive 97/43/Euratom on Health Protection of Individuals Against the Dangers of Ionising radiation in Relation to Medical Exposure, and Repealing Directive 84/466/Euratom, The Council of the European Union.

Clarke, J, Cranley, K, Robinson, J, Smith, P & Workman, A (2000), Application of draft European Commission reference levels to a regional CT dose survey, *The British Journal of Radiology*, Vol. 73, no. 865, pp. 43.

Cohnen, M, Poll, LW, Puettmann, C, Ewen, K, Saleh, A & Mödder, U (2003),
Effective doses in standard protocols for multi-slice CT scanning, *European
Journal of Radiology*, Vol. 13, no. 1148–1153.

Department of Statistics Malaysia (2001), Press Statement: Population Distribution
and Basic Demographic Characteristics Report, Population and Housing
Census 2000. Department of Statistics of Malaysia. Available:
<http://www.statistics.gov.my/English/pressdemo.htm> [4.11.2003].

Dowsett, DJ, Johnston, RE & Kenny, PA (1998), *The Physics of Diagnostic Imaging*,
London, Chapman & Hall.

Edyvean, S, Lewis, MA & Britten, AJ (1997), CT Scanner Dose Survey:
Measurement Protocol Version 5.0 July 1997, Imaging and Performance
Assessment of CT Scanners (ImPACT). London.

European Commission (1996), European Guidelines on Quality Criteria for
Diagnostic Radiographic Images, EUR 16260 EN, Directorate-General,
Environment, Nuclear Safety and Civil Protection, European Commission.
Luxemburg.

European Commission (1998), European guidelines on quality criteria for computed
tomography (EUR 16262 EN), European Commission. Brussels.

European Commission (1999), Guidance on diagnostic reference levels (DRLs) for medical exposures: Radiation Protection 109, Directorate-General, Environment, Nuclear Safety and Civil Protection, European Commission.

European Commission (2001), Radiation Protection 118: Referral Guidelines for Imaging. European Commission Directorate-General for the Environment. Available: <http://europa.eu.int/comm/environment/radprot/118/118.htm>

Field, A & Hole, G (2003), *How to Design and Report Experiments*, London, Sage Publications.

Furetta, C & Weng, P (1998), *Operational thermoluminescence dosimetry*, New Jersey, World Scientific.

Gibilisco, S (2002), *Physics Demystified*, New York, McGraw-Hill.

Gies, M, Kalender, WA, Wolf, H, Suess, C & Madsen, MT (1999), Dose reduction in CT by anatomically adapted tube current modulation. I. Simulation studies, *Med. Phys.* 26 .11., November 1999, Vol.26, no. 11, pp. 2235 - 2247

Goddard, C & al-Farsi, A (1999), Radiation doses from CT in the Sultanate of Oman, *The British Journal of Radiology*, Vol. 72, no. 863, pp. 1073.

Goldman, LW & Fowlkes, JB (1995), *Medical CT & ultrasound : current technology and applications*, Published for the American Association of Physicists in Medicine by Advanced Medical Publishing. Madison, Wis.

GSF (2001), *Mathematical and virtual human models*. 2000 GSF - Forschungszentrum für Umwelt und Gesundheit, GmbH. Available: <http://www.gsf.de/institute/ISS/englisch/medphys/voxel.phtml> [12 April 2001].

Hart, D, Hillier, MC & Wall, BF (2002a), *Doses to Patients from Medical X-ray Examinations in the UK - 2000 Review*, NRPB-W14, National Radiation Protection Board. Didcot, Oxon.

Hart, D & Wall, BF (2002b), *Radiation Exposure of the UK Population from Medical and Dental X-ray Examinations*, NRPB-W4, National Radiation Protection Board. London.

Heart Information Network (2001), *Diagram of Imatron's EBCT Scanner*. <http://www.heartinfo.com/schem2.html> [10.8.2001].

Hendee, WR & Ritnour, ER (2002), *Medical Imaging Physics, (4th)*, St. Louis, Mosby.

Hidajat, N, Wolf, M, Nunnemann, A, Liersch, P, Gebauer, B, Teichgraber, U, Schroder, RJ & Felix, R (2001), Survey of conventional and spiral ct doses, *Radiology*, Vol. 218, no. 2, pp. 395.

Hopper, KD, Keeton, NC, Kasales, CJ, Mahraj, R, Van Slyke, MA, Patrone, SV, Singer, PS & Tenhave, TR (1998), Utility of low mA 1.5 pitch helical versus conventional high mA abdominal CT, *Clinical Imaging*, Vol. 22, no. 1, pp. 54.

Hopper, KDM, Kasales, CJM, Mahraj, RPMF, Starr, MBB, Tenhave, TRP, Jozefiak, JAM, Patrone, SVM & Singer, PSM (1997), Comparison of 1.0-, 1.5-, and 2.0-Pitch Abdominal Helical Computed Tomography in Evaluation of Normal Structures and Pathologic Lesions, *Investigative Radiology November*, Vol. 32, no. 11, pp. 660.

Huda, W, Atherton, J, Ware, D & Cumming, W (1997), An approach for the estimation of effective radiation dose at CT in pediatric patients, *Radiology*, Vol. 203, no. 2, pp. 417.

IAEA (2002), IAEA Safety Standards Series: Radiological Protection for Medical Exposure to Ionizing Radiation, No. RS-G-1.5, International Atomic Energy Agency. Vienna.

ICRP (1977), *Recommendations of the International Commission on Radiological Protection (adopted January 17, 1977)*, Oxford, Published for the International Commission on Radiological Protection by Pergamon Press.

ICRP (1991), *1990 Recommendations of the International Commission on Radiological Protection*, Oxford, Pergamon Press.

ICRP (1996), *Radiological protection and safety in medicine, (1st)*, Oxford, Pergamon for the International Commission on Radiological Protection.

ICRP (2002), Diagnostic reference levels in medical imaging. International Commission of Radiological Protection. Available: <http://www.icrp.org> [December 2002].

ICRU (1992), *Phantoms and computational models in therapy, diagnosis, and protection*, Bethesda, Md., U.S.A., International Commission on Radiation Units and Measurements.

Imhof, H, Schibany, N, Ba-Ssalamah, A, Czerny, C, Hojreh, A, Kainberger, F, Krestan, C, Kudler, H, Nobauer, I & Nowotny, R (2003), Spiral CT and radiation dose, *European Journal of Radiology*, Vol. 47, no. 1, pp. 29.

ImPACT. (2002), ImPACT CT Patient Dosimetry Calculator. (version 0.99m, 1/07/2002). ImPACT (Imaging and Performance Assessment of CT Scanners), London.

Ismail, M, Ng, K, Chee, S, Roslee, R & Zawiah, H (1998), Predictive equations for the estimation of basal Metabolic rate in Malaysian adults, *Malaysian Journal of Nutrition*, Vol. 4, pp. 81 – 90..

Jarry, G, DeMarco, JJ, Beifuss, U, Cagnon, C & McNitt-Gray, MF (2003), A Monte Carlo-based method to estimate radiation dose from spiral CT: from phantom testing to patient-specific models, *Physics in Medicine & Biology*, Vol. 48, pp. 2645–2663.

Jessen, KA, Shrimpton, PC, Geleijns, J, Panzer, W & Tosi, G (1999), Dosimetry for optimisation of patient protection in computed tomography, *Applied Radiation & Isotopes*, Vol. 50, no. 1, pp. 165.

Johns, HE & Cunningham, JR (1983), *The Physics of Radiology, (4th)*, Springfield, Charles C Thomas Publisher.

Jones, DG & Shrimpton, PC (1991), *Survey of CT practice in the UK. Part 3, Normalised organ doses calculated using Monte Carlo techniques*, Didcot, Oxon, NRPB-R250.

Jones, DG & Shrimpton, PC. (1993), *Normalised organ doses calculated using Monte Carlo techniques. SR250*. National Radiological Protection Board, Chilton, Didcot, Oxon OX11 ORQ, Didcot, Oxon.

Kalender, WA (2000), *Computed Tomography: Fundamentals, System Technology, Image Quality, Applications*, Munich, Publicis MCD Verlag.

Kalender, WA, Schmidt, B, Zankl, M & Schmidt, M (1999a), A PC program for estimating organ dose and effective dose values in computed tomography, *Eur. Radiol*, Vol. 9, no. 555

Kalender, WA, Wolf, H & Suess, C (1999b), Dose reduction in CT by anatomically adapted tube current modulation. II. Phantom measurements, *Med. Phys.*, Vol. 26, no. 11, pp. 2248 - 2253

Kalra, MK. (2003), CT Radiation Exposure: Rational for Concern and strategies for dose reduction. Available: http://www.scbtmr.org/pdf-files/AR_SCBT-kalra.pdf [12.1.2004].

Kalra, MK, Maher, MM, Toth, TL, Hamberg, LM, Blake, MA, Shepard, J-A & Saini, S (2004), Strategies for CT Radiation Dose Optimization, *Radiology*, Vol. 230, pp. 619–628.

Kalra, MK, Prasad, S, Saini, S, Blake, MA, Varghese, J, Halpern, EF, Rhea, JT & Thrall, JH (2002), Clinical Comparison of Standard-Dose and 50% Reduced--Dose Abdominal CT: Effect on Image Quality, *American Journal of Roentgenology*, Vol. 179, no. 5, pp. 1101.

Khursheed, A, Hillier, MC, Shrimpton, PC & Wall, BF (2002), Influence of patient age on normalized effective doses calculated for CT examinations, *The British Journal of Radiology*, Vol. 75, no. 898, pp. 819.

Koller, CJ, Eatough, JP & Bettridge, A (2003), Variations in radiation dose between the same model of multislice CT scanner at different hospitals, *The British Journal of Radiology*, Vol. 76, no. 911, pp. 798.

Le Heron, J. (1991), CT-DOSE. National Radiation Laboratory, New Zealand, Christchurch.

Lim, T, Ding, L, Zaki, M, Suleiman, A, Fatimah, S, Siti, S, Tahir, A & Maimunah, A (2000), Distribution of Body Weight, Height and Body Mass Index in a National Sample of Malaysian Adults, *Medical Journal Malaysia*, Vol. 55, pp. 108.

Lindskoug, B (1992), The Reference Man in diagnostic radiology dosimetry, *The British Journal of Radiology*, Vol. 65, no. 773, pp. 431.

Logan, L, Neustel, S & Higginbotham, K (2003), The Changing CT Environment, *Radiologic Technology*, Vol. 74, no. 6, pp. 467.

Malaysian Medical Association (2002), List of Government and Private hospitals.

Malaysian Medical Association, Kuala Lumpur, Malaysia. Available:

<http://www.mma.org.my/>

- Malaysian Radiological Society (2000), *Guidelines for Clinical Practice in Radiology*, Kuala Lumpur, Papyrus Communications Sdn. Bhd.
- Marshall, C (Ed.) (1982), *The Physical Basis of Computed Tomography*, Warren H Green Inc, Missouri.
- McNitt-Gray, MF (2002), Radiation Dose in CT, *Radiographics*, Vol. 22, no. 6, pp. 1541–1553.
- Ministry of Health Malaysia (2001), Health Facts 2001. Information and Documentation System Unit, Planning and Development Division, Ministry of Health, Malaysia. Available: <http://www.moh.gov.my/Facts/2001.htm> [11.11.2003].
- Mutic, Sa, Palta, JR, Butker, EK, Das, IJ, Huq, MS, Loo, L-ND, Salter, BJ, McCollough, CHb & Van Dyk, Jb (2003), Quality assurance for computed-tomography simulators and the computed-tomography-simulation process: Report of the AAPM Radiation Therapy Committee Task Group No. 66. [Report], *Medical Physics October*, Vol. 30, no. 10, pp. 2762.
- Nagel, HD (2000), *Radiation Exposure in Computed Tomography: Fundamentals, Influencing Parameters, Dose Assessment, Optimisation, Scanner Data, Terminology*, Hamburg, European Coordination Committee of the Radiological and Electromedical Industries.

Nagel, HD (2004), Current Exposure Practice with Multislice CT. Multislice E-CT.com. Available: www.multislice-ct.com [1.8.2004].

National Radiological Protection Board (1992), Protection of the patient in x-ray Computed tomography, Chilton.

Ng, KH, Rassiah, P, Wang, HB, Hambali, AS, Muthuvellu, P & Lee, HP (1998), Doses to patients in routine X-ray examinations in Malaysia, *The British Journal of Radiology*, Vol. 71, no. 846, pp. 654.

Nickoloff, EL, Dutta, AK & Lu, ZF (2003), Influence of phantom diameter, kVp and scan mode upon computed tomography dose index, *Med. Phys.*, Vol. 30, no. 3, pp. 395

Nishizawa, K, Matsumoto, M, Iwai, K & Maruyama, T (2004), Survey of CT Practice in Japan and Collective Effective Dose Estimation, *Nippon Acta Radiologica*, Vol. 64, pp. 151.

Olerud, HM (1997), Analysis of factors influencing patient doses from CT in Norway, *Radiation Protection Dosimetry*, Vol. 71, no. 2, pp. 123

O'Malley, ME, Halpern, E, Mueller, PR & Gazelle, GS (2000), Helical CT Protocols for the Abdomen and Pelvis: A Survey, *American Journal of Roentgenology*, Vol. 175, no. July, pp. 109

Oresegun, M, LeHeron, J, Maccia, C, Padovani, R & Vano, E (1999), Radiation protection and quality assurance in diagnostic radiology-an IAEA Coordinated Research Project in Asia and Eastern Europe, *Applied Radiation & Isotopes*, Vol. 50, no. 1, pp. 271.

Poletti, J (1996), Patient doses from CT in New Zealand and a simple method for estimating effective dose, *The British Journal of Radiology*, Vol. 69, no. 821, pp. 432.

Power, NP, Pryor, MD, Martin, A, Horrocks, J, McLean, AM & Reznek, RH (2002), Optimization of scanning parameters for CT colonography, *The British Journal of Radiology*, Vol. 75, no. 893, pp. 401.

Rehani, MM, Bongartz, G, Kalender, W, Golding, SJ, Gordon, L, Murakami, T, Shrimpton, P, Albrecht, R & Wei, K (2000), Managing Patient Dose in Computed Tomography, Version October, 13, 2000, ICRP.

Seeram, E (2000), *Computed Tomography: Physical Principles Clinical Applications and Quality Control*, Philadelphia, W.B. Saunders.

Servomaa, A, Karppinen, J, Komppa, T, Parviainen, T & Heikkilä, M (1994), Patient doses and radiation risk in computed tomographic examinations in Finland, *Physics in Medicine and Biology*, Vol. 39a, no. Part 2, pp. 832.

Shope, TB, Gagne, RM & Johnson, GC (1981), A method for describing the doses delivered by transmission x-ray computed tomography, *Medical Physics*, Vol. 8, no. 4, pp. 488.

Shrimpton, PC, Hart, D, Hillier, MC, Wall, BF & Faulkner, K (1991a), *Survey of CT practice in the UK. Part 1, Aspects of examination frequency and quality assurance*, Didcot, Oxon, NRBP-R248.

Shrimpton, PC, Jessen, KA, Geleijns, J, Panzer, W & Tosi, G (1998), Reference Doses in Computed Tomography, *Radiation Protection Dosimetry*, Vol. 80, no. 1-3, pp. 55.

Shrimpton, PC, Jones, DG, Hillier, MC, Wall, BF, Heron, JCL & Faulkner, K (1991b), *Survey of CT practice in the UK. Part 2, Dosimetric aspects*, Didcot, Oxon, NRPB-R249.

Shrimpton, PC, Wall, BF & Hart, D (1999), Diagnostic medical exposures in the U.K., *Applied Radiation & Isotopes*, Vol. 50, no. 1, pp. 261.

Siemens (2000), Somatom Volume Zoom Application Guide: Routine Protocols Software Version A20. Siemens AG, Medical Engineering (Computed Tomography), Germany. Available: http://www.siemens-ctapps.com/application_guides/index.htm

- Silverman, P, Kohan, L, Ducic, I, Javadi, S, Meyer, C, Sharma, N, Cooper, C & Zeman, R (1998), Imaging of the liver with helical CT: a survey of scanning techniques, *American Journal of Roentgenology*, Vol. 170, no. 1, pp. 149.
- Silverman, PM & Brink, JA (1998), *Helical (spiral) computed tomography : a practical approach to clinical protocols*, Philadelphia, Lippincott-Raven.
- Slone, RM (2000), *Body CT : a practical approach*, New York, McGraw-Hill, Health Professions Division.
- Stamm, G & Nagel, HD. (2002), CT-Expo: A Tool for Dose Evaluation in Computed Tomography. (Version 1.2.1). Hannover.
- Tack, D, De Maertelaer, V & Gevenois, PA (2003), Dose Reduction in Multidetector CT Using Attenuation-Based Online Tube Current Modulation, *American Journal of Roentgenology*, Vol. 181, no. 2, pp. 331.
- Thompson, JEM & Tingey, DRC (1997), Radiation doses from Computed Tomography in Australia, ARL/TR123, Australian Radiation Laboratory. Yallambie, Victoria.
- Thornton, FJ, Paulson, EK, Yoshizumi, TT, Frush, DP & Nelson, RC (2003), Single versus multi-detector row CT: comparison of radiation doses and dose profiles, *Academic Radiology.*, Vol. 10, no. 4, pp. 379.

UNSCEAR (2000), Sources and Effects of Ionizing Radiation, Annex D: Medical Radiation Exposures, Volume I: SOURCES, United Nations. New York.

Van Unnik, J, Broerse, J, Gleijns, J, Jansen, J, Zoetelief, J & Zweers, D (1997), Survey of CT techniques and absorbed dose in various Dutch hospitals, *The British Journal of Radiology*, Vol. 70, no. 832, pp. 367.

Wade, J, Weyman, J & Goldstone, K (1997), CT standard protocols are of limited value in assessing actual patient dose, *The British Journal of Radiology*, Vol. 70, no. 839, pp. 1146.

Wall, BF & Hart, D (1997), Revised radiation doses for typical X-ray examinations, *The British Journal of Radiology*, Vol. 70, no. 1997, pp. 437.

Wall, BF & Shrimpton, PC (1998), The historical development of reference doses in diagnostic radiology, *Nuclear Technology Publishing. Radiation Protection Dosimetry*, Vol. 80, issue 1 – 3, pp. 15 - 19.

Ware, DE, Huda, W, Mergo, PJ & Litwiller, AL (1999), Radiation effective doses to patients undergoing abdominal CT examinations, *Radiology*, Vol. 210, no. 3, pp. 645 - 650.

Webb, DV, Solomon, SB & Thomson, JEM (1999), Background Radiation Levels and Medical Exposure Levels in Australia, *Radiation Protection in Australia*, Vol. July 16, pp. 7 - 14.

Wegener, OH (1993), *Whole body computed tomography, (2nd ed.)*, Victoria,
Blackwell Scientific Publications.

WHO Expert Committee on Physical Status (1995), *Physical status: the use and
Interpretation of Anthropometry*, 854, World Health Organisation.

Woller, TAJ (1996), *The Basic Monte Carlo Simulations*. University of Nebraska-
Lincoln. Available: <http://wwitch.unl.edu/zeng/joy/mclab/mcdist.html>

Yates, SJ, Pike, LC & Goldstone, KE (2004), Effect of multislice scanners on patient
dose from routine CT examinations in East Anglia, *The British Journal of
Radiology*, Vol. 77, no. 918, pp. 472 - 478.

Zankl, M, Panzer, W & Drexler, G (1991), *The Calculation of Dose from External
Photon Exposures Using Reference Human Phantoms and Monte Carlo
Methods*, GSF-Bericht 30/91, Institut für Strahlenschutz.